OPUS₂

Manchester Arena Inquiry

Day 35

November 12, 2020

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: +44 (0)20 3008 5900

Email: transcripts@opus2.com

Website: https://www.opus2.com

1 Thursday, 12 November 2020 1 that JSaRC did? 2 (9.30 am) 2. A. It would work with, in the crowded places example, with 3 (Delay in proceedings) solution providers to provide technologies and test (9.42 am) technologies that would make public places more secure, 4 4 5 MR GREANEY: Good morning, sir. The gentleman in the 5 screening technologies for going into events and arenas, 6 witness box is Shaun Hipgrave, and I'll begin by asking 6 et cetera. 7 7 Q. As we're going to hear during your period as director of that he be sworn, please. 8 MR SHAUN HIPGRAVE (affirmed) 8 Protect and Prepare at OSCT, you've been heavily 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Mr Hipgrave, this is the second time 9 involved in dealing with what we used to call crowded 10 you have come. I'm very sorry you came the last time 10 places or crowded spaces, now called publicly accessible 11 and we couldn't deal with your evidence. 11 locations. When you were at JSaRC were you also 12 Mr Greaney, I'm also asked that the next witness 12 concerned with dealing with that kind of situation? should watch, hear or be in the room for this evidence, 13 A. Parts of my work were, yes. 13 whichever... Q. Prior to joining the Home Office, were you first of all 14 14 15 (Pause) 15 a soldier for 10 years in the British Army? 16 Thank you very much. Otherwise it saves repetition 16 A. Yes 17 of things which one witness deals with to go over again. 17 Q. Then a police officer for 13 years? 18 MR GREANEY: It does, sir, and it is to be encouraged, we 18 A. Yes. 19 19 quite agree Q. And then I think you spent 13 years in the private 20 20 sector working predominantly with law enforcement SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Unless anyone has any problem with that? 21 Thank you. 21 agencies in the field of digital forensics? 22 Questions from MR GREANEY 22 A. That's correct, yes. 23 MR GREANEY: Mr Hipgrave, would you begin by telling us your 23 Q. I'm next going to ask you to deal with in overview the 2.4 2.4 full name? UK's counter-terrorism strategy known as CONTEST. Sir, if it's helpful I'm at paragraph 9 of the 2.5 A. Shaun Andrew Hipgrave. 2.5 1 Q. Are you the director of Protect and Prepare in the 1 updated witness statement of Mr Hipgrave. 1 2 Office for Security and Counter-terrorism? 2 In dealing with that general topic, we'll address, 3 A. I am. 3 first of all, the aims of and responsibility for Q. So. The OSCT? 4 4 CONTEST. Is the United Kingdom's counter-terrorism 5 5 A. Yes. strategy known as CONTEST? A. It is, yes. Q. Does the OSCT form part of the Home Office? 6 7 7 Q. Does that set out how the government will seek to 8 Q. Have you held that post since June 2019? 8 continue to reduce the risk to the United Kingdom and 9 A. That's correct, yes. 9 its citizens and interests overseas from terrorism? 10 Q. Prior to that, were you the head of the Joint Security 10 A. It does, yes. Q. Is CONTEST split into four strands? 11 and Resilience Centre? 11 12 A. Yes 12 A. It is, yes. 13 Q. Is that known as JSaRC? 13 Q. What are those four strands, please? 14 14 A. Prevent, Pursue, Protect and Prepare. A. Yes. 15 Q. Which I think itself formed part of the OSCT? 15 Q. Is the purpose of Prevent and Pursue to reduce the 16 A. That's correct, yes. 16 threats that we as a community face? 17 Q. And had you held that role for 2 years? 17 A. That's correct, yes. 18 18 Q. Is the purpose of Protect and Prepare to reduce our 19 Q. Is JSaRC a unit that was established to help the 19 vulnerabilities ? 20 government and private security sector suppliers to work 20 A. Protect to reduce our vulnerabilities , and Prepare in 2.1 more closely together to achieve better security 21 response to an attack. 2.2 outcomes? 2.2 Q. Should we view those strands in isolation or in some 23 23 A. It was, yes, and the private sector in its entirety, different way? 24 24 A. No, they are integrated as a system and whilst we treat Q. Can you give us an idea of the type of work therefore 25 them in the policy function separately, at a system

2

- 1 level they all work together to overall reduce the 2 threat of terrorism.
- 3 Q. As you're aware and as the core participants are aware,
- 4 you are going to give your evidence in at least two
- 5 parts, and potentially more than two parts. Today
- you're going to deal principally with the Protect strand 6
- 7 of CONTEST, and even more specifically with publicly
- 8 accessible locations.
- A. Yes. 9
- 10 Q. Before we get to that, what I'd like you to do is please
- 11 explain in simple terms, or high-level terms, however
- 12 one wishes to put it, each of the four strands. So just 13 really in a few sentences, first of all, how would you
- 14 describe Prevent, please?
- 15 A. So Prevent is the area of CONTEST where we prevent
- 16 people from being radicalised and entering into
- 17 terrorism. We have a programme that has different
- 18 aspects to it that will prevent people from getting
- 19 involved in terrorism.
- 20 Q. So it's about the identification of those who are
- 2.1 vulnerable to radicalisation?
- 2.2 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. And even those who are in the process of radicalisation
- 2.4 and trying to prevent that happening or getting worse?
- 25

- 1 Q. Next, in a few sentences, Pursue, please.
- A. Pursue will work as a system with operational partners
- 3 who identify and investigate terrorism where it happens,
- so it's essentially an investigation and intelligence
- 5
- Q. Would it include, for example, Counter-terrorism 6
- 7 Policing?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. Next, in a few sentences, although you're going to deal 10 with the detail of at least some of this, Protect,
- 11
- 12 A. Protect is where we can identify vulnerabilities in
- certain areas, not just publicly accessible locations, 13
- with people as well. It also has responsibility for 14
- protecting VIPs and identifying those vulnerabilities 15
- 16 and mitigating against them.
- Q. Although we're going to be dealing with publicly 17
- 18 accessible locations today, does addressing the threat
- 19 presented by the acquisition of precursor materials also
- 2.0 fall under the Protect strand?
- 21 A. It does, ves
- 2.2 Q. Although we're going to invite you to deal with your
- 2.3 evidence about that in due course, probably in chapter 8
- 2.4 of the inquiry.
- Then finally the Prepare strand of CONTEST, please, 25

- in a few sentences.
- 2 A. So the Prepare strand is about preparing for a response
- 3 to a terrorist attack, and in my area it does include
- 4 the attack response from the emergency services to
- 5 a terrorist attack, the support for victims from
- a terrorist attack as well, and counter-terrorism, 6
- 7 national counter-terrorism exercising in preparing how 8 to deal with a terrorist attack.
- 9 Q. I said you were only going to deal with Protect today,
- 10 but you are in fact going to help us with
- 11 counter-terrorism exercising as well because that is one
- 12 of the issues that has arisen in the course of the oral
- 13 evidence hearings so far, so we'll cover that as well, 14 albeit that will not take very long.
- 15 In relation to each of those four strands, does the
- OSCT lead? 16
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. What does that mean, leading those strands?
- 19 A. We have a director-general for OSCT, who is not only the
- 20 system leader for OSCT but also the senior responsible
- 2.1 owner for counter-terrorism across the UK Government,
- 22 and we have a series of forums, strategic forums, where
- 23 we bring the system together to ensure that we have
- 2.4 a system response for counter-terrorism so that
- 25 we aren't being treated in our separate pillars, we are

- 1 working with our operational partners to ensure we have
- 2 a systematic approach to countering terrorism.
- 3 Q. So it's all about having an organised, systematic and
- coordinated approach across government to
- 5 counter-terrorism?
- 6 A. Yes, and there are various meetings and forums that
- 7 allow that to happen and, flowing down from the top,
- 8 there's the National Security Council that the
- 9 Prime Minister chairs, right down to the National
- 10 Security Strategic and Implementation Group
- 11 Counter-terrorism, that DG OSCT chairs, and that flows
- 12 down to all the four P boards. So what you see is the
- 13 system leadership from the top is flowing down to policy
- at the P board level. 14
- 15 Q. What I am going to do, in fact ion a few moments, before
- 16 we get to Protect, is just to ask you to help us with
- 17 what the structure of government is in relation to
- 18 counter-terrorism.
- 19 Has the government coordinated counter-terrorism
- 2.0 strategy since 2003?
- 21 A. Sorry. I missed the beginning.
- 2.2 Q. I'm at paragraph 10 of your witness statement.
- 23 Right, okay. Yes, there have been several iterations
- 2.4 since it was first brought in as CONTEST.
- 2.5 Q. So CONTEST was first developed in 2003, is that correct?

2

7

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And there have been, as you've just said, further 2
- 3 iterations published at different points in time, namely
- 4 March 2009 and July 2011?
- 5
- Q. Have there been different iterations because it has been 6
- necessary for CONTEST to evolve in response to the 7
- 8 changing threat picture?
- 9 A. That's correct, yes.
- 10 Q. And to reflect changes that the government has made in
- 11 response to the lessons learned from various attacks
- 12 that sadly have occurred?
- 13 A. That's correct, but learning isn't always coming from
- attacks. I think an easier way to explain how we 14
- 15 develop CONTEST is the changing threat picture as well,
- and if we, you know -- the public would know that back 16
- 17 in 2000 there was an aviation threat predominantly where
- 18 we were focusing. It's very different today and that's
- why policy and CONTEST is iterating all of the time. 19
- 20 Q. So it's not just a question of reacting to an attack and
- 2.1 what that demonstrates, it's about understanding what
- 2.2 the threat picture is?
- 2.3 A. Yes
- 2.4 Q. And presumably also drawing from the experience of other
- 25 countries around the world?

- 1 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Was a review of CONTEST announced on 4 June 2017 2
- 3 following the terrorist attacks in London and
- Manchester?
- 5 A. It was, yes
- Q. As a result, has an updated version of CONTEST been 6
- published? 7
- A. Yes, CONTEST version 3, yes. 8
- 9 Q. When was that published?
- 10 A. That was published in June 2018.
- Q. In summary terms, how would you describe CONTEST 3?
- 12 A. So it reflected on the lessons learned from 2017 and the
- 13 threat picture. It created a more strategic vision
- 14 around an integrated and systemised approach -- and this
- 15 isn't just across government, this is working with
- 16 industry and with the public to ensure that we are
- 17 bringing all parts together to counter terrorism.
- 18 Q. You began to tell us a moment ago about the structure of
- 19 government in relation to counter-terrorism. I'm going 2.0 to ask you to deal with that in just a little more
- 21
- 2.2 You were telling us at the top we have the National

10

- 23 Security Council, the NSC?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What is the NSC, please? 2.5

- 1 A. It's chaired by the Prime Minister and it oversees the
 - CONTEST strategy on behalf of the government. It's the
- 3 collective discussion of Prime Minister and senior
- ministers to deliver its objectives for national 4
- 5 security.
- Q. Do the Home Secretary and Home Office have a particular 6
 - role to play?
- 8 A. The Home Secretary, as the minister for the
- 9 Home Department, has the responsibility for countering
- 10 terrorism overall, but she also has the oversight for
- 11 MI5 as well.
- 12 Q. As we're going to see, other secretaries of state also
- 13 have responsibilities under CONTEST?
- 14
- 15 Q. At official level, is counter-terrorism overseen by
- 16 someone known as the senior responsible owner?
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. Or the SRO?
- 19 A. Yes. that's correct.
- 20 Q. Is that the director-general of OSCT in the Home Office?
- A. It is, yes. 21
- 2.2 Q. Does OSCT lead on supporting the Home Secretary and the
- SRO in developing, coordinating and implementing 23
- 2.4 CONTEST?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

11

- 1 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Does it also provide oversight of MI5 and
- Counter-terrorism Policing? 2
- 3 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And coordinate the response to counter-terrorism related
- 5 crises?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7 Q. Does the SRO chair a particular group that's of
- 8 relevance to what we are considering?
- 9 A. It's more acronyms, I am afraid, it's the National
- 10 Security Strategic Implementation Group, NSSIG CT.
- 11 Q. I should say that at pages 51 and 52 $\{INQ037080/51-52\}$
- 12 of your updated witness statement, you've given us
- 13 a list of the acronyms or initialisations that you'll be
- 14 using.
- 15 A. Yes

18

- Q. So NSSIG. What is the purpose of NSSIG, please? 16
- A. NSSIG CT is accountable to the NSC as is the DG OSCT and 17
 - it's the development and options for delivering CONTEST
- 19 and implementing the strategy of countering terrorism.
- 2.0 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Do you have to talk in these acronyms 21
- 2.2 A. I'm afraid so, yes, sir.

every day, all day?

- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm not criticising you. 23 24
- MR GREANEY: If I can make a suggestion: if you take 2.5 pages 51 and 52 out of the statement, I have certainly

- 1 found that helpful.
- 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It really wasn't meant as a criticism at
- 3 all . I'm sure it saves a huge amount of time that you
- 4 can talk in an acronym rather than the long words.
- 5 Thank you.
- MR GREANEY: That's NSSIG, and their role and 6
- 7 responsibility.
- 8 Does each of the four strands of CONTEST have its 9 own governance coordinating activity and tracking 10 relevant objectives?
- 11 A. That's correct, so I chair the Protect and the Prepare 12 boards. I am the SRO for Protect and Prepare.
- 13 Q. I said that we'd deal with the roles and
- 14 responsibilities of government departments, but in
- 15 short, do many government departments and agencies contribute to delivering CONTEST? 16
- A. They do. It is a whole integrated government approach, 17
- 18 so all the relevant departments sit on NSSIG, all of
- 19 those various leads will also flow down to sit on all
- 20 the four P boards as well.
- 2.1 Q. So first, you have mentioned the Home Office already.
- 2.2 Is one of the Home Office's strategic goals to reduce
- 2.3 terrorism?
- 2.4 A. That's correct, ves.
- 25 Q. I'm at paragraph 19 of your witness statement if that's

- 1 helpful.
- 2 Does that include strengthening protection against
- 3 a terrorist attack by improving security and resilience
- across the UK's public spaces?
- 5 A. It does, yes
- 6 Q. Across transport and infrastructure?
- 7 A. Yes.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ And does it involve also reducing $% \ensuremath{\mathsf{III}}$ illicit $% \ensuremath{\mathsf{access}}$ to the 8
- 9 materials needed for an attack, including at the border?
- 10 A. That's correct, yes.
- 11 Q. And mitigating the impact of a terrorist attack in order
- 12 to save lives, reduce harm and aid recovery?
- 13 A. That's correct, and just in that statement alone you can
- understand how we need the support of other government 14
- 15 departments and our operational partners in being able
- 16 to deliver that, because it's all relevant to an area of 17 their work.
- 18 Q. Does the Cabinet Office also have a role?
- 19 A. It does yes.
- Q. What is that role, please? 2.0
- 2.1 A. The Cabinet Office provides the Cabinet Contingency
- 2.2 Services, which works with local authorities for
- 23 a response to incidents or emergencies, and it also
- 2.4 provides the National Security Council and the policy
- 25 area for national security, which will support COBR, but

14

- 2 national security Q. The way in which you put it in your statement, which
- 3

will also support all of the other departments for

- 4 I'll ask you to confirm, is that the Cabinet Office
- 5 supports the work of the National Security Council.
- about which you have told us, through the National 7 Security Secretariat or NSS, which also has oversight of
- 8 the Single Intelligence Account?
- 9 A. Yes

6

- 10 Q. You referred to COBR. Is COBR the Cabinet Office
- 11 Briefing Rooms?
- 12 Α That's correct, ves
- 13 Q. What role does COBR play?
- 14 A. So it is the crisis management mechanism for government
- 15 and in relation to terrorist attacks and other
- 16 emergencies, it's managed by the CTS and it's where the
- 17 Prime Minister or senior ministers or senior officials
- 18 may meet to respond to a crisis
- 19 Q. Everyone in this room and indeed the public in general
- 20 will have heard of COBR meetings. They are Central
- 21 Government's crisis management mechanism for terrorist
- 22 attacks and indeed other major emergencies?
- 2.3 A. Yes
- 2.4 Does the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial
- 2.5 Strategy also have a role to play in CONTEST?

15

- 1 A Yes
- Q. In summary, what is that role, please? 2
- 3 A. So in Protect and Prepare it is the legal government
- department for energy, chemicals and civil and nuclear,
- 5 and it will support the protection of those alongside
- myself as well. It is also the lead department for 6
- 7 engagement with industry and business and it's important
- 8 that we have a relationship with them to be able to
- 9 communicate some of our security messages.
- 10 Q. The Department of Education. We will have more to ask
- 11 you about their role when you return, but in summary
- 12 what is the role that the Department of Education has
- 13 within CONTEST, please?
- 14 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}.$ It's to ensure that young people or people in education
- 15 are protected from the risk of radicalisation.
- 16 Q. One of the issues we'll be asking you to help us with
- 17 when you return is what, for example, a university ought
- 18 to do if a student suddenly drops out, but we'll get to
- 19 that in due course.

The Department of Health and Social Care, the DHSC,

21

2.0

2.5

- 2.2 This includes maintaining and building our capability to
- 23 respond to mass casualty incidents, not just terrorism
- 2.4 but from chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear
 - events as well, and also the implementation of Prevent

2

- 1 within the NHS trusts as well. I would also add that
- 2 all of these departments in some way or other have
- 3 responsibility for publicly accessible locations,
- 4 whether it be schools or hospitals.
- 5 Q. I'll ask you therefore, given that all of these
- departments will have or may have their own 6
 - infrastructure and may therefore have their own crowded
- 8 places, how is that coordinated in terms of having
- 9 a single strategy?

7

- A. It is coordinated through the NSSIG CT and through the 10
- Protect boards. So for messaging that I need to get out 11
- 12 around CONTEST or delivery of the strategy. I will do it
- 13 through the Protect boards, and we will have priority
- deliverables against all of the departments in relation 14
- 15 to national security on Protect or Prepare for myself.
- 16 But it's the same in all of the other strands as well.
- 17 Q. The Department of Transport, their role, please,
- 18 in relation to CONTEST?
- 19 A. So that leads the UK on land, aviation and maritime
- security policy. Again, you will understand that the 20
- 2.1 transport hubs are again another significant publicly
- 22 accessible location, although some areas of transport
- 2.3 security, such as aviation security, is heavily
- 2.4 regulated already.
- 25 Q. As you've said, there have been periods in our recent

17

- 1 history when aviation has been a particular target or
- 2 potential target for terrorists, and no doubt remains
- 3 so.
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. Next, the Foreign and Commonwealth Development Office, 5
- 6 the FCDO? 7
- A. It leads overseas support and international delivery on
- 8 the protection of UK interests overseas and that
- 9 includes all UK citizens, but very specifically an area
- 10 that I work with is the protection of UK tourists
- 11
- 12 Q. The Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local
- 13 Government
- 14 A. That sets the overarching framework for local
- 15 government. It's a key part of how we engage with the
- 16 local authorities to try and deliver the CONTEST
- 17 strategy into our communities.
- 18 Q. The Ministry of Defence? That's self-evident.
- 19 A. Yes
- 2.0 Q. And finally before we move on, the Ministry of Justice.
- 21 A. The Ministry of Justice manages the UK prisons and the
- 2.2 risks posed by terrorist offenders in prisons and when
- 23 they are released, which is part of the CONTEST
- strategy 2.4
- 2.5 Q. Again, one of the issues that we're going to ask you to

18

- help us with when you return is the extent to which
- it is important, and if important, the ways in which we
- 3 achieve this, that terrorist prisoners do not have
- 4 access to vulnerable individuals, open to
- radicalisation. But we'll get to that in due course. 5
- A. Yes. Although another witness will be providing that 6 7 evidence.
- Q. Is that Mr Mott that you are referring to? 8
- 9 A. Yes
- 10 Q. We'll ask you to help us with the overview and delve 11
 - into the detail with him.
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Presumably, from time to time, other
- 13 ministries may be included or have some relationship to
- 14 Prevent?
- 15 A. Yes. So a good example is the Department of Culture,
- 16 Media and Sport.
- 17 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I was going to mention that one. They
- 18 have responsibility for public entertainment
- 19
- 20 A. That's correct
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: $\,--\,$ and premises like the arena? 2.1
- 22 A. That's correct.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So they can get involved in that way? 2.3
- 2.4 A. Yes, and a good example of how we engage, and the
- 25 importance that we have on -- the relationship with

19

- 1 other departments is COVID. COVID is not terrorism --
- 2 all of the advice through COVID we want to ensure that
 - there's a security angle to that, especially when
- publicly accessible locations are changing as things are
- 5 closing down and different places become more crowded.
- 6 It's our engagement with those departments that are
- 7 really important to ensure that the advice they put to
- 8 their departments includes counter-terrorism advice.
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So this is a selection of the most
- 10 important ones or the ones you're most frequently
- 11 relating to?
- 12 A. Yes

3

- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But there are others?
- A. Yes, that's correct. 14
- 15 MR GREANEY: Indeed, we are going to deal, are we not.
- 16 a little later in your evidence with the Licensing Act
- and the extent to which that is legislation which can 17
- 18 deal with the crowded places issue? But we'll reach
- 19 that in due course.
- 2.0 I'm going to ask you next to turn ahead in your
- 2.1 statement to page 16, paragraph 48, because we are now 2.2 turning to deal with the Protect strand of CONTEST.
- 23 We'll begin with an overview. What is the purpose of
- 2.4 the Home Office's Protect work?
- 2.5 A. To reduce the vulnerability to terrorist attacks.

- 1 Q. Does the Home Office aim to have what you've described
- 2 as an effective multi-layered defence to protect against
- 3 an attack?
- 4 A. Yes, it does, yes.
- 5 Q. Which includes reducing illicit access to materials
- needed for an attack? 6
- 7
- 8 Q. Increasing the timeliness of suspicious transaction
- 9 reports?
- 10 A. That's correct, yes. That's in relation to precursors.
- 11 Q. Which, as we have agreed, we'll get to in due course --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 - on the next occasion you give evidence.
- Protecting the UK's crowded places? 14
- 15 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. That's an important role of Protect; is that correct? 16
- A. Yes 17
- 18 Q. And protecting the UK's transport and infrastructure
- 19 that are more attractive to attack?
- 20
- 21 Q. And making full use of the Home Office's powers and
- 22 capabilities at the border?
- 2.3 A. That's correct, ves.
- 2.4 Q. When you say in your statement:
- 25 "Protecting the UK's crowded places, transport and

- 1 infrastructure that are more attractive to attack."
- What do you mean? 2
- 3 A. There are -- we will talk about this later in publicly
- accessible locations, but there is an enduring threat
- 5 around aviation security, around aviation. There is
- 6 a threat on iconic locations and there are certain areas
- 7 where there is an enduring threat and that's what I mean 8
- by that sentence, without going into too much detail.
- 9 Q. I understand that we need to be most careful not to go 10 into matters that are operationally sensitive or even
- 11 more sensitive than that. 12 At paragraph 49 of your statement you set out
- 13 Protect's objectives. I'll read each out and ask you to 14 confirm it. First:
- 15 "To detect and deal with suspected terrorists and 16 harmful materials at the border."
- 17
- 18 Q. "To reduce the risk to and improve the resilience of
- 19 global aviation, other transport sectors, and critical
- 2.0 and national infrastructure most at risk to terror
- 21 attacks.
- 2.2 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And most relevant so far as your evidence today is
- 2.4
- 25 "To reduce the vulnerability of crowded places,

- 1 specific vulnerable groups and high-profile
- 2 individuals."
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And:
- "To detect and prevent terrorist access to and use 5 6 of materials of concern, knowledge and information that 7 could be used to conduct attacks."
- 8 A. That's right, yes.
- 9 Q. Who is the SRO for Protect?
- 10 A. I am.

13

22

- 11 Q. In this regard what is the role of the OSCT?
- 12 A. OSCT is responsible for overseeing the cross-government
 - work that comes under the Protect strand of CONTEST and
- 14 manages all the policy areas for Protect, as just
- 15 detailed. So in my directorate there is policy teams
- 16 that look at precursors, that look at the protection of
- 17 VIPs, and look at Protect amongst crowded place, amongst
- 18 other policy areas around hazardous substances, aviation
- 19 security, and different areas of policy around Protect.
- 20 Q. Does the OSCT have plans to change aspects of the work
- 2.1 that Protect does? A. It does, yes.
- 2.3 Q. So far as relevant today, is one of the changes that is
- 2.4 intended to make to improve security at crowded places?
- 2.5 A. That's correct, yes.

- 1 Q. Is it intended to do that, and we're going to get to the
- 2 detail of this shortly, through closer, more effective
- 3 working with a wider range of local authority and
- 4 private sector responsible partners?
- 5 A. Yes

8

- 6 Q. In summary at this stage, what does that mean?
- 7 A. So we'll talk later about how we are going to effect
 - that, but it's closer engagement with all of the
- 9 relevant partners that have a role in publicly
- 10 accessible locations. We're going to talk about that in
- 11 a bit more detail later on.
- 12 Q. Quite right. I'm going to ask you to turn to page 29 of
- 13 your updated statement, paragraph 87, where you address
- 14 the issue of crowded places.
- 15 Does the OSCT have a crowded places work programme?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 Q. What does that mean, work programme?
- 18 A. So there's a crowded places policy team that is working
- 19 with its partners, so on its own there is -- I'm sorry,
- chair $\,--\,$ something called the PSPSG, which is the 2.0
- 21 Protective Security and Preparedness Steering Group.
- 2.2 which works with its stakeholders to drive a programme
- 23 of deliverables against protecting publicly accessible
- 2.4 locations. I'm trying not to be very Civil
- 2.5 Service-like, but I'm finding it quite difficult .

1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Don't worry.

2 MR GREANEY: I hope that what you've been saying has been

3 clear so far. We are now getting to the most important 4 part of your evidence and I'll do what I can to ensure

5 that your evidence emerges with clarity.

- 6 Is there a specific legislative definition of 7 a crowded place?
- 8 A. No.
- $\begin{array}{ll} 9 & \text{Q. But has the government at various stages published} \\ 10 & \text{guidance on protecting such places?} \end{array}$
- 11 A. It has, yes.
- 12 Q. Indeed, in March of 2010, did the government publish 13 such guidance, outlining that crowded places were:

"Locations frequented by the public, which are
judged to be a possible terrorist target by virtue of
their crowd density"?

- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. The next year, in July 2011, were crowded places definedagain by the government as:

20 "... including shopping centres, sports stadia,
21 bars, pubs and clubs which are easily accessible to the
22 public and attractive to terrorists. They are owned and
23 managed by private businesses or local authorities who
24 are responsible for considering what steps should be
25 taken to protect them based on advice available from the

25

- 1 government and the police"?
- 2 A. Yes

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- Q. Since February of 2018, has a more inclusive definition
 of a crowded place been used within government and by
 government partners?
- 6 A. It has, yes.
- 7 Q. Does that read as follows:

"A crowded place is a location or environment to which members of the public have access that may be considered more at risk from a terrorist attack by virtue of its crowd density or the nature of the site. Crowded places may include sports stadia, arenas, festivals and music venues, hotels and restaurants. pubs. clubs, bars and casinos, high streets, shopping centres and markets, visitor attractions, cinemas and theatres, schools and universities, hospitals and places of worship, commercial centres and transport hubs. Crowded places may also include events and public realm spaces such as parks and squares. In each case, a crowded place will not necessarily be crowded at all times. Crowd densities may vary and may be temporary. as in the case of sporting events or open-air festivals "?

26

- 23 fest24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So the feature of all of these locations is crowd

- density, which may vary?
- 2 A. Yes
- $\begin{array}{lll} 3 & Q. & \text{And am I correct that one of the things that make this} \\ 4 & & \text{a difficult} & \text{situation is that not all crowded places} \end{array}$
- 5 will be under the control of the state?
- 6 A. That's correct, yes.
- 7 Q. Some, indeed many, will be under the control of private 8 individuals?
- 9 A. That's right, yes.
- $10\,$ Q. And that is one of the things that makes this
- 11 a challenging issue for government; am I correct?
- 12 A. It does, yes.
- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And also if you bring in legislation at
- some stage, actually doing a definition of a crowded
- place is going to be quite tricky, or is this the
- proposed definition to go in legislation?
- 17 A. It is not. You will see, as we go through, that we move
- away from trying to identify and list what is a crowded
- 19 place, when it's a crowded place, who owns it, who has
- $20\,$ accountability . We're going to move away from that.
- 21 MR GREANEY: We're going to see, as we move further on in
- 22 your evidence and look at the government's reaction to
- 23 the events of 2017 and the government's response to the
- $24\,$ $\,$ $\,$ proposed Martyn's Law, that there has been a move away
- 25 from the idea of a crowded place and what you intend to

27

- 1 use as a description in due course is "publicly
- 2 accessible locations" --
- 3 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. $\,--$ or PALs. I read out a moment ago that very long, as
- 5 you describe it, more inclusive definition used within
- 6 government and its partners since February 2018.
- 7 I won't read it out, but the Home Office has, you'll be
- 8 able to confirm, found it useful to shorten that long
- 9 definition in order to articulate clearly what's within
- 10 its scope?

2.4

- 11 A. That's correct. I think this really represents or
- $12\,$ reflects how challenging this area is . So for the
- policy team to identify what is a crowded place, to
- 14 allow our operational partners to deliver on the
- protection of that or other government departments, they leave looking for that kind of guidance. This is why it's
- 17 always been so challenging, the definition has never
- been nailed down, it's been shortened, it's been
- lengthened, it's been made more inclusive, but it is
- 20 a very challenging area to get accountability and
- 21 guidance to be able to deliver on.
- 22 Q. So you're going to be telling us about a public
- consultation that it's hoped, COVID permitting, to
 - commence soon. Is this one of the issues upon which the
- 25 Home Office will be consulting?

- 1 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. So how one decides to which particular locations the
- 3 legislation that you intend to bring forward will apply?
- 4 A Yes
- 5 Q. We'll get to that in due course.
- From July 2012 -- and, sir, I'm now at the top of 6
- 7 page 31 -- until 2018, did a group called the Crowded
- Places Working Group, or CPWG, oversee the development 8
- 9 of strategy and associated programmes for crowded
- 10 places?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. Was that a Home Office group?
- 13 A. It was, yes, led by the policy -- the Protect policy
- 14
- 15 Q. Did that come under the auspices of the OSCT?
- A. Yes. So there's a deputy director for Protect and the 16 CPWG would flow up into the Protect board. 17
- 18 Q. Was that group chaired by the head of Protect and
- 19 Prepare, OSCT? 20

A. That's correct, yes.

- 2.1 Q. Did it meet every 2 to 3 months?
- 2.2 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. So this was the group that was looking at crowded places
- 2.4 at the time at which the Manchester attack occurred --
- 25 A. That's correct.

29

- 1 ${\sf Q}.\ --$ and indeed in the 5 years preceding that? Did that
- 2 group operate by way of looking at specific locations or
- 3 did it operate at a higher level?
- A. It operated at a more strategic level . Individual
- 5 locations were the responsibility of our operational
- partners, namely Counter-terrorism Policing. 6
- 7 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ So you wouldn't be looking at individual locations .
- 8 So -- and this is an important distinction that we need
- to have in mind as we go forward -- the role of that 9 10 group and of the OSCT was strategic, and when it came to
- 11 specific locations that would be the responsibility of
- 12 the police?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{We're}\;\mathsf{going}\;\mathsf{to}\;\mathsf{see}\;\mathsf{a}\;\;\mathsf{little}\;\;\mathsf{bit}\;\;\mathsf{with}\;\mathsf{you},\;\mathsf{but}\;\mathsf{with}\;\;$ 14
- 15 DAC D'Orsi later on today, that in 2017 the way in which
- 16 that was delivered or one of the ways in which it was
- delivered was through the CTSA scheme? 17
- 18 A. Correct, yes.
- 19 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ What is the role of the National Counter Terrorism
- Security Office -- we do know this acronym, NaCTSO --2.0
- 21 in relation to crowded places?
- 2.2 A. So it is the single point where counter-terrorism
- 23 specialist advice can be provided.
- 24 Q. Is NaCTSO responsible for the network of police CTSAs?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

30

- 1 Q. And responsible for developing specific guidance and 2 delivering protective security advice?
- 3 A. It is, yes, along with its partners as well, yes.
- ${\sf Q}.\;\;{\sf Is}\;\;{\sf it}\;\;{\sf the}\;{\sf expectation}\;{\sf of}\;{\sf the}\;{\sf OSCT}\;{\sf that}\;{\sf the}\;{\sf --}\;{\sf and}\;{\sf was}\;{\sf it}\;\;$ 4
- the expectation of the OSCT in 2017 -- that the police 5 would liaise with private and public owners of sites and 6
- 7 assets which might require protective security measures?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. And that any issues concerning the nature, detail and
- 10 scale of advice provided, the distribution of that
- 11 advice and its effectiveness would be matters for the
- 12 police, not OSCT?
- 13 Yes, that's correct.
- Q. I've used a number of times now that term "protective 14
- 15 security measures". Could you explain to us what that
- 16 means, please?
- 17 A. So there are not just NaCTSO but other parts of
- 18 government at the Centre for the Protection of National
- 19 Infrastructure that provides also guidance and also
- technology support on protective security measures. But 20
- 21 between them, they provide advice around protective
- 22 security measures such as hostile vehicle mitigations,
- 23 barriers, bollards, screening, going through airports,
- 2.4 protective security measures --
- 25 Searching?

3

31

- 1 A. Yes, different techniques, processes and guidances.
- 2 Anything from security guarding advice right up to
 - physical protection is protective security.
- Q. I'm now at paragraph 95 of your witness statement. You
- identify at paragraphs 95 and 96 what your expectation 5
- 6 is of the work that the police will do and we'll hear
- more about that from DAC D'Orsi. But we'll seek your 7
- 8 views at this stage. You state:
- 9 "The delivery of the Crowded Places Work Programme 10 by Counter-terrorism Policing and other partners aims to
- 11 provide stakeholders, including local authorities, site
- 12 owners and operators, responsible for crowded places
- 13 with high quality advice and guidance."
- 14 Is that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct, ves.
- 16 Q. So that they are aware of all plausible terrorist
- 17

2.0

- 18 A. That's correct. As much as they are allowed to be, yes.
- 19 Q. So in terms of the arena, your expectation in May 2017
 - is that the owners and operators of the arena would be
- 21 aware of all plausible terrorist threats?
- 2.2 That's correct, and through the threat level, which is 23
- 2.4 So that they can also know what steps to take to reduce
- 25 vulnerability to and prepare for terrorist attack?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And so that they can develop action plans of mitigating
- 3 activity; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $5\,$ $\,$ Q. This is about the police engaging with the owners and
- 6 operators of crowded places within their area?
- 7 A. Yes, and within their remit and focus.
- 8 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 9 A. I think we're still talking about 2017?
- 10 Q. We are, yes.
- 11 A. So there is only a finite number of CTSAs, so they --
- 12 and there are about 650,000 places.
- $13\,$ $\,$ Q. I think we're going to hear, if not from you, from
- DAC D'Orsi, that there were at the time about 200 CTSAs.
- 15 A. Right, yes.
- $16\,$ $\,$ Q. So 200 CTSAs and how many crowded places did you say
- 17 there were?
- 18 A. Over 600,000, I think is the ...
- 19 Q. That sounds like a lot for 200 people to address.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. So what is the point that you're making? Is it that
- $22\,$ they need to prioritise , that they need to ignore some
- 23 or deal with it in a different way?
- 24 A. They need to prioritise, and at that time there was
- 25 a tiering system for prioritisation .

33

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We need to remember, don't we, at all
- 3 stages that CTSA advice is entirely voluntary from the
- 4 recipient's point of view? So if a CTSA says, "We would
- 5 like to come and advise you about a terrorist threat",
- 6 if the owner says, "Really sorry, I haven't got time for
- 7 that", there's nothing they can do about it.
- 8 A. That's correct. And also --
- $9\,$ $\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: That is not a suggestion about the arena
- $10\,$ $\,$ in any way, may I say, but it is, as a general principle
- which we are looking, is voluntary whether they have the
- 12 advice or not.
- 13 MR GREANEY: Absolutely, sir.
- 14 $\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Sorry, I did cut across you, I just
- didn't want to be misunderstood in any way.
- $16\,$ $\,$ A. It is voluntary. But I would like to make the point
- it is funded by government, that OSCT and the
- 18 Home Office funds Counter—terrorism Policing, and the
- intention is it's always free. So CTSAs are free, the
- $20\,$ advice is free, the guidance from NaCTSO is free, but
- 21 absolutely, it's voluntary to take --
- 22 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: There's no good reason for not taking
- $23\,$ it , I do understand, but nevertheless it is voluntary.
- 24 A. Yes, sir
- MR GREANEY: You'll entirely understand what the chairman is

34

- driving at, and as an inquiry we are concerned to see
- 2 what recommendations may be made, and members of the
- 3 public sitting and viewing these proceedings might
- 4 regard it as unsatisfactory that in relation to
- 5 something which is so important, namely keeping the
- 6 public safe from terrorist attack in a crowded place,
- $7 \hspace{1.5cm} \hbox{the advice given by the experts is entirely voluntary} \\$
- 8 and the site can say yes or no.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And that a site might be told by a CTSA, "There is a big
- problem here with this particular location and you ought
- 12 to be doing something about it to protect the public",
- and the site might say, "I'm not going to do it", and
- 14 that might be driven by commercial factors?
- 15 A. That's correct. I think ... I don't know, you'll have
- 16 to ask Lucy D'Orsi, I don't know the occasions
- 17 specifically where that has happened, but I must be
- 18 clear that the public have a right to be protected
- wherever they go and they have an expectation that they
- will be protected. That's our role in government, to
- 21 ensure that we achieve their expectations
- ensure that we achieve their expectations.
- $22\,$ $\,$ Q. We are going to hear from DAC D'Orsi that there is
- $23\,$ a portion of those who are given advice who just don't
- 24 take it for whatever reason, which I think we can
- 25 probably agree is very far from ideal.

3

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Paragraph 96. You identify that there is a range of
- 3 work which is undertaken to realise those aims, which we 4 described at paragraph 95.
- 5 CTSAs based in all police regions provide bespoke
- 6 advice to the responsible parties?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. They provide advice to planners, designers and
- 9 architects in considering protective security measures
- 10 at significant new builds and refurbishments?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So it's not just about going to locations that already
- exist, but where new premises are being developed or
- 14 refurbished, they advise those people as well?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. The CTSAs provide targeted awareness and training events
- 17 with crowded places staff and managers in order to
- 18 explore ways to prevent, handle and recover from an
- 19 attack and identify and respond to hostile
- 20 reconnaissance?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You deal in identifying who is to provide that targeted
- 23 awareness and those training events, both with CTSAs and
- 24 CTAAs. We're very familiar with what CTSAs are; can you
- 25 tell us what CTAAs are, please?

- A. Counter-terrorism awareness no, I can't.
- 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It is counter-terrorism awareness
- 3 advisers . I've got the list here, so whenever there is
- 4 one that you can't remember −−
- 5 MR GREANEY: What is the difference between a CTSA and
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{a}}$ CTAA or are we better directing that question to
- 7 DAC D'Orsi?
- 8 A. Absolutely better directing it to DAC D'Orsi.
- 9 Q. In terms of other work undertaken to achieve the
- 10 policing aims, is there stakeholder engagement by the
- police through something called the Crowded Places
- 12 Information Exchange and other groups to encourage 13 senior and corporate level engagement to address
- 14 terrorist threats?
- $15\,$ $\,$ A. There are, and these are exchanges or forums that
- 16 involve industry, solution providers, security
 - companies, local authorities, where public messaging and
- advice and guidance is important and it's a way that
- 19 CTSAs can get the messaging to many rather than just
- 20 one—to—one engagements.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. You've mentioned advice and guidance and you'll be able
- 22 to confirm that another way in which the police seek to
- 23 achieve their aims is through the provision of both
- 24 targeted and online advice from NaCTSO?
- 25 A. That's correct, yes.

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. And from the Centre for the Protection of National
- 2 Infrastructure, which we know as CPNI?
- 3 A. Yes

17

- ${f Q}$. And you'll be aware that such advice and guidance is
- regularly reviewed and updated?A. It is, yes.
- $7\,$ Q. So that deals with the role and responsibility of the
- 8 OSCT and the role and responsibility of the police.
- Next, can we deal with the responsibility, by which
 I mean, I suppose, the ultimate or legal responsibility
- for crowded places. Ultimately, are they the
- responsibility of the owners, operators, organisers so far as events are concerned, and/or public authorities?
- 14 A. That's correct, yes.
- 15 Q. Does that present a challenge?
- $16\,$ $\,$ A. It does because accountability is quite difficult to
- understand, especially in things like grey spaces, as
- we've heard, but I've watched all of this inquiry and
- some of the things that are coming out of this inquiry lilustrate the complexity of understanding who
- the owner or accountable person is for a certain area.
- 22 Q. No doubt that is correct, but can you tell us what in
- particular you have in mind when you talk about the
- $24\,$ difficulties that the inquiry's evidence has
- 25 illustrated?

38

- 1 A. So as we'll talk about going forward, the very premise
- 2 of understanding -- of protecting the public in
- 3 accessible locations is someone needs to be responsible
- 4 for that location, and identifying who that person is is
- going to be quite challenging. I have seen this throughthis inquiry.
- 7 Q. Obviously we're principally concerned with the
- 8 City Room, although no doubt the chairman will want to
 - give guidance of a broader application. But so far as
- 10 the City Room was concerned, on the evidence we have
- heard so far, it might be suggested that there is no
- doubt about who was responsible for security within the
- City Room, namely SMG under the facilities management
- agreement. Is what you're talking about, having been
- illustrated by the evidence, the difficulty that appears
- 16 to have existed in relation to patrolling on the
- 17 mezzanine or are you talking about something more
- 18 general?

9

- 19~ A. So more general -- I mean, it's obviously for the chair
- 20 to ... for his recommendations on this particular case,
- 21 but I'm just using this to highlight the general
- 22 complexities of trying to find who is accountable for
- 23 security and what we now know as grey spaces between
- $24\,$ ownership, responsible for security, responsible for the
- 25 people, so I'm just not underestimating the complexities

39

- 1 of this going forward.
- $2\,$ $\,$ Q. Is the point you're making, or a point you're making,
- 3 just really to drill down to the events of 22 May, that
- 4 someone should have been responsible for the safety and
- 5 security of the people within the City Room?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7 Q. And that person who had that responsibility should have
- 8 known they had the responsibility?
- 9 A. Yes
- 10 Q. We've touched on this already, and I'm now at the top of
- 11 page 33 of your witness statement. Are all crowded
- 12 places vulnerable to attack?
- 13 A. Yes, although we are moving away from that definition of
- 14 a crowded place.
- 15 Q. But back in 2017 --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So, still using that definition, it would appear
- 18 self evident that all crowded places would be
- 19 potentially vulnerable to attack to some extent?
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. But is it also self-evident that not all locations would
- 22 be vulnerable to attack to the same extent?
- 23 A. That's correct, yes.
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. So that some crowded places would be considered by an
- 25 attacker to be more attractive than others?

- 1 A. That's right, yes.
- 2 Q. As a result, back in 2017, were CTSA efforts prioritised
- 3 at certain locations?
- 4 A. They were, yes.
- 5 Q. In particular were certain locations prioritised where
- 6 they were thought to be the most attractive to
- 7 attackers?
- 8 A. Yes.
- $9\,$ $\,$ Q. And moreover where it was thought there was the greatest
- 10 opportunity to improve protective security?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- $12\,$ Q. At the time of the attack, was the Manchester Arena
- a prioritised crowded place?
- 14 A. It was.
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. Whilst appreciating that you anticipate change, as we
- stand or sit here in this room, does the
- 17 Manchester Arena remain a prioritised crowded place?
- 18 A. Yes.
- $19\,$ $\,$ Q. I'm going to turn next to actions since 2017 and the
- $20\,$ crowded places model. What did the 2017 attacks
- 21 demonstrate?
- 22 A. They demonstrated that a crowded place could be
- anywhere, so whilst we had been prioritising certain
- 24 places, the bridge attacks identified that it wasn't
- 25 necessarily the right area to focus on, just on

41

- 1 prioritised places.
- 2 Q. I suppose it might be said that not only did the 2017
- 3 attacks, viewed overall, demonstrate that any crowded
- 4 place might be attractive, the attacks also demonstrated
- 5 that crowded places as an idea continued to be
- 6 attractive targets for terrorists .
- 7 A. That's correct. We have continued to see that since
- 8 2017.9 Q. Indeed, you say, there's nothing sensitive about this,
- that plots that have been disrupted since 2017 and
- intelligence assessments that have been made indicate
- 12 that crowded places will continue to be attractive
- 13 targets for terrorists.
- 14 A. That's correct
- Q. In February of 2018, we're going to get another acronymI'm afraid, did something in particular happen?
- 17 A. So the CPWG took over -- was taken over to become the
- 18 PSPSG, the Protective Security and Preparedness Steering
- Group, which included greater focus on crowded places
- and increased the attendees at that steering group to
- 21 include more stakeholders, other government departments
- 22 and operational partners.
- 23 Q. We're going to look at other changes and proposed
- changes, but why was that change made in February 2018?
- A. Mainly because of the attacks on the bridges, which were

- 1 thoroughfares or busy places or city centres, you know,
 - places that weren't necessarily locations.
- 3 Q. So the work of the PSPSG, now as you suggested, includes
- 4 a greater focus on crowded public places?
- 5 A. Yes

2

9

- 6 Q. It has a broader membership?
- 7 A. It does, yes.
- 8 Q. And it involves considerable consideration of the
 - consistency of advice, guidance and mitigations
- 10 implemented for temporary events?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. Is membership made up of representatives of departments
- 13 from across government?
- 14 A. Yes, mainly the ones I've mentioned earlier.
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. And does it also include National CT Policing
- 16 Headquarters and British Transport Police?
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. Does that organisation, the PSPSG, remain the
- 19 responsible group to this day?
- 20 A. It does, yes, it reports into DAC D'Orsi and myself.
- 21 Q. Does it meet every 6 weeks?
- 22 A. Yes.

3

- 23 Q. And provide updates in writing every 6 months to both
- the OSCT, the Protect SRO, and the Deputy Assistant
- 25 Commissioner Specialist Operations, DAC D'Orsi?

4

- $1\,$ $\,$ A. Yes, although it did back then. Now, in the last year
- or 18 months, this has been overtaken in how it reports,
 - but it still happens every 6 weeks.
- 4 Q. The chairman is aware of the Westminster Bridge and
- 5 London Bridge Inquests and the PFD that followed on from
- 6 the inquests. Did you play any part in a response to
- 7 the inquests?
- 8 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think we will need to know what PFD is
- 9 otherwise people listening to his may not know.
- 10 A. A prevention of future deaths report.
- 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It's a report by the coroner.
- 12 A. At the time I wasn't in this role.
- 13 MR GREANEY: Your predecessor?
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. What part did he play in the PFD report?
- 16 A. In the response to the recommendations, but it was more
- 17 or less the same policy team on crowded places then and
- 18 they're still in place now.
- 19 Q. The way in which you put it at paragraph 105 of your
- 20 statement is:
- 21 "The Westminster Bridge and London Bridge PFD
- 22 responses ... "
- Do you mean the responses of the OSCT?
- 24 A. Yes
- $25\,$ $\,$ Q. " \ldots set out the ongoing consideration regarding the

42

- audiences and stakeholders to whom advice, guidance and communications are provided and targeted, the effectiveness of messages, in particular that it leads to a meaningful learning or activity, and how and where we can most efficiently focus our efforts from a strategic point of view."
 - Is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct, yes
- 9 Q. And you add:

- "Changes to both the advice and the way it is
 disseminated include the following: first ,
 Counter-terrorism Policing initiated a programme of
- 13 sectoral and regional locations engagement days."
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. What did those involve so far as you understood?
- 16 A. Again this is coming back to a focus on trying to
- 17 provide advice and guidance to many rather than the
- 18 one-to-one engagements with locations. So they would
 19 bring in many members of business community, owners of
- bring in many members of business community, owners oflocations where the public have access, and provide
- input around the latest threat and guidance on
- 22 protective security.
- Q. So are these all -- we're going to look at other
- $24 \hspace{1cm} {\rm changes} \hspace{0.1cm} -- \hspace{0.1cm} {\rm changes} \hspace{0.1cm} {\rm which} \hspace{0.1cm} {\rm have} \hspace{0.1cm} {\rm been} \hspace{0.1cm} {\rm implemented} \hspace{0.1cm} {\rm since} \hspace{0.1cm}$
- 25 early 2019?

45

- 1 A. Yes. that's correct.
- Q. Are there also, since the PFD report from the inquests,
- 3 new and revised training and awareness products for
- 4 managers, front of house and other staff at crowded
- 5 places delivered through bespoke sessions by CTSAs?
- 6 A. That's correct, yes.
- 7 Q. And e-learning awareness training programme covering
- 8 spotting signs of suspicious behaviour and what to do if 9 an attack takes place. And that's been broadened so
- it's freely available to at all?
- 11 A. Yes, it's called ACT e-learning now.
- 12 Q. And significant new advice and guidance continues to be
- provided by Counter—terrorism Policing and CPNI; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct. Again, the advice is constantly16 changing dependent on the threat.
- 17 Q. In the light of the London Bridge PFD report did the
- government recognise something in particular?
- 19 A. Yes. They recognised and committed to a refresh of the20 crowded places model and reviewing it.
- 21 Q. I think it 'd be fair to say the government recognised 22 that more could be done to protect the public in crowded
- placesA. Yes.
- Q. And as you and government have put it, they committed to
 - 46

- a complete refresh of the crowded places model?
- 2 A. Yes.
- $3\,$ Q. Did that include reviewing whether it would be
- 4 appropriate and proportionate for more to be achieved
- 5 through a legislative approach?
- 6 A. It did, yes.
- 7 Q. Was it in the summer of 2019 that the government
- 8 initiated the refresh of the crowded places and spaces
- 9 strategy?
- 10 A. That's right, yes.
- 12 the approach that had been adopted until that point?
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.
- 14 Q. Where further improvements could be delivered across
- 15 government, the police and other stakeholders?
- 16 A. Yes.
- $17\,$ $\,$ Q. The way in which you put it in your statement at
- 18 paragraph 107 is:
- 19 "This refresh was initiated because it was
- $2\,0\,$ recognised in the wake of the learning from the 2017
- attacks and the changing nature of the terrorist threat
- that the 2014 crowded places model needed to be updated to better reflect the terrorist threat now faced."
- 24 A. Yes
- 25 Q. "A threat which was less focused on individual iconic

47

- 1 sites and more on targeting people, whether randomly or
- 2 as representatives of specific groups, as they went
- 3 about their daily lives .'
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. Who has overseen the refresh?
- ${\bf 6}$ $\,$ A. Director—general OSCT has chaired that refresh, those
- 7 refresh meetings.
- 8 Q. Has the refresh drawn on a range of evidential sources?
- 9 A. Yes, such as threat assessments, data from NaCTSO and
- 10 CPNI, obviously the guidance and recommendations from
- 11 the prevention of future deaths reports and also surveys
- of industry and owners of crowded places.
- 13 Q. Has the refresh reached a conclusion?
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. What is that conclusion, please?
- 16 A. So it is better to reflect the threat against people
- wherever they are rather than against specific locations
- and shift the focus of protecting people wherever they
- 19 are.
- 20 Q. As you've put it in your statement, paragraph 109:
- 21 "To shift the focus from protecting specific crowded
- 22 places or individual sites, to improve the safety of the
- public at all publicly accessible locations.'
- 24 A. Yes
- 25 Q. What does that conclusion reflect?

- 1 A. It then reflects that there is a significant broader
- 2 range of areas and locations that may be targeted.
- 3 Q. Going forward, how is it proposed to approach the issue?
- 4 A. It is proposed to provide a proportionate implementation 5 of protective security and preparedness in all
- locations, in all areas where the public have access. 6
- 7 Q. This is the term that we've used now a number of 8 times: publicly accessible locations or PALs?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Is it intended to do that through a variety of different
- 11 engagement methods?
- 12 A. It is, yes.
- 13 Q. Does the OSCT, and indeed the government more generally,
- intend that that term, publicly accessible locations, 14
- 15 should replace the term "crowded places"?
- 16 A. That's correct, yes.
- 17 Q. Is it intended to build on the evolution of the current
- 18 system? A. Yes.
- 20 Q. How?

19

- 2.1 A. So we have split publicly accessible locations into four 2.2 distinct areas. Shall I ...
- Q. We'll take this slowly because we're now getting, aren't 2.3
- 2.4 we, to the main issue you're here to help us with --
- 25

49

- 1 Q. -- namely what is going to change?
- 2. A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So what are the four different areas into which you are going to split publicly accessible locations?
- 5 A. Sectors. For example, health, education, retail and 6 leisure as a sector.
- 7 Groups such as faith groups, LGBT+ communities,
- 8 different types of groups.
- 9 Zones such as public spaces, aggregated areas, 10 towns, villages, what we here are calling the grey 11
- 12 And sites, which are the individual sites that 13 previously --
- 14 Q. The iconic sites?
- 15 A. Yes, the iconic sites, similar to Manchester Arena as 16 well, as a site that has 1 million paying customers 17 coming through it every year.
- 18 Q. What is the purpose of dividing up the assessment into
- 19 those four different categories? What is it thought
- 2.0 that will achieve that has not previously been achieved 21 or sufficiently achieved?
- 2.2 A. It will ensure that there are no gaps where there are
- 23 areas where the public have access, that someone can
- 2.4 say, "I wasn't accountable". Someone is accountable in

50

25 all of those areas -- and by accountable, I mean

- 1 accountable for the security of the public in those 2 locations
- 3 Q. How will that -- and we're going to come to proposed
- 4 legislation in a moment. How do you intend that will
- look on the ground? How will the identification of 5 6 those categories ensure that everyone in those
- 7 categories knows that they have the responsibility for 8 security?
- 9 A. This will be part of the consideration in the
- 10 legislation, but what we expect is that if anyone is
 - leaving their home, which isn't a publicly accessible
- 12 location, and walking along the street, dropping
 - children off at nursery, going to the shops, going to
- 14 the cinema, the public wouldn't know it, but at all
- 15
- times someone is accountable for their protection
- 16 because they are in a publicly accessible location.
- 17 It's because we moved from local authority owned to 18 a sector, as in retail, into a shop or into an arena,
- 19 you're moving from one area to another and traditionally
- 20 it's been quite difficult to understand the handover.
- 2.1 Q. So whenever one is out of one's home and in a publicly
- 22 accessible location, someone will be accountable for
- 2.3 that person's safety and security?
- 2.4 Α

11

13

25 Presumably, not only should someone be accountable, they

- 1 should know that they're accountable?
- 2. A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And I do appreciate that there is a process of
- consultation to be undergone, but how do you imagine
- 5 that person should know that they are accountable? How
- 6 is that to be achieved?
- 7 A. There will be significant public communication work
- 8 around that, there will be legislation that will involve
- 9 that, but this is under consideration, this is ... And
- 10 this inquiry has been revealing some of the real
- 11 challenges about identifying accountability. This is
- 12 where it's tremendously helpful that we get the help
- 13 from this inquiry to understand where the thresholds,
- 14 the proportionality and the accountability will all sit
- 15 in the future legislation, amongst many other areas of
- 16 challenge --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: That's quite a challenge. 17
- 18 MR GREANEY: Let's look at legislation. This approach that
- 19 you've told us about of identifying four categories -
 - is that the right way of putting it, to describe them as
- 21 four categories?
- 2.2 A. Yes

2.0

2.4

- 23 Q. This approach of identifying four categories and making
 - sure that someone is always accountable, is that
- 2.5 approach to be underpinned by the introduction of new

responsibility will sit with those accountable for the

2 A. Yes, that's ministerial intention. 2 publicly accessible location to do something about it 3 Q. And also an enhanced communications strategy to improve 3 against a terrorist threat. In being able to deliver 4 public awareness of the threat and the actions they can 4 free advice, guidance, having an easy-to-access portal 5 take? 5 to get to, it's critical that we use that as our A. That's correct. 6 6 communications platform to support people in 7 Q. Tailored training and resources for key sectors, groups 7 a proportionate way, sir. So the ambition is not to 8 and localities? 8 create something that is onerous and a burden on people, A. Yes. 9 9 but to be proportionate, free and applicable, whatever 10 Q. And improved digital tools and capabilities? 10 size of location, zone, site, sector or faith 11 11 MR GREANEY: We're going to look at this when we come to the A. Yes. 12 12 Q. Is it intended that a new online platform, the legislation, but you're making very much the same point 13 information-sharing platform, will provide a freely 13 that Figen Murray made earlier this week when she gave 14 available digital service that allows organisations with 14 her evidence, that for some locations and big locations, 15 counter-terrorism responsibilities to access the 15 changing the law might require something substantial to 16 16 material, advice and training they need? be done, but at many other locations it will require 17 17 A. Yes, and the absolute driver, the engine of delivering very little to be done and something to be done that 18 this mindset change around protecting the public from 18 will have a low or no cost. 19 19 terrorist attacks will require significant 20 20 Q. And it's about being proportionate? communication, and the information-sharing platform is 2.1 a significant investment, actually invested in 2.1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I know proportionate is now the buzzword 22 partnership with the insurance sector through the 22 in any form of legislation, but actually it's quite 2.3 2.3 terrorism reinsurer, Pool Re. It's a platform that will difficult in terrorism. 2.4 2.4 allow free advice to every aspect of protecting places Α 25 from terrorism. So to the public, to the small and 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So the proportion between what you have 1 medium enterprise, to the small café owner, to local 1 to spend on your premises or on whatever you're doing in 2 authorities, to larger sites and zones, places like the 2 order to prevent what may be a fairly remote risk of one 3 arena. 3 life going, it's a problem which governments have to It will be the front door of all the key free advice contend with all the time and it's very difficult to see 5 and support, including training and guidance and 5 that in proportionate terms at all. technology, to be able to deliver this, to make 6 It is not the only area you're having to consider, 6 7 7 a significant mindset change, to stop the consideration it applies in privacy considerations as well, all those 8 8 that, "Yes, there's a terrorist threat but it won't sort of things. Is there an answer to that? 9 9 happen to me", to become, "There's a terrorist threat, A. It is a challenge, but I would reflect, during the 10 I must do something about that", is a really important 10 coronavirus, when -- I won't say company names, but 11 11 a retailer was talking about employing several thousand 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: That's always there and that's always 12 new staff to respond to the demand in their retail 13 a problem. 13 store, and he said -- in the questioning from the media was, "How long will this take?" And he said, "Well, A. Yes 14 14 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I am not quite sure how that links in to 15 15 it'll need a day of health and safety and fire training 16 what you're saying about the legislative change. 16 and then we'll be able to get them on the shop floor". 17 You have been trying for a very long time to persuade 17 Why shouldn't that include security training? Because 18 people all round the country of the terrorist threat and 18 in some cases, there is no protective security aspect to 19 of course everyone thinks, "It'll never happen to me", 19 it, so if you've got a café or a restaurant, it is not 2.0 2.0 and you have to convince them somehow that it might feasible to screen and search people as they come in for 21 21 happen to them and they have to do something about it. a meal. People would understand someone suspicious 2.2 A. Yes. 2.2 coming into their restaurant, they're not that big, but 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: What does that have to do with this 23 it is appropriate and correct that the staff in that 24 2.4 restaurant, if there is something happening outside or

4 56

2.5

a terrorist attack, they know what actions they take

2.5

A. With the Protect duty, with the legislation change, the

1

primary legislation?

3

4

5

6

7

25

3

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

from a terrorist attack, where the exits are, where they 2 would hide, how the door would be locked. Just basic 3 risk assessment and mitigation, whether it be on 4 protective security or on response to something.

That's what we mean by proportionate and that would all be provided free, in templates and support, through the ISP and through NaCTSO, which is very different to somewhere like the arena, where they now have their own head of security and quite a sophisticated security operation

It's getting that threshold --

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It'll be interesting to see the response 12 13 to the public consultation and where the proportionate 14 point lies

15 A. Yes. sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR GREANEY: Can I just see where we've reached? There has 16 been a refresh because it was recognised that more could 17 18 and should be done. And the key elements of where 19 you have arrived are, (1), there needs to be primary 20 legislation .

2.1 A. Yes.

2.2 Q. And primary legislation -- have I correctly understood 2.3 that the principal purpose of that will be to impose 2.4 a duty upon those who ought to be responsible for the 25 safety of the public when they are in a publicly

57

- 1 accessible location?
- 2. A. Yes
- 3 Q. And that is to be backed up with the provision of information and training via, not exclusively via but in 5 substantial part via, the online platform, the information-sharing platform or ISP? 6
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I'm going to come on in a moment to ask about the 9 timetable for legislation, but has work already been 10 undertaken in relation to the information-sharing 11
- 12 A. Yes. That was also announced last year, and the design 13 phase has been completed and now it's just starting its 14 build and it should be ready to roll out in its first 15 phase next year.
- 16 Q. I'll see if I can press you a little further. 17 Do you have an expectation of when next year the

18 information-sharing platform, which on your evidence is 19 plainly important, will be available to the public?

2.0 A. Probably in the middle of next year. This is an IT

- 21 programme with all of the drawbacks that come with that. 2.2 But a lot of design and planning has gone into it and
- 23 it is a long way forward already. 2.4 Q. We've been going for an hour and a half. I'll deal, if
- 25 I may, sir, with one final short topic and then invite

1 you to take a break.

> I'm going to turn to deal with legislation and the legislative options. Was it in February of 2020 that ministers announced their intention to take forward their, as you describe it, legislative requirement to further enhance protective security and preparedness at publicly accessible locations?

8 A. Yes

- 9 Q. Am I correct to describe what the ministers intend to 10 achieve as a Protect duty?
- 11 A. Yes
- 12 Q. That's what the legislation is about, a Protect duty. 13 As is perfectly obvious, that announcement by ministers

was made 2 years and 9 months after the Manchester Arena 14

15 attack -

16 A Correct

17 Q. -- which to the public might seem like a long time. Do 18 you know why it was not until February 2020 that that 19 announcement was made?

20 A. It's a long time. I acknowledge that. To get to that 21 announcement there has been a lot of work around 2.2 existing legislation . First of all , the work on the 2.3 prevention of future deaths reports and the inquests 2.4 from the other 2017 attacks, taking on board those

recommendations, looking at the existing legislation to

1 see whether a new piece of legislation was actually 2

> Then in the summer of last year, really refining what a new legislation would look like so that we could put advice to ministers

It does feel like a long time when you say it starkly like that, but it's not been through lack of activity in this space to get to this point.

9 Q. I'll read to you just a short passage, paragraph 112 of 10 your statement, and then we'll take our break, I expect.

11 You say in relation to the February 2020

12 announcement as follows:

> "It is important here to acknowledge the contribution made by Figen Murray and the Martyn's Law campaign to this work, including by helping to raise the profile of this issue, engaging the public and a wide range of industry partners to debate the value of new legislation, and for being a passionate and vocal advocate for public venues and spaces to voluntarily adopt effective safety and security practices in the interim '

Is there anything that you'd wish to add to that?

23 A. I would just like to emphasise that statement. I have 24 met Figen on various occasions. We spoke -- when I was 2.5 in JSaRC I ran the security and policing show that Figen

58

1 spoke to industry at in 2019. It was a very powerful 2 speech. From then on, her ability, with other victims' 3 families, to be able to get the attention of ministers 4 has been appreciated, and I think she's been able to 5 amplify this issue . I've got nothing but $--\mbox{ I}$ commend the work that she's done. 6 7 MR GREANEY: Mr Hipgrave, thank you very much for that. After the break, we'll turn to look at something that 8 9 you've mentioned already, namely the other legislation 10 that your team looked at in order to see whether that 11 could be utilised to deal with the problem that had been 12 identified 13 Sir, may we at this stage take a break until shortly 14 before 11.30, please? SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes, thank you. 15 16 (11.12 am) 17 (A short break) 18 (11.32 am) MR GREANEY: Thank you, sir. 19 2.0 Mr Hipgrave, we're going to come on in a moment to 21 look at other legislation considered by your team, but 22 two short matters, first of all. 2.3 As you've explained, you've been following the 2.4 evidence of the inquiry. Did you hear the tributes that 25 were paid to the victims by their loved ones?

- A I did 1
- Q. So did you hear what Andrew Roussos had to say? 2.
- 3 A. I did.
- Q. Would it be fair, just before I put to you what he raised, to say that the refresh process and the steps 5 that it's intended now to take are the result of lessons 6 7 being learned from the 2017 attacks?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. And Andrew Roussos, as you will recall, said the lessons 10 should have been learned before, you shouldn't be 11 learning lessons now. Do you recall him saying 12 something rather more eloquent to that effect?
- 13 A. I do. ves.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ And do you have a response to that? 14
 - A. I absolutely sympathise with that point of view. In the role that I have and the policy teams that work in this area, it is not our intention that we have to wait for an attack for policy to be changed. In fact, we don't, we continually change policy on threat.

And as I mentioned earlier, the threat and the style of attack going back 10, 20 years, has been different and we don't only change in response to attacks, we change to the threat, and the public doesn't always hear about all of the threats and foiled attacks which we change to as well

62

But I absolutely sympathise with that point of view.

Ministerial intent is quite clear: they have no

- 3 tolerance for any attacks. There isn't a positive of an
- 4 attack, but there is an outcome that can provide the
- 5 learning and better policy dependent on threat.
- Q. The point is that the public would expect the government 6 7 to be proactive and not purely reactive to the terrorist 8 threat; do you agree?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. Is it your evidence that that is the approach of the 11 government?
- 12 A. It is ves.
- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I don't want an answer to this
- 14 necessarily at this stage because it's something that
- 15 we'll be considering more in detail at a later stage but
- 16 just for your thought: one thing which may come out of
- 17 the evidence which we are hearing is that once there is
- 18 a new style of attack which appears to come from
- 19 terrorist groups, the concentration is then -- I'm
- 2.0 thinking of a marauding firearm attack -- the
- 21 concentration on the publicity, the focus on what you're
- 22 telling the public is to avoid that sort of attacking
- 23 take place and maybe we forget more about the other
- 2.4 forms of attack. After all, this was a PBIED that
- 25 happened here, not a marauding terrorist attack.

1 A Yes

3

- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that a risk too? By all means answer 2.
 - that at a later stage if you would prefer to.
- A. I'm not putting off the answer, sir, and I don't think
- 5 the public would expect me to --
- 6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: They won't criticise you for it.
- 7 A. It's absolutely right that the threats that we face are
- 8 varied. When an attack happens and... I don't think
- 9 I'm saving anything that's revealing because it's in the
- 10 media all the time of the threat we face and the threat
- 11 level that was just increased last week. There is
- 12 a focus on mitigating against that type of attack at
- 13 that time because they do change. So we haven't stopped 14 mitigating against aviation threat, but we face a new
- 15 threat in our streets and places.
- 16 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I understand that. It's a very
- 17 difficult problem.
- 18 MR GREANEY: The second point before we turn on to
- 19 legislation , it's a minor matter of detail, but I know
- 20 that you're keen to clear it up. At an earlier stage of 21 our evidence. PC Bullough gave evidence and she was
- 2.2 persuaded to agree that there was a Home Office policy,

- 23 practice or protocol about single crewing or single
- 2.4 patrol. Do you know what I'm referring to?
- 25 A. I do, yes.

7

- 1 Q. And she expressed her dissatisfaction with the idea of
- 2 a single patrol, one officer patrolling alone, and
- 3 I think you would like to clear up whether that is
- a Home Office policy. 4
- A. Yes. It isn't a Home Office policy. In fact, 5
- operational delivery for policing is for policing and 6
 - it's for policing to decide their tactics.
- Just on another point, British Transport Police 9 isn't a Home Office force, it is a Department for
- 10 Transport force.

8

- 11 Q. Yes. But even if the point had been made by a GMP
- 12 officer, which is a Home Office force, you would be
- 13 saying there is no such Home Office policy, that's
- a matter for the individual police force? 14
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Let's return to what we were dealing with. So 16
- 17 a decision has been made to bring forward primary
- 18 legislation, but before that decision was made, was
- 19 extensive work undertaken to assess the potential for
- 2.0 existing legislation to be used to require consideration
- 2.1 of terrorist threats and mitigating measures?
- 2.2 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. Did your team identify three pieces of legislation in
- 2.4 particular that deserved consideration?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

- 1 Q. Namely the Health and Safety at Work Act of 1974?
- 2. A. Yes
- 3 Q. The Crime and Disorder Act 1998?
- A. Yes
- 5 Q. And the Licensing Act of 2003?
- 6
- 7 Q. We'll deal with each of those in turn. Within
- government, are particular pieces of legislation owned 8
- 9 by particular departments?
- 10 A. They are, yes.
- Q. Is the Health and Safety at Work Act owned by the 11
- 12 Department for Work and Pensions?
- A Yes 13
- 14 Q. And regulated by the Health and Safety Executive?
- 15 A. Yes, that's right.
- 16 Q. We don't need to delve into the detail of it, but it
- 17 imposes duties upon all employers?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Both in relation to their employees?
- 2.0 A. Yes.
- 2.1 Q. Section 2. And in relation to those who may be affected
- 2.2 by their undertaking, so affected by the work they do in
- 23
- 24 A Yes
- 2.5 Q. What view was taken about whether the Health and Safety

66

- at Work Act provided a basis for imposing upon those
 - responsible for publicly accessible spaces a requirement
- 3 to consider terrorist threats and take mitigating
- 4 measures?
- 5 A. The limitations we felt in relation to publicly
- accessible locations is that this legislation looks at 6
 - employees or employers' responsibilities, so it's not
- 8 just employees, it's people who also go into the
- 9 buildings of employers. It would then limit it from
- 10 covering all of the locations, so not city centres, not
- 11 thoroughfares, not public realms or busy streets.
- 12 So there would be many environments in which it would
- 13 apply, the point you're making is it certainly wouldn't
- 14 apply in lots of other locations such as a busy public
- 15 street?
- A. That's correct, and there is a -- through the Health and 16
- 17 Safety Executive there is a quite mature inspection
- 18 regime and enforcement regime that has developed over
- 19 many years with case law. In trying to adapt our
- 20 legislation, our intent into that was felt to be far too 2.1 onerous to be able to do that.
- 22 Q. And by onerous, do you mean just too complicated or
- 2.3 do you also mean that there would be resource issues as
- 2.4
- 25 A. It would be complicated, but there would be resource

- 1 issues as well and there would be expertise issues.
- CT police are the experts for counter-terrorism advice 2
- 3 and getting that advice and those resources to support
- this was found to be -- it wouldn't be achievable.
- 5 Q. I believe the point you're making is you have the Health
- 6 and Safety Executive with their inspectors, who are
 - experts in workplace safety --
- 8 A. Yes

7

- 9 — but they are not the experts in terrorism and
- 10
- 11
- 12 And giving them that expertise was felt not to be
- 13 achievable?
- 14
- 15 Q. So the Health and Safety at Work Act was ultimately
- 16 crossed off the list?
- 17
- 18 Q. Consideration was given to the Crime and Disorder Act of
- 19 1998. Is that legislation owned by the Home Office?
- 2.0 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Does section 17 apply to a wide range of public
- 2.2 authorities?
- 23
- 2.4 And impose upon them, in section 17, a duty to consider
- 2.5 crime and disorder implications?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So a broad duty. What view was taken about whether
- 3 section 17 provided the route by which you could impose 4 the duty, the Protect duty?
- 5 A. So again, based on how we are now looking at publicly
- 6 accessible locations, it was suitable for town centres,
- 7 local authority owned spaces, bridges, streets.
- 8 However, it would not apply to private ownership, so
- 9 it would then leave a huge chunk of the areas we want to 1.0 cover missing.
- 11 Q. And so that one was crossed off the list .

The Licensing Act 2003. I know that the chairman
will have some questions for you in relation to this, as
you're aware. I believe it's acceptable to the chairman
that I adduce your evidence about why the Licensing Act
doesn't provide the solution before he asks those
guestions.

Alcohol—related licensing and the legislation are owned by the Home Office; is that correct?

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. However, and this is a point the chairman has made
- 22 already, the Department for Digital, Culture, Media and 23 Sport leads on licensable activities relating to the
- Sport leads on licensable activities relating to the provision of regulated entertainment; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

69

- 1 Q. Were two approaches to the utility of the Licensing Act considered?
- 3 A. Yes, they were, yes.
- A O Miles of Section
- Q. What was the first of those, please?
 A. To amend the Licensing Act guidance to consider
- terrorist threat and implications and mitigations on
 a guidance basis.
- 8 Q. Why was that approach not deemed acceptable?
- $9\,$ $\,$ A. To achieve the security outcome that we want, which is
- $10\,$ $\,$ to have a mandated responsibility, in this case, on
- 11 licence owners, it was felt that the guidance was purely
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{there as guidance and may not necessarily require the} \\$
- 13 licence—holder to have regard to it.
- 14 Q. Was there additionally any concern about the reach of
- the guidance, if you understand what I mean?
- $16 \quad \text{A. Just as the guidance, yes.} \\$
- $17\,$ $\,$ Q. Yes, what I mean by the word "reach" is was: there any
- 18 concern about whether the guidance would be applicable
- 19 to all of the publicly accessible locations that you
- $20 \qquad \text{were concerned to protect?} \\$
- 21 A. Only on new licences and not retrospectively.
- 22 Q. What about locations that weren't licensable?
- 23 A. It would have no impact.
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. So again, there would be locations that you were
- concerned to achieve protection in that would not be

70

- 1 covered by anything you felt you could achieve by
 - amending the guidance. I said there were two
- 3 approaches. What was the second approach to the
- 4 Licensing Act?
- 5 A. So there is also -- there could be an amendment made by
- 6 the Secretary of State. She could introduce
- 7 a secondary -- a mandatory condition on all existing and
- 8 future licences.
- 9 Q. Why was that approach deemed not acceptable?
- 10 A. This would only be on alcohol licences. It could
- 11 include the same areas around counter-terrorism, but it
- could be only imposed where there is an alcohol licence.
- 13 It is only for England and Wales and it would need still
- 14 some significant consideration for the
- 15 Secretary of State to do that. She would need to
- $16 \qquad \quad \text{understand the end--to--end process, so it's not just} \\$
- $17\,$ about saying, "Here's a new area for licensing", but
- 18 also how do we enforce it, how do we provide the advice,
- 19 where are the resources, the counter—terrorism advice
- 20 and resources.

1

- When we then looked at that as well, we regarded that as limited. Although you described them as ticking
- one off after another, actually when we looked at all
- $24\,$ three together that's when it was regarded that
- 25 collectively they are limited. On their own they are

7

- limited because they don't cover all the publicly
- 2 accessible locations, but collectively they're also
- 3 limited and therefore that was part of our consideration
- $4 \qquad \quad \text{in putting forward new legislation} \, .$
- 5 Q. So it was the way in which I expressed it, but what
- 6 you're explaining to us, I believe, is it wasn't
- 7 a question of looking at one after the other, it was
- 8 also -- not purely a question of doing that, it was also
- 9 a case of looking at all three alongside each other and
- saying, "Does this provide us with the coverage we need
- of all locations", and you were still seeing gaps?
- 12 A. So less gaps, but more around the feasibility of
- providing the right counter—terrorism expertise, having
- the enforcement capability, having the assurance. The
- Home Office only had ownership over two areas, DCMS had
- legislation in one, and the Department for Work and
- Pensions had the legislation on the other. What we saw
- was that would just raise huge complexities. Some of
- them needed extra legislation in the devolved
- 20 administrations, Northern Ireland and Scotland. Putting
- 21 all that collectively together, new primary legislation
- 22 owned by the Home Office in counter-terrorism
- 23 legislation, which is reserved for the whole of the UK,
- 24 was considered to be the best way forward.
- 25 Q. Just before we leave the Licensing Act, have you read

3

4

5

1 the witness statement of Fiona Sharkey? 2 A. I have, yes. 3 Q. I'll identify her position for those who don't know. 4 She's employed by Manchester City Council and she explains that her role is as head of compliance, 5 enforcement and community safety with overall 6 7 responsibility for the council's environmental 8 compliance and enforcement functions. 9 I'm going to ask -- she's giving evidence, I think, 10 next Thursday. At any rate, she's giving evidence 11 in the near future, but I'm going to give you an 12 opportunity to comment on one aspect of what she has to 13 say at this stage. I know that you would welcome that. 14 Could we have on the screen, please, {INQ038587/1}. 15 Could we go to $\{INQ038587/7\}$, please. I'm going to 16 ask you to read paragraphs 31 to 36 to yourself and then 17 I'll ask for your comment. I'm not going to read them 18 out. 19 (Pause) 2.0 When you have read what's on the page, let me know 21 and we'll go over the page so you can read the balance 22 of paragraph 36. 2.3 (Pause) 2.4 Thank you. She's scheduled to give evidence on 25 Wednesday, 18 November.

73

I was passed a note a short time ago asking if you'd

2 just make sure you keep your voice up, Mr Hipgrave. 3 I'm going to ask you a simple question, which is: do you have a comment on what you've just read? 5 A. In paragraph 32, I think she outlines some of the 6 limitations, but ultimately she is recommending that the 7 Secretary of State use her power under section 19A of 8 the Licensing Act to impose mandatory conditions. 9 Referring back to what I've said, this would only be --10 so first of all, this is absolutely the kind of effort 11 and enthusiasm to counter-terrorism that we actually 12 would like.

13 Q. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

1

A. I don't want to be negative around trying to apply measures that will create a better security outcome. However, in mandating this, it is only applicable to those premises with alcohol licences, which limits those places where the public have access. But in achieving the effect through mandation, there needs to be an end—to—end process around counter—terrorism advice, expertise, guidance, an ability to enforce that, and as I understand it, there are 2,200 licences in Manchester and there is only a limited amount of resources available to support the kind of advice needed to impose counter—terrorism mitigations on here.

That is a matter for DAC D'Orsi, who holds those resources, but it is again just a limited ability to drive forward legislation to protect people. Also, you may be aware, we can't push through two pieces of legislation with the same intent.

6 Q. In short, you remain of the view that the only credible 7 answer is new primary legislation?

8 A. The only answer that will have the impact that ministers 9 expect — and ministers' intent has been made clear 10 in February and several times since — is to have 11 primary legislation that will actually make the 12 difference to the security outcomes for the public.

Q. The intent, so that we have no doubt about it, is that
 those responsible for publicly accessible locations
 should be required to consider terrorist threats and
 take mitigating measures?

17 A. Yes

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

18 Q. I'm about to move on from existing legislation, sir.

19 $\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Right. I'm going to spend a little time

with you looking at the Licensing Act and thepossibilities of using it. I am concerned about the

fact that there are already existing powers which could

have been effectively used but for one reason or another

 $24\,$ haven't been, which we're now going to throw out with

25 the bathwater and to replace it with a new concept,

75

which is saying: let's look at it in an entirely different way and let's forget about the old ways, which we actually didn't do properly anyway.

So what I am actually looking at is whether the powers in the Licensing Act could be used in conjunction with, not instead of, legislation which reflects Martyn's Law. I am sorry if this is going to sound like a bit of a dissertation, but when you disagree with me, please say so.

This is the present regime with the Licensing Act.

To have a licence, whether it be a public entertainment licence or a liquor licence, an application for a premises licence has to be made to a local authority.

Correct?

15 A. Yes.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: In carrying out their duty, the licensing authority has to have and exercise their powers to promote the licensing objectives. Two of those licensing objectives are relevant to terrorism, namely law and order, criminal offences, and also public safety. So when they are looking for an application for a licence, they must consider what effect will this licence have on criminal offences and on public safety.

So they were bound, and always have been bound, to look at the possibility of terrorism.

74

1 1 now, a licensing authority may look at an application SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: That has been ignored by the 2 for a new big pub and say, "This is in a ram raid type 2 3 Home Office, who issue guidance to local authorities 3 area, you should have protections outside to prevent ram 4 in relation to them, and section 182 of the 4 raids", for example, and the police may well ask for it Licensing Act provides that guidance, you have already and get it. 5 5 referred to it, and there's not a single mention of At the moment I find it difficult to understand why 6 6 terrorist offences in section 182. Right? 7 terrorism is put into a different category because at 8 A. Yes. Yes, sir. 8 the moment it just doesn't happen. 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. So the importance of that and 9 A. Yes. I agree with you on that point, on the advice. 10 10 where it can go further than Martyn's Law but in I don't know in detail. I'm acting on the advice from conjunction with it is this: licensing authorities 11 11 different policy areas. It is limited, sir, to those 12 12 consider the plan of what is intended. So for example. premises that have alcohol licences. 13 if someone is going to build somewhere like the arena 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: 220,000 (sic). A third of the premises 14 with a grey space inside it, which gives all sorts of as 14 you're talking about and areas 15 problems we have seen, if they are considering the 15 You said 600,000-odd, didn't you? 16 possibility of terrorist outrages, they would take that MR GREANEY: 650 000 16 17 into account in deciding whether to grant the licence SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes, so a third, 17 18 in the first place or whether to add conditions to the 18 A. And there are also issues around resourcing, as 19 licence, say on event days you have to push the 19 2.0 20 You're the chairman, you will -perimeter back, something they're now doing, before they 2.1 would grant it. Wouldn't that be a good idea? 2.1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: No, no, I'm giving you the opportunity 2.2 A. There is an opportunity, yes, there. 22 to, as I did with Figen Murray, to say if this is a good 2.3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: They take into account when they're 23 idea or not? If it's not a good idea, I'm not promoting 2.4 2.4 deciding this representations made by the police, who it. I'm just trying to point out what actually can go on 25 have to be consulted on every licensing application. 2.5 now under the Licensing Act. You won't stop them; 77 79 1 A Yes 1 Manchester's already trying to do it. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And part of the police force, locally, A. Yes, and other areas. Westminster is doing something 2. 2 3 are CTSAs. 3 similar 4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And others will follow no doubt. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Who'd be there to give advice to the 5 5 A. But what I would say is on the overall protection of the 6 licensing committee of dangers there may be in terrorist public, it has limitations. It is different in 6 7 type offences; right? A good idea? 7 Northern Ireland and Scotland as well. But I accept 8 8 A. I think there may be a bandwidth issue that DAC D'Orsi that there are measures that could happen sooner just 9 9 could talk to. within this limited sphere. 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. We haven't got enough, is that 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okav. what bandwidth means? Or it's not a part of their job? 11 11 But it would be something that we would need to look at. 12 What does that mean? 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm not asking you to look at it. I'm A. I don't think there would be enough. 13 13 looking at your paragraph 113(c). You looked at the SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okav. 14 guidance. If you don't mind me saying so, you have 14 A. For the number of -- I don't know the number of licences 15 15 underrated the legal requirements to following the in the UK, but I know in Manchester there are 2,200. 16 guidance under the Licensing Act. It's not simply they SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If you're going to have this new Act, 17 17 can do it if they want to. The law is perfectly clear, 18 won't it require more people to do the sort of things 18 as actually is set out in the preface to section 182 of 19 CTSAs do and give advice to people? More resources? 19 the guidance.

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

78

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. So the great advantage is someone

A. So the delivery and the enforcement on that is still

that, that has not yet been decided.

under consideration. But the thinking is happening on

can look before it's built and before it operates at the

possible difficulties in the design of a building. As

80

out by the High Court, which is: every licensing

There's the guidance. It sets out in the preface

exactly the right legal position accepted by the -- set

committee has to have regard and consider the guidance.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: They can only go against the guidance,

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 has said the High Court, if they have good reasons for 2 doing so, which they must set out. So it simply isn't 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: -- unless you pass an Act saying, "All 3 that they can disregard it if they want to, they 3 licensing must forget about any terrorism threat", take 4 absolutely can't. And that's important? 4 it out. So you may have used the wrong section by using section 21, it may not have been the right section, or 5 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And it's important, I suggest, or may be section 19. But actually, it is possible to include the 6 6 7 for your consideration, for this reason: that the 7 mandatory conditions if you bring it in with your 8 guidance could be changed now. You could issue guidance 8 primary legislation. 9 now to licensing authorities to say: you should consider 9 There's another advantage. It does actually have 10 10 what threats, if any, of terrorism can come about by the a built-in enforcement and regulation process. So inspectors go round, see whether it has been done, and 11 institution of this licence and consider conditions you 11 12 12 could impose. Okav? if it hasn't been done, the licence can be reviewed and 13 13 if necessary taken away. So something to think about. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Worth considering? 14 14 I would reflect, absolutely, sir, and I would reflect 15 A. We will take those considerations away. On the 15 that this really highlights the point about bringing in this kind of primary legislation without due regard to 16 16 guidance, it is new licences. 17 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I understand that. I'm coming to the 17 many different impacts and factors. It's important, and 18 others in a minute. 18 I know we're going to talk about the consultation, but 19 19 A. Right, okay, sorry. it's important that we, in our preparation of that, have 20 really considered primary and the secondary that will SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So there are a large number of existing 2.0 2.1 21 enable and support that. licences there SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: There may well be a complete answer to 2.2 A Yes 22 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And I quite agree with you, not that 2.3 23 what I'm suggesting, it may not be satisfactory, but 2.4 it is for me to agree with it, that section 19A, which 2.4 I just wanted to at least plant those ideas in your head 25 gives the Secretary of State the power, by secondary 25 perhaps a bit. I'm sorry if it sounded like a lecture, 81 1 legislation, by regulation, to put mandatory conditions 1 it wasn't intended to be, but it ended up being one. 2 on to a licence. That won't work, not just for the 2. A. It's not a lecture, sir. When the statement was 3 reasons you've said actually, but the mandatory 3 prepared, it was never considered that there was condition has to apply to the supply of alcohol. It's a licensing expert going to be available in the room. 5 not just only restricted to alcohol licences, but it has 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I may have been an expert, but I'm happy 6 to apply to the supply of alcohol. So conditions such 6 to tell you I've forgotten most of it, but other people 7 7 as Martyn's Law could not be put on by regulation. But have helped. Right. 8 8 there's nothing to stop you doing it $\,--\,$ when you bring Mr Greanev. 9 9 MR COOPER: Sir, before Mr Greaney starts, if I may say, out your Martyn's Law Act, you could include in it 10 a section which applied those conditions as a mandatory 10 sir, I've been liaising with Mr Greaney on this matter condition for liquor licensing, which has already been 11 11 and I think you're aware, sir, that we're presently 12 done, section 21, which is the mandatory condition as to 12 absorbing the very helpful observations on the licensing 13 13 supervisors, which was brought into effect by the issue from that which we received yesterday. 14 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes, I know. Violent Crime Reduction Act 2006. So there's nothing to 14

17

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: The advantage of that is it could be made a requirement before anyone had a licence and before they started operating, that they have to get advice from CTSAs, that they have to follow an action plan that's set out and do it beforehand. As I say, they are going to try and do it anyway because they're

82

stop you by primary legislation which you're bringing in

2.4 under a duty to, local authorities, under the

25 Licensing Act --

anyway.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: $\,--\,$ which, from what we've heard so far,

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

84

MR COOPER: I believe, sir, that you're allowing us to

is not done with a view to cutting across

as this witness is concerned.

Martyn's Law --

MR COOPER: We understand.

question on the licensing issue in the next phase so far

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes, and Mr Cooper, as I've made clear

to you before, what we're talking about -- and I'm not

saying we are suggesting it because we have to hear more

about it and I'm not saying it'll be a recommendation --

- 1 seems utterly commendable and a very good idea. It
- 2 hopefully will operate in collaboration with it and as
- 3 an addition to it, and maybe with a few more teeth.
- 4 MR COOPER: We understand, sir, and the exercise that we're
- undertaking is simply to assist you in that
- deliberation. 6
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you, Mr Cooper. 7
- MR GREANEY: Thank you very much, Mr Cooper. 8
- 9 Mr Hipgrave, let's go back to the ministerial
- 10 announcement in February 2020. Since the announcement,
- 11 have officials within the Home Office worked in order to
- 12 consider to whom a Protect duty should apply?
- 13

5

- 14 Q. What it would require of the affected parties?
- A. Yes 15
- 16 Q. How its delivery would be supported?
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. And how associated inspection and enforcement functions 19
- 20 A. That's correct, yes.
- 2.1 Q. And of course, enforcement of that duty is going to be
- 2.2 very important indeed, is it not?
- 2.3 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 2.4 Q. If there is a view held at the moment, can you tell us,
- is it intended that there should be enforcement by way

- 1 of making a breach of the duty a criminal offence or has
- 2 no final view been reached or provisional view, I ought
- 3 to have said?
- A. No view has been reached yet. The choice between civil 5 and criminal offence ... Those are matters -- are all
- part of the further consideration and the consultation. 6
- 7 Q. I'm going to reach in just a few moments the
- consultation. But first, you should confirm that that 8 9 work undertaken by the Home Office officials has been 10 undertaken in collaboration with your partners from
- 11 across government and the devolved administrations?
- 12 A. That's correct, yes. So in preparing for the
- 13 consultation, in pre-consultation, we engaged with
- ministers, the security minister engaged with other 14
- 15 ministers and, across government, all the other relevant 16 departments, including Scotland and Northern Ireland as
- 17 well, as devolved administrations.
- 18 Q. And you've added in your statement that the work that's 19 been done has been undertaken with the Martyn's Law
- 2.0 proposals in mind?
- 21 A. That's correct, ves.
- 2.2 Q. And there has been liaison with public authorities,
- 23 owners, operators and industry associations?
- 2.4 A. Yes. So as well as working across government, we've
- 25 also engaged the security minister, myself, and also

86

- DAC D'Orsi, with chief executives from local authorities
 - across the UK, trade association bodies, the security
- 3 industry, the SIA, security industry representation and
- 4 companies as well on our plans for the Protect duty and
- the consultation to understand what their thoughts were. 5 6
- So the question that a member of the public might pose 7 is that having undertaken that work over the course of
- 8 a 9-month period now, why not proceed immediately to
- 9 draft legislation?
- 10 A. There is a requirement in bringing in primary
- 11 legislation from Parliament that we have consulted with
- 12 the public. I mean, consulting with the public just
- 13 means a wide consultation, it means industry, the
- 14 public, everybody in fact, and there are certain
- 15 limitations on what a consultation can be. It has to be
- 16 a certain period of time, it has to fulfil certain
- 17 criteria, and just to be clear, the announcement in 18 February had already mentioned that there would be
- 19 a consultation in early summer. Unfortunately, because
- 2.0 of coronavirus, that was delayed until the autumn, and
- 21 then where we were in the autumn now means it is now --
- 22 the position is because of coronavirus that we have
- 2.3
- still got the consultation delayed.
- 2.4 I'm going to come on and ask you about why, for example,
- 2.5 you couldn't conduct the consultation via the internet

- 1 in a short time, but you've identified, have you not,
- 2. some difficulties with approaching it in that way?
- 3 A. Yes. It wouldn't fit within the parliamentary
- requirements.
- Q. And in any event, as I think you're going to explain to 5
- 6 us, it's not as simple as people not being able to meet.
- 7 There are other practical difficulties as well, but
- 8 we'll reach those in a moment.
- 9 Is it the position that the public consultation
- 10 process is intended to inform the scope of the
- 11 legislation it's intended to bring forward?
- 12 A. Yes
- Q. The current expectation is that a duty will be imposed, 13
- 14 requiring parties in scope to consider terrorist threats
- 15 and methodologies?
- 16 A. Yes
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ To assess the potential impact of those to the public 17
 - and to staff through a risk assessment?
- 18 19 A. Yes
- 2.0 Q. And to consider and implement reasonably practicable and
- 2.1 appropriate protective security and organisational
- 2.2 preparedness measures?
- 23
- 2.4 Q. With consideration also being given to the range of
- 2.5 organisations to which the duty would apply?

- 1
- 2 Q. Will the legislation be what might be described as a
- 3 one-size-fits-all model?
- 4 A. No, and we've mentioned earlier the challenges of this 5 from a small business that may have 30 people in their
- business on a daily basis to those organisations that 6
- 7 are like the arena or the O2 that are significant
- 8 organisations with people in them, down to bridges in
- 9 town centres and city centres. So it's going to be a --
- 10 understanding where this threshold is, understanding 11 where the proportionality is and understanding the
- 12 effect that we're trying to make.
- 13 Q. The way you put it in your statement is:
- 14 "For most owners and operators affected, the duty 15 will likely focus on low cost, easy to implement
- 16 preparedness measures. For example, accessing
- 17 information regarding threats and methodologies and
- 18 considering their risk assessments in light of these."
- 19
- 20 Q. "Considering freely available staff training and 2.1 awareness programmes."
- 2.2 A. Yes.
- 2.3 Q. "Ensuring that staff know and practice their roles and
- 2.4 responsibilities to respond to different types of
- 25

89

- 1 A That's correct
- 2 Q. Obviously, if this legislation is brought forward, it
- 3 will need to be the subject of inspection and
- enforcement.
- 5 A. That's right, yes
- Q. So is there a view at the moment about the vehicle 6
- 7 through which that will be achieved, so one of the
- 8 existing inspectorates or a new inspectorate?
- 9 A. So no decision has been made. It is under
- 10 consideration. Understanding that we are trying to
- 11 achieve a better security outcome for the public,
- 12 enforcement is around assurance, and everything that we
- 13 do around security needs an assurance that the
- legislation is enabling actually the outcome to happen. 14
- 15 So we're actually achieving better public protection and
- 16 the reason we -- the enforcement can be different, it
- 17 can range from a full-on enforcement team similar to
- 19
- licensing, in licensing areas; it can be a mystery shopper type enforcement where we do random checking of
- 2.0 locations and areas; it has a wide range of
- 21 applicability and it's not yet been decided what is most
- 2.2 appropriate. That's an important part of the
- 23

18

- 2.4 Q. In your statement you observe at paragraph 119 that:
- 25 "It is vitally important that government works in

- 1 partnership with owners and operators to ensure their
 - views are considered"; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes

2

7

9

- Q. Why is that correct? 4
- 5 A. So we are bringing in legislation that will have a duty
- on them with some penalties, whichever way it's decided, 6
 - to protect the public. It is important that we
- understand the proportionality needed to do that and 8
 - where we would expect that to happen. As I mentioned,
- 10 really early on around CONTEST, CONTEST 3.0 is having
- 11 a better integration with industry and with the public
- 12 to create a safer, in this case, public location for the
- 13 public. To do that, this isn't about enforcing
- 14 legislation on people, it's about working with them to
- 15 ensure we provide proportionate legislation .
- 16 Q. So the first benefit of robust consultation is that you
- 17 get an accurate picture of the practicalities of the
- 18 legislation, so what will actually happen if you do it
- 19 in different ways; is that correct?
- 20
- 2.1 Q. Secondly, I think you're saying to us that it's your
- 22 experience that the greater the engagement with those
- 2.3 who will be affected, then the more successful the
- 2.4 implementation of the regime is likely ultimately to be?
- 25

91

- 1 Q. You had intended to take forward the public consultation
- route really at this stage; is that correct? 2
- 3 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. But that has not proved possible because of COVID?
- 5 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. One reason why you can't do the consultation online is 6
- 7 because of the parliamentary requirements?
- 8 A. Correct, yes.
- 9 Q. But you go on to identify other practical difficulties.
- 10 and in particular, that to take forward the consultation
- 11 now would be challenging as many sectors and
- 12 organisations that are potentially within scope for
- 13 a duty are themselves dealing with the difficulties and
- 14 disruptions arising from COVID?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q. And would therefore find it hard to engage meaningfully
- 17 with the consultation?
- 18 A. Yes
- 19 Q. And moreover, their staff may themselves be dealing with
- 2.0 the COVID crisis?
- 21 A. That's correct, yes.
- 2.2 Q. So is it the position that the consultation will be
- 23 taken forward as soon as is possible?
- 24 A. Yes, that's ministerial intent, yes.
- 2.5 Q. But does that require the COVID crisis to come to an

90

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 end, at least in the form that it is currently existing 2 in? 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

A. It will constantly be reviewed. I think having a meaningful consultation is important. Whilst, with due deference to Figen Murray and the campaign, the positive side of Martyn's Law and the Protect duty, many of us have heard about that. But the due diligence around the unintended consequences, bringing in legislation without a meaningful consultation, the impact that it may have on different parts of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{UK}}$ needs meaningful consultation.

It wouldn't be right to take it forward to Parliament and under parliamentary scrutiny unless we were able to properly represent the due diligence we've done to understand its impact and whether it will achieve the outcome that we actually want.

17 Q. I suppose someone listening to your evidence might 18 identify this as being the problem of where we are, that 19 you have identified that there is a problem with 2.0 protecting the public when they are in publicly 21 accessible locations -- and I think you're agreeing with 22

Secondly, a would—be terrorist is most unlikely to 2.3 2.4 heed the government's stay at home guidance, would you 25 agree with that?

93

- 1 A. I don't think I could speculate on that.
- 2. Q. Thirdly, therefore, the risk of terrorism hasn't gone 3 away, has it?
- A. The risk of terrorism has not gone away.
- 5 Q. And indeed, as you observed earlier, the threat
- assessment was increased to severe just last week? 6 7 A. That's correct, yes.
- 8 Q. And yet, on the other hand, the consultation that you 9 tell us needs to be undertaken before legislation is 10 introduced to assist with that situation can't take

11 place at the moment. That would seem to be an unhappy state of affairs . 12 13

A. It is. I can assure the public that the teams that are looking at this and also -- and ministers. And the Home 14 15 Secretary has spoken to me personally about this, and to 16 Figen Murray, as I'm aware, about her absolute intent to 17 bring forward the Protect duty.

> But we are in a situation with COVID that none of us expected and we do accept in bringing this legislation forward that we are tightening up how we expect businesses, owners, operators to understand how they should protect the public.

But in the interim, and since 2017, with our partners in Counter-terrorism Police, we have been changing the way that we protect the public. We've moved from the tiering system to protecting -- providing that information and guidance to the wider business owners and operators.

It's frustrating, it's frustrating for me, it's frustrating for ministers, but there's no point doing a consultation if we don't get a meaningful response.

Q. The public may very well accept that you've provided very good reasons for why a public consultation needs to take place, and, moreover, most will understand why COVID is inhibiting that, but the ongoing threat, as I'msure you agree and in fact have agreed with, underlines why the public consultation needs to take place as soon as reasonably that can occur.

Do you have any sense, even if you don't have a sense at the moment, of when the consultation can start, of how long the consultation will take?

- 17 A. It will be no less than 6 weeks.
- 18 Q. No more than?
- 19 A. As soon as is reasonable, that would allow us to get 20 a meaningful consultation.
- 2.1 Q. So at least 6 weeks, but difficult, if not impossible, 22 to identify the longest period the consultation might 2.3
- 2.4 Yes Α

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25 Q. I'm going to move on in a moment, but I'll finally on

95

1 this topic remind you, out of fairness to you, of what 2 you observe at the end of paragraph 119 of your 3 statement, which is:

> "The consultation will therefore be taken forward as soon as is possible to allow for meaningful and comprehensive engagement and in the meantime the Home Office has started making progress by engaging with a variety of key stakeholders in this area, such as local authorities, chief executives, the security and guarding industry and security trade associations, and we will continue this pre-consultation engagement with other stakeholders in the coming months."

13 Is that the position?

- 14 A. Yes. Put plainly, right up until the consultation we'll 15 be continuing to work at pace to prepare for the new 16 legislation, so working with all the stakeholders, doing 17 the economic impact assessment, all the work that would 18 be needed to put forward new legislation.
- 19 Q. So the public should not understand that no work is 2.0 being done for the Protect duty, in fact what you're 21 saying to us is that much work is being done and as much 2.2 as is possible?
- That's correct, yes. 23
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You may not be able to answer this, but 2.4 25

on your present planning would litigation inevitably

- 1 result in an increase in numbers of CTSAs and CTAAs, or
- 2 is that not something you can say at the moment?
- 3 A. It's not anything I could say at the moment, sir,
- $4 \qquad \qquad \mathsf{because} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{CT} \ \mathsf{effort} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{protecting} \ -- \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{Protect} \ \mathsf{is}$
- 5 more than CTSAs, it's the Home Office, it's CPNI, it's
- $\,$ other stakeholders who are all part of that effort . So
- 7 it isn't necessarily about just increasing numbers of
- 8 CTSAs, it's about getting the greater effect of the 9 whole to ensure that whatever our enforcement or
- $10 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{delivery regime is, it actually achieves the outcome} \\$
- 11 that we want.
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So getting the advice out, as it were, 13 to the new people affected may require CTSAs or it may
- 14 be done in a different way?
- be done in a different way?
 A. Yes. And it may be done through the information—sharing
- platform. So the whole plan of the information—sharing
- $17\,$ platform is it isn't just a website sort of front page,
- 18 it's going to be interactive. So it's using digital
- 19 platforms
- As an example, rather than the CTSA visiting every
- retailer in a town or a village or a city, a certain area, they can create their own community through the
- 23 platform and advice can be given to many from CTSAs
- 24 rather than having to visit one—to—one.
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.

97

- 1 MR GREANEY: I'm going to turn to a different topic now.
- We're going to deal with two much shorter topics and
- 3 then that will conclude my questioning. I'm going to
- page 46 of the updated witness statement, paragraph 138.
- 5 I know Mr Hipgrave, you were keen that we should
- 6 receive your evidence in relation to the
- 7 counter-terrorism exercising team --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- because the inquiry has heard evidence about some training exercises, in particular Exercise Sherman and
- 11 Exercise Winchester Accord.
- 12 A. Yes.
- $13\,$ $\,$ Q. Is there a team that sits within the Prepare section of
- 14 OSCT called the National Counter-terrorism Exercise
- 15 Team?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $17\,$ $\,$ Q. Known as the CTX team?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Why does that team exist?
- 20 A. It sits at a national level to strategically look at how
- we use exercising for preparedness and assurance that
- 22 the plans we have in place to respond to an attack -- so
- 23 it sets a policy direction. It will also facilitate
- a national exercise on occasions, which means we are not
- just testing one part of the response, we're testing the

98

- whole national response. We may call a COBR, we may
 - call in the whole of the sort of management strategic
- 3 part of OSCT.
- $4\,$ Q. Does the team work closely and in partnership with
- 5 Counter-terrorism Policing's organisation development
- 6 unit?
- 7 A. It does, yes.
- 8 Q. Which unit is responsible for learning, training and 9 exercising across the CT Policing network?
- 10 A. Yes.

16

- 11~ Q. At a local level , are emergency services expected to
- 12 maintain their own plans and procedures?
- 13 A. They are, yes.
- $14\,$ Q. And to carry out exercising to ensure that those plans
- 15 are effective?

A Yes

- 17 Q. Prior to 2017, what did CTX primarily focus upon?
- 18 A. Delivering the annual national exercise,
- 19 counter-terrorism exercise.
- 20 Q. I posed my question on the basis that this was prior to
- 21 2017. Has a decision been made that the annual national
- level exercise is no longer important or as important as
- 23 had previously been thought?
- 24 A. It is important, but it's complemented now by a series
- of smaller exercises. That may be more of a tabletop

9

- 1 exercise at a more strategic operational response.
- 2 Q. So does the annual national level exercise still occur?
- 3 A. It hasn't occurred this year.
- 4 Q. Subject to COVID?
- 5 A. But yes
- 6 Q. But is now, as you've said, supplemented by a programme
- 7 of smaller complementary exercises?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. There's therefore been a change since 2017. Why has
- 10 that change occurred?
- 11 A. From a national point of view, there was very little
- 12 ability for the local responses to understand what was
- happening nationally, so we brought in smaller
- exercises. So we bring in local CT responding teams
- into COBR, to tabletop in COBR and understand what the
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{positions are from the ministerial and senior strategic} \\$
- 17 level as well.
- 18 Q. So are these changes, which have occurred since 2017,
- coincidental with the terrorist attacks of that year or
 - connected in some way?
- 21 A. I don't know, actually. I can't actually answer that
- 22 question.

2.0

2.4

- 23 Q. Perhaps you'd look into that and when you return to give
 - your evidence, you'll be able to answer that question.
- 25 I don't mean that in any way critically.

- 1 Does the CTX team include secondees from the 2 emergency services?
- 3 A. Yes, it does, from fire, ambulance and police.
- 4 Q. But is the view taken at a national level that local
- 5 areas are best placed to manage the exercising of their
- own local response plans? 6
- 7 A. That's correct. It's just not practical to do this at 8 a national level.
- Q. So as a consequence, did CTX have any involvement in the 10 planning or funding of exercises that we have heard
- 11 about or will hear about, namely Exercise Sherman?
- 12 A. No.

9

- 13 Q. Exercise Hawk River?
- 14 A. No
- 15 Q. Or Exercise Winchester Accord?
- A No 16
- 17 Q. On the contrary, were those all exercises that were
- 18 delivered locally?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Since 2019, have regions and local areas been able to 20
- 2.1 apply for funding support from CTX to support their CT
- 2.2 exercising? A. They have, yes. 2.3
- 2.4 Q. What are the eligibility criteria in general terms?
- A. They need to agree with a set of national principles and

- priorities of that year and of that time so that we can 1
- 2 agree that it's in line with the latest threat picture
- 3 and the latest priority or national exercising.
- Q. Before 2017, were the CTX team's objectives and themes
- 5 for CT exercises driven in part by lessons arising from
- 6 previous exercises and the need to re-test?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Has that changed since 2017?
- 9 A. It has, ves.
- 10 Q. In what way or ways?
- 11 A. In that they are asked to locally deliver their
- 12 exercises. They will provide their recommendations and
- 13 they will be responsible for delivering on their
- 14 recommendations within their respective organisations.
- 15 Q. Again, I'll ask you whether, when you return, you could
- 16 please be in a position to assist us with whether that
- 17 change is wholly unconnected with the 2017 attacks or in
- 18 some way connected.
- 19 Finally on this topic, has Central Government
- 2.0 supported the sharing and embedding of lessons learned
- 21 by providing and funding something called the joint
- 2.2 organisational learning, or JOL, platform?
- 23
- 24 Q. Was that set up in 2015?
- 2.5 A. It was, yes.

102

- 1 Q. And could you explain to us in summary what that is, 2
- 3 A. It's specifically for emergency services exercising.
- 4 It's a platform where post-exercising the
- recommendations are identified. They can upload the 5
- recommendations on to the platform, follow through on 6
- 7 how those recommendations are delivered against, which
- will then provide learning for the whole of the UK and 8
- the emergency services. Essentially, it's for sharing 10 the lessons learned in exercises so that other people
- 11 can take that learning.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much. That's all I want to ask you about
- 13 counter-terrorism exercising team and I believe we've
- 14 covered, have we not, the relevant evidence you have to
- give about that issue? 15
- 16 Finally, so far as my questions are concerned, we'll
- 17 deal with the response at a Central Government level to
- 18 the Manchester attack. Does the UK Central Government
- 19 response to an emergency often require central
- 20 coordination?
- 21 A. Yes.

9

- 22 Q. Is that underpinned through the use of what you've told
- 23 us of already, namely the use of the Cabinet Office
- 2.4 Briefing Rooms or COBR?
- 25 A. That's correct.

103

- 1 Q. Which is a physical location, usually in Westminster?
- 2. A. Yes
- 3 Q. From which the central response is activated, monitored
- 4 and coordinated?
- 5
- 6 Q. And providing a focal point for the government's
- 7 response?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. And also an authoritative source of advice for local
- 10
- 11
- 12 Q. Following the Manchester attack, was COBR activated?
- 13 A. It was, yes.
- 14 Q. Did that occur following discussions between the
- 15 Cabinet Office?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Number 10?
- 18 A. Yes
- 19 Q. And the Home Office as represented through the OSCT?
- 2.0 A. Yes. it did.
- 21 Q. Was the first COBR meeting itself held at 9.30 am on
- 2.2 23 May?
- 23
- 24 Q. And chaired by the Prime Minister?
- 2.5 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I think we can deal with this in summary. Thereafter, 2 were daily meetings held until 29 May?
- 3
- 4 Q. And the actions arising from those meetings can be seen,
- I believe, in the COBR action tracker? 5
- A. That's correct, yes. 6
- MR GREANEY: Thank you very much, Mr Hipgrave. As you know,
- you're going to return to deal with other topics later 8
- 9 in our process, but those are my questions for now. I'm
- 10 going to ask Mr Butt on behalf of CTPHQ whether he has 11 questions. Thank you very much.
- 12 Next, joining us by the link. I'm going to invite
- 13 Mr Horwell to ask any questions he has on behalf of GMP.
- MR HORWELL: No questions, thank you. 14
- 15 MR GREANEY: Thank you, Mr Horwell.
- 16 Mr O'Connor on behalf of SMG
 - (Pause)
- 18 Sir, Mr Weatherby is taking the lead on this issue 19 on behalf of the bereaved families and I'll ask him to
- 2.0 pose any questions that he has, please.
- Questions from MR WEATHERBY MR WEATHERBY: Good afternoon. Can you see me, Mr Hipgrave? 22
- 2.3 A. Yes, I can, yes.

2.1

- 2.4 Q. Can you hear me clearly?
- 2.5 A. Yes, I can, yes.

105

- 1 Q. Thank you very much.
- 2 I represent seven of the bereaved families,
- 3 including the Roussos family, and I ask questions on
- their behalf. Others represent other families,
- 5 including Figen Murray, so I'm going to leave questions
- about Martyn's Law to them, not because, I emphasise, 6
- that there's any difference between the families, but
- 8 simply because I don't want to tread on their toes. Do
- 9 you understand that?
- 10

14

15

- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.\ \ \ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ want to start with a few general points, some of which 11 12 have been touched upon, but they're important, so I'll
- 13 move swiftly through them.
 - I think you've told us that, and I'm paraphrasing of course, but the canvas on which counter-terrorism
- 16 provision and policy lies is that the responsibility on
- 17 private places, stadia, ticketed venues in particular,
- 18 the context that we're talking about here, the
- 19 responsibility is on the owner and the operator, quite 2.0
 - clearly; is that right?
- 2.1
- 2.2 Q. For purely public places, there's some responsibility on
- 23 local authorities, councils, highways authorities, and
- 2.4 likewise; yes?
- 25 A. Yes

106

- 1 Q. And then in public spaces, privately or not, privately
- owned or not, there's a completely separate policing 2
- 3 duty, but that's separate and complementary to the
- 4 private responsibility; is that a fair way of putting
- 5
- A. What do you mean by -- you mean just normal policing --6
- 7
- 8 A. -- not counter-terrorism policing.
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A Yes

11

13

- Q. I think we can just leave that on the shelf because what
- we're considering here is really the responsibility of 12
 - owners and operators; is that right?
- 14 A. We're considering those people who have accountability
- 15 and ownership and operators for publicly accessible
- 16 locations, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So just touching briefly on grey spaces, the
- 18 position with grev spaces is that they're spaces which
- have public access. But there's generally still an 19
- 20 owner or an operator, so once again the responsibility
- 21 rests on them, even though there may be complexities,
- 22 both in terms of who's responsible and mitigating the
- 2.3 risks: is that fair again?
- 2.4 Yes, it's complex, that's definitely fair, yes.
- 25 Q. Well, the point I'm trying to get through you is that,

107

- 1 whereas there may be complexities, the principles remain
- the same: there's still somebody responsible and there's 2
 - still a problem there?
- 4

3

- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.\ \ \mbox{\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}}$ problem that has to be addressed. So if we come to 5
- 6 the City Room, the physical and human security systems,
- 7 the responsibility in terms of counter-terrorism fell on
- 8 the owner or the operator, just like any other public
- 9 space?
- 10 A. Yes
- 11 As we've heard, the problems were more complex because
- 12 it had public access and a number of stakeholders.
- 13
- 14 Q. So the canvas is more complicated and therefore the
- 15 mitigation may have to be more complicated as well.
- 16 A. Yes
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ So it's not good enough to say that because risks are 17
- 18 more complicated, then there's nothing we can do about
- 19 them, is it?
- 2.0 A. No, and that's not what the public would expect either.
- 21 This would fit in the area of zones within our
- 2.2 definition, so they all seem to have lines around them
- 23 and what's left is a zone that is complicated as to who 2.4
- has accountability to keep that secure. 25 Q. Yes, so essentially, a reasonable solution has to be

- 1 found, whatever the complexities are?
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. Or the risk in that space is simply too high to have 4 high-density crowds? So a solution has to be found?
- 5 A. Yes, and that's the intention with the Protect duty.
- 6 Q. I'm going to come on to that.
- 7 In terms of the Home Office policy currently, I'm
- 8 going to come on to where we're headed, but currently
- 9 through NaCTSO and the CTSA system, that sits aside of
- 10 the responsibility? There's no policy intent or 11
- actuality that the current system adopts or takes over 12 any of the responsibility for counter-terrorism
- 13 security, is there?
- 14 A. Other than the advice that they currently give, no.
- 15 Q. That's the point: it's guidance and it's advice?
- A Yes 16
- 17 Q. But it's entirely voluntary and discretionary, as we've
- 18 heard, and therefore it sits completely separate from
- 19 responsibility . Is that fair?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. So would it be right also that the policy itself
- 22 recognises that that must be made clear and is made
- 2.3 clear to owners and operators?
- 2.4 A Yes
- 2.5 Q. So you'd expect the guidance set out, and you'd expect

109

- 1 the CTSAs to make clear, as they go round doing their
- 2 tasks, very clear, that they're not adopting the
- 3 responsibilities which remain with the owners or the
- operators?
- 5
- Q. In terms of the CTSA system -- I'll deal with this point 6
- 7 very swiftly because you've already touched upon it --
- 8 you have mentioned that there's a huge number of
- 9 publicly accessible locations. AKA crowded spaces, and
- 10 there's a very small number of CTSAs, about 200? Yes?
- 11
- 12 Q. So however excellent the advice and guidance that's
- 13 given, it's obvious and obvious to all that it's spread 14 very thinly, isn't it?
- A. Yes, but it's not just the 200 CTSAs that are the 15 16 sources of guidance and advice.
- 17 Q. No, I'm not suggesting that, and of course there is
- 18 prioritisation , but nevertheless it's pretty clear to
- 19 all involved that this is a thinly spread service. I'm
- 2.0 not being critical of it for that, it's just a fact,
- 21 isn't it? Would vou agree?
- 2.2 A. Yes. There are only 200 CTSAs, yes.
- 23 Q. Yes. Therefore, where we're talking about a large
- 2.4 venue, for example the arena, with complex issues and

110

25 requirements, there's very clearly a need for a more

- 1 comprehensive expertise than the state is currently able 2 to provide? Is that fair?
- 3 A. In relation to Manchester Arena, this is the area that
- 4 we're looking at around proportionality. It is an
- 5 expectation that those places -- and the arena has
- 1 million customers per year -- would proportionately 6
- 7 protect those, dependent on the vulnerability of those
- people. So the operational delivery of that security is 8
- 9 a matter of guidance from CTSAs but our intent is that
- 10 those organisations have a duty to protect those
- 11 $1\,$ million people a year, and the threshold of how they 12 resource that needs to be considered.
- 13 I don't want to delve into the operational delivery of the arena, I don't think that's a -- I think that's
- 14
- $\mathsf{Q}.$ Fair enough. In this context, I'm just using the arena 16
- 17 as an example. 18 But in a large venue with requirements for complex
- 19 mitigations, of course CTSAs can be very helpful and
- 2.0 of course the NaCTSO guidance can be extremely helpful,
- 21 but the larger the problem gets, the more obvious it is
- 22 that there's a requirement for more comprehensive
- 23 expertise than can be provided under the current system.
- 2.4 That must follow, mustn't it?

a matter of ...

25 Yes, that's common sense. I would reflect -- I go to

111

- 1 many events, speaking about this in protection, and
- 2 venues send their heads of security. The norm in
- 3 industry is that, dependent on the size of your event,
- you would normally get an expert brought in as a head of
 - security, for example.
- 6 Q. Do you think this is something that needs to be made
- 7 clear in the legislation being proposed, a requirement
- 8 for proper CT expertise with venues over a certain size?
- 9 A. I think it's certainly a strong consideration that needs 10 to be looked at, yes.
- ${\rm Q.}\,$ In terms of reliance on CTSAs as experts -- well, 11
- 12 I think you have probably just dealt with that, I'll
- 13 move on

5

- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Do you mind if I pursue that a little 14
- 15 bit more?
- 16 If a CTSA in a place like the arena comes in and
- 17 says, "It's all fine, we've done the chart, no problem
- 18 we've checked you", would you expect them to get outside
- 19 expertise without being told by the CTSA, "You actually 2.0
 - need some more advice"?
- 2.1 A. It's a bit more operational than I would look into, to
 - be honest, sir.
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, so it's not something you feel you
- 2.4 can answer?
- 2.5 A. No.

2.2

- 1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Maybe the next witness, particularly if 2 she's heard the question, will be able to answer it 3 later.
- 4 Sorry, Mr Weatherby.

5

MR WEATHERBY: Thank you very much.

Picking up that point, just again at a high level
here, that is a problem, isn't it? If a private company
running a large venue gets in CTSA services, has
a survey and action plan, it is a problem that they may
think, rightly or wrongly, that that's enough to

- discharge their responsibility? Do you accept that?
- 12 A. Yes, I think it's interesting that this inquiry has13 highlighted how do we, when making this legislation,
- assure ourselves that we're actually getting the impact
 that we want. So just ticking boxes won't be
- sufficient —— I'm not saying anybody's ticking boxes in
- this case.
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: That's fine.
- 19 MR WEATHERBY: We're all, I think, currently dealing with it20 as an example.
- 21 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We're dealing with it hypothetically.
- $22\,$ $\,$ MR WEATHERBY: We are. One way to deal with it would be to
- spell it out in the legislation , Mr Hipgrave, wouldn't
- 24 it?
- 25 A. Where there's opportunity to do that, I think

113

- $1 \hspace{1cm} \text{it would $--$ making any legislation that will have impact} \\$
- 2 for the whole of the UK as clear as possible is 3 absolutely what we're trying to do.
- absolutely what we're trying to do.
 Q. The legislation could require private concerns to have
- 5 regard, but not to be wholly reliant on the NaCTSO
- 6 guidance and the CTSA system, for example?
- 7 A. It is a good example, yes.
- 8 Q. So problems with the voluntary nature of the system.
 9 The key problem is that it, relies, upon voluntary.
- 9 The key problem is that it relies upon voluntary 10 adherence to it and enthusiasm for it; would that be
- 11 fair?
- 12 A. Yes, it is very fair, yes.
- 13 Q. Just quoting you from -- we don't need to look at it, 14 paragraph 101 of your revised statement, you refer to "a
- 15 broad range of responses".
- 16 A. Yes.
- $17\,$ $\,$ Q. That covers the point, doesn't it , that there is a range
- of take—up and a range of enthusiasm from private
- concerns for what they're being asked to do by CTSAs?
- $20\,$ $\,$ $\,$ At one end of the spectrum you have a conscientious
- 21 owner and operator who engages and adopts the action
- plan and, on the other end of the spectrum, you have
 a business that's maybe not so conscientious or is
- a business that's maybe not so conscientious or is
- perhaps economically struggling and then there'd be
 a lot less enthusiasm and take—up of action plans may

114

well be not followed through. Would that be a fair way

 $2\,$ $\,$ of putting it? Is that what you mean by broad range of

3 responses?

5

6

- $4\,$ $\,$ A. So yes, but also if a commercial -- and this is across
 - all of the locations but you're talking about commercial ones. If they have -- the public expect to be
- 7 protected. In any commercial place they expect the
- 8 reasonable steps to provide them security.
- 9 In my conversations with DAC D'Orsi, one of the
- 10 areas that we were pushing forward because of the
- Protect duty is the voluntary nature of it is just not
- providing that protection that ministers want.
- 13 Q. That's where I'm headed, really. The fact is that there
- needs to be a framework, I think that's accepted, and it needs to be a framework which mandates, which requires
- 16 rather than advises: is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that indeed, I don't think we need to turn it up,
- but you'll be familiar, I think, with the ISC
- 20 recommendation LL, which expressed concern that there
- 21 appears to be no way of mandating owners of public
- $22 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{places to install necessary protective security} \, . \hspace{1cm} \hbox{And} \\$
- also there was a need to clarify legal responsibilities .

 Those two points came out of the ISC report.
- 24 Those two points came out of th
- 2.5 A. Yes.

115

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. And am I right that those are accepted and built into
- 2 this new proposed legislative programme?
- 3 A. Yes. And I think I come back to the point I mentioned
- earlier, reinforcing that. We have consideration for
- 5 fire, we have consideration for health, we have
- 6 consideration for safety, and ministers have made it
- 7 clear: we want people to do what's reasonable to have
- 8 consideration for security.
- 9 Q. Yes. Can you help us why it has taken until 2020 to 10 actually realise this, that we need a mandatory
- framework rather than simply guidance and advice?
- 12 A. There has been the work around the existing legislation,
- 13 there has been looking at what we could do without
- 14 bringing in new legislation . There has been a lot of
- activity in this area. COVID hasn't helped, but we
- acknowledge that it's taken longer than we would like --
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. it to have taken.
- 19 Q. Before I go on, I notice the time. I think I'll be less
- than 15 minutes. I'd be quite happy to carry on,
- subject obviously to your direction and subject to the
- views of the transcribers .
- 23 MR GREANEY: I think going on for another 15 minutes will be 24 fine
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Are you happy with that?

1 A. Yes, I'm fine. 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. 2 A. I'm not sure I'm reading that like that. I'm... 3 MR WEATHERBY: Thanks very much. 3 I don't know what the term gold standard actually refers 4 So the problems with a voluntary and discretionary 4 to in relation to protective security back then Q. Well, let's just move on to 3.6 if we will. Halfway 5 system have in fact been known for a long time, haven't 5 6 they? 6 through that paragraph, the emphasis is on all activity 7 7 being proportionate and something is better than 8 8 Q. It's not something that's suddenly arisen with the 2017 nothing. Then this: 9 9 "This positive approach is favoured by business." attacks? 10 10 A. It has always —— yes, it's always been —— sometimes —— A Yes 11 and DAC D'Orsi would talk more about this -- sometimes 11 Q. So the position is clear, isn't it, that we start off 12 12 it's been feeling that we're trying to provide the with a model which produces a gold standard? The 13 advice, it's more of a battle to get security on the 13 voluntary side of which is met by non-application of it 14 14 by some private concerns. And therefore the model is agenda, yes 15 Q. This is the point that Mr Greaney raised from 15 then changed to reduce the standard so it's more 16 16 Mr Roussos, really: why are we only doing it now? Can palatable. Isn't that what we're reading there? 17 Lask you to have a look at {INQ035588/1}, please. 17 A. I think you'll have to ask DAC D'Orsi on that. I think 18 I think you've seen this document recently. 18 your point around the voluntary model didn't achieve the 19 I think in your evidence so far, and I think in your 19 vulnerability protection that we wanted is a fair point 2.0 statement, you haven't actually referred to the policy 20 and it is something, you know, even back then there 21 change in 2014. This document is the best document 21 was... It was an immature system in relation to 22 22 I can find which actually expresses the change in protective security across the whole of the UK. But DAC D'Orsi —— this is a police document, 2.3 policy. This is actually an NPCC, National Police 23 2.4 Chiefs' Council -- or as it then was, ACPO, the DAC D'Orsi would know more about that. 25 Association of Chief Police Officers -- explanation of 25 Q. I take that point, I will ask DAC D'Orsi about it. 117 119 1 it, but it is the best document I can see to do it. 1 Just to finish on this point, it appears, doesn't 2 It refers in the first paragraph, 1.1, to: 2 it, that prior to 2014, CTSAs were advising businesses 3 "A new approach to crowded places developed by 3 as to what mitigations they needed to meet assessed 4 NaCTSO and OSCT." threats, and then post-2014 the policy, the new policy, 5 So that's your position? 5 recognised that with a voluntary scheme there had to be 6 A. Yes. a compromise between mitigations that were needed and 6 7 Q. It was applicable from April 2014 and was basically the 7 cost? That's really the bottom line with that document, 8 8 model that was still being applied at the time of the isn't it? 9 9 bombing, I think. A. I can't speak to that document. I want to be helpful. 10 A. It is, that's correct, yes. 10 but I wouldn't be able to answer to it. 11 Q. 2.2 goes through the history of the crowded places model 11 Q. Okay, well, moving away from that document, thank you --12 from 2008 and the Lord West Review. 12 I'm not sure whether it's still on the screen, but if 13 Then at 2.3, let me just read that out: 13 it is, please take it down. 14 "The 2008 model was interpreted and implemented 14 The reality is that commercial interests will always 15 15 inconsistently across forces in relation to site interfere with security if they're left to be voluntary, 16 definition, advice delivery and prioritisation of 16 won't they? 17 A. And the reality is we've been having a challenge with effort. The model was also slow to demonstrate success 17 18 in vulnerability reduction owing to reliance on physical 18 the boardrooms around providing appropriate protective 19 measures such as bollards and increased vehicle 19 security and that is why we're now moving towards 2.0 2.0 stand-off distance." a protective —— one of the many reasons we're moving 21 21 Then this: towards a Protect duty.

118

2.2

23

2.4

25

Q. Again, just to finish on this point, the fact that

commercial interests will always be an interference if

CTSAs because they don't only have to consider the

they're left to be voluntary has a knock-on effect on

2.2

23

2.4

25

The willingness of business to engage was reduced

So not only was it recognised, but a new model was

by the requirement to achieve expensive gold standards.'

brought in in 2014, which reduce the standard, didn't

- 1 mitigations, but they also have to consider what they 2 can persuade the particular business to adopt? Is that
- 3
- 4 A. You don't always get access to the decision makers as
- 5 a CTSA, you get access to the head of security or...
- certain people. The people you're trying to get your 6
- 7 message and your influence to may well be the executives 8 that you don't always get access to. So that is
- 9 a challenge and has been a challenge.
- 10 Q. Just to come back to the one-size-fits-all point that
- 11 you made with questions from Mr Greaney. Does this
- 12 point fundamentally recognise that for larger venues.
- 13 venues with a larger capacity, there are likely to be
- 14 greater risks or more complex risks perhaps but also
- 15 greater resources to deal with those risks and therefore 16 the framework that is being put together recognises that
- 17 it may be appropriate to create a responsibility on
- 18 larger concerns dealing with larger risks?
- 19 A. I think that's quite a long question. I think --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: They normally are here. 20
- 21 A. If you've got 1 million people -- the vulnerabilities
- 2.2 are higher the more people you have to protect. So yes. 2.3 MR WEATHERBY: But also the likelihood is that greater
- 2.4 resources will be available? Is that a fair point?
- 25 A. In general, I think, yes. There's some speculation on

121

- 1 that, but in general, yes.
- Q. Okay, but if you've got an operator, the owner of 2
- 3 a stadium or an arena, who is potentially making large
- amounts of money out of their operation, nothing wrong
- 5 with that, then not only are they likely to have greater
- 6 risks because of their higher capacities, higher
- 7 footfall if you like, but they're also likely to have
- 8 the greater resources with which to deal with it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Therefore it's proportionate to expect them to do more?
- 11
- 12 Q. Finally, can I come back to the consultation, because it
- 13 may just be me, but I didn't completely understand your
- evidence about this. You said there had been a complete 14
- 15 refresh of the strategy, the publicly accessible
- 16 locations strategy, as it now is, from 2019.
- 17
- 18 Q. And following from that, and of course the campaign by
- 19 Figen Murray and others has led on to a realisation by
- 2.0 government that there need to be changes and therefore
- 21 there needs to be a consultation, and that was announced
- 2.2 in February.
- 23
- 24 Q. Mr Greaney has made the point already, it is 2 years and

122

25 9 months on, but now we're 3.5 years on, as we speak

- 1 today. Some fairly basic questions are being put to
- 2 you -- and I'm in no way being critical of you, please
- 3 don't see this as a question critical of you -- but
- 4 there are some pretty basic questions you're not able to
- 5 answer. So for example, whether the proposals will have criminal sanction. Not decided yet, was your answer, as 6
- 7 I understood it. Or who will do the enforcement of
- 8 whatever measures are brought in. Pretty basic
- 9 questions, aren't they?
- 10 A. I didn't say it's not decided. It's still under
- 11 consideration. Legislation and what is in it is
- 12 a matter for ministers and it's not for me here giving
- 13 evidence at this inquiry to predetermine parts of that
- legislation . I've already disclosed what is publicly 14
- 15 known and what ministers have agreed, but whether it's
- criminal or civil is under consideration, how it's going 16
- 17 to be delivered is under consideration. That's not to
- 18 say that we haven't been thinking and looking at those
- 19 options because we have. It's not for me to say that.
- 20 $\mathsf{Q}.\ \mathsf{As}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{say},\ \mathsf{I}'\mathsf{m}\ \mathsf{not}\ \mathsf{being}\ \mathsf{critical}\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{you},\ \mathsf{but}\ \mathsf{how}$ 2.1
- oven-ready, really, is this for a consultation? 22
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that a reference to Brexit?
- 2.3 MR WEATHERBY: It was perhaps a borrowed phrase from Brexit. 2.4
- A. The consultation document, the draft document, has been
- 2.5 prepared. We were ready to go in September.

123

- 1 Q. Right.
- A. That's how ready we were. 2.
- 3 Q. Okay. So the consultation document's ready?
- A. We were ready to go to consultation in September, yes.
- 5 So the infrastructure everything ready to go to
- 6 consultation you know, was oven-ready.
- 7 Q. Okay. Does the consultation document include the cost
- 8 implications of the proposed legislation and the changes
- 9 that it would bring about?
- 10 A. It consults on that area, but we will also -- in the
- 11 normal way in preparing for new legislation, we will get
- 12 an economic impact assessment provided.
- 13 Q. As I understand it, many consultations, the cost
- 14 implications are in fact in the proposal; is that right?
- 15 A. Um... Probably. I would imagine. But I don't actually 16 know.
- Q. Okay. You've referred to parliamentary requirements 17
- 18 that mean that the consultation can't be done now. Can
- 19 you elaborate on that, can you help us with that? What
- 2.0 are the actual requirements of a consultation that can't
- 21 be done now?
- 2.2 A. The consultation can't be done now because it is
- 23 considered that it won't be a meaningful consultation,
- 2.4 we won't get the response because of COVID that would

124

25 allow us to properly put new legislation to Parliament

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We will break for lunch. Can I have 1 having considered all the impacts of that. So it's not 2 really parliamentary -- it's not Parliament saying you some indication from people how many more people are 3 can't do a consultation now, it's us saying that we 3 likely to ask many questions, bearing in mind the 4 wouldn't be able to do a meaningful one now. 4 witness is coming back and we do have another witness to 5 Q. Thank you for clarifying that because I had 5 finish? MR GREANEY: I believe only Mr Cooper and Ms McGahey remain. 6 misunderstood you on that point. So it's perfectly 6 7 possible to do a consultation now, but the government 7 MR COOPER: Sir, yes. As Mr Weatherby very fairly 8 8 indicated, he's left the Martyn's Law questions to us thinks that it would be less meaningful because of the 9 COVID situation? 9 and there are few other subsidiary questions which 10 10 A. Correct. I assure you have not been asked yet. 11 Q. Consultations start with a public consultation document 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I hesitate but could I ask for a rough 12 12 generally, don't they? estimate of time? 13 13 MR COOPER: I also hesitate giving an optimistic one and Q. And I think you've just confirmed that the document is 14 14 then being hung out to dry, as it were, when that 15 15 doesn't happen. I would say 30 minutes. ready? A Yes 16 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Hung out to dry may be a bit harsh. 16 Q. Could you help me? Is there a problem with providing 17 MR COOPER: Forgive me if I am too graphic. 17 18 the consultation document to the families and to the 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. 19 chair of this inquiry? 19 Anv idea? A. It hasn't been approved by ministers, so it's only in 20 MS MCGAHEY: At the moment I have no questions, sir. 20 2.1 draft, but I will see and I will ask the question. 2.1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. MR GREANEY: Sir, you will be keen that the evidence of 2.2 Q. Okay. I don't doubt that there are problems brought 2.2 2.3 23 DAC D'Orsi does not duplicate the evidence of about by COVID with people being furloughed, as you've 2.4 2.4 Mr Hipgrave, so I'll seek to ensure that her evidence is referred to in your statement, and there are 25 difficulties with in-person meetings of course, but 2.5 focused upon truly new issues and principally upon the 125 127 1 there are also workarounds. 1 CTSA system. Of course, this inquiry was established by the SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. Thank you very much. I'm sorry 2 2 3 minister. 3 it's taken a long time. A. The Home Secretary, yes. (1.19 pm) Q. And one of the fundamentals of a public inquiry is that (The lunch adjournment) 5 5 it makes recommendations. Therefore, on behalf of the 6 (2.15 pm) 6 Questions from MR COOPER 7 7 families $\mbox{\ I \ represent, \ certainly}$, we would very much ask MR COOPER: As you know, I represent some of the families 8 8 you to take this back to the minister and ask for the 9 9 involved with this inquiry, including Figen Murray, as consultation document to be provided now so that the 10 chair can consider it. Are you prepared to do that? 10 you've reflected upon her, for which I'm sure she's 11 grateful for your well-meant remarks. Do you know also Q. Indeed, we're coming towards the end of this section of 12 someone called Nick Aldworth? the inquiry and it may be -- may be -- that the chairman 13 A. I do, yes. 14 Q. A retired chief superintendent of the

11

12 13 14 will consider making interim recommendations on this 15 particular issue given the passage of time and that 16 would be the urgency of it.

A. Yes. Any initial thoughts from the chair will be 17

18 appreciated because bringing in new primary legislation 19 that would have such an impact on this country, we want 2.0 to get it right. So absolutely we are trying to, as 21 I say in my statement, engage and consult with as many 2.2 people as possible.

23 MR WEATHERBY: Yes. The families won't disagree with you on 2.4

that, Mr Hipgrave. Thank you very much for your

25 answers.

> 128 126

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Metropolitan Police?

Aldworth, he says this:

the document?

A. Yes.

Q. And you've read Martyn's Law, haven't you, you have read

"In 2018 I met Figen Murray and learned that she too

was concerned about the lack of security in places that

could easily be used as terrorist targets. I was struck

by this woman's humility, courage and compassion and the

Q. In the foreword by retired Chief Superintendent

2.1

1 simplicity of what she called for." 2 Would you say it is perhaps not as simple as at

3 first light it would have appeared or is it a simple measure that she's calling for? 4

A. Martyn's Law, you mean? 5

Q. Yes. 6

7 A. She has set out now the steps quite simply, but bringing 8 that into legislation isn't as simple as that.

9 Q. So effectively , the proposals of Martyn's Law are simple 10 enough, but it's the legislative process which is the 11 complicating factor?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And indeed, not only the complicating factor but the 14 delaying factor?

15

2.1

8

9

10

11

12

14

16 Q. As far as you're aware, have the government, have 17 ministers always been of the view that Martyn's Law was 18 necessary?

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think you need to define "always". 19

MR COOPER: I'll go to the point. A petition to implement 20

Martyn's Law was put up on the House of Commons website

22 and received many thousands of signatures. The

2.3 government responded -- and this was about 2 months

2.4 after 22 May 2017 -- that there was already adequate

legislation in place and that no further action will be

129

1 taken, although they thanked her for raising the issue.

2 Were you aware of that?

3 A. I was aware of the petition, but those exact details,

I wasn't aware of.

5 Q. If that was the response, and an informed response, and 6 one presumes it was because it was put on a government 7 site underneath the petition. I'll repeat it again:

"There is already adequate legislation in place and no further action will be taken."

But thanking Mrs Murray for her raising the issue.

Can it be taken from that that on 22 May 2017, the government position was that the legislation was

13 adequate and no action needed to be taken?

A. I wasn't aware of that exact statement, but if that was the statement at that time. I can't argue either way.

15 16 Q. I understand where we are now -- well, to a degree I do,

17 and I'll ask you a few more questions about that and

18 I'll move on to that. But I'm trying to establish with

19 you that if that statement, clearly authorised by

2.0 government to go on their website, one presumes, if that

21 statement is correct, then at the time of the Manchester

2.2 bomb the government's view, ministers' view, was that 23 the legislation was perfectly effective. That must

2.4 follow, mustn't it?

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If that's what they said, that what's

130

they said, we have to assume that's what their view was.

2 MR COOPER: Thank you. I want to go straight to the

3 consultation process with you because you'll understand,

4 Mr Hipgrave, it's of considerable frustration to those 5 we represent the time this is taking.

I'm going to be blunt -- the reason you've given for 6 7 the delay on consultation is COVID; is that right?

8 A. That's correct, yes.

9 Q. I'm going to challenge that on instructions. I'm going

10 to challenge you that that isn't the reason. I want to

investigate that with you. How have you come to that

12 conclusion, what research and what materials have caused

13 you to come to the conclusion that COVID is delaying

14 this consultation procedure? I'm challenging you

15 directly that that's not the reason.

16 A. When we considered the position and the requirement to

17 have all of the stakeholders that would be part of the

18 Protect duty would have the appropriate focus on the

19 consultation. it was considered, and considered with

2.0 ministers, that because of COVID they would not be able

to properly focus on a meaningful consultation.

22 Q. Your words are important. Ministers decided that, did

they, that because of COVID, and COVID alone, it wasn't 2.3

2.4 capable of proper consultation? Think carefully,

25 please, because this could be important.

131

1 A. I don't have to think carefully: as civil servants we

2. act on the instructions of ministers --

3 Q. Yes.

4 A. -- and we carry out the direction of ministers.

5 Q. Yes

6 A. We provide advice and support, which is what we did in

7 this case, and the decision was made by the government

8 ministers that there wouldn't be enough focus because of

9 COVID for a meaningful consultation.

10 Q. It's those words: because of COVID. I'm not necessarily

11 suggesting there wasn't a view taken there wouldn't be

12 sufficient focus; it's the "because of COVID" point that

13 I am examining with you. And which minister took that

14 decision?

15 A. I'm not sure it's -- I don't even know what stance they

16 take on advice I give --

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: At the moment it's government. You can 17

18 take advice whether you're able to reveal who the

19 minister was and when you come back you can tell us if

vou are allowed to. Okay?

21 MR COOPER: Thank you.

Was it reduced to writing or in documentation that

23 a decision was made because of COVID? Is that in

writing anywhere?

2.5 A. Yes

2.0

2.2

2.4

- 1 Q. And subject to the chair's opinion, can you provide us with that document?
- A. The advice that civil servants give to ministers normally isn't a matter for disclosure.
- 5 Q. I'm not asking for the advice given by the Civil Service to ministers, I didn't think Civil Service advised that 6 7 COVID was a problem, I thought it was ministers that said because of COVID. If of course the Civil Service 8 9 had said it then that's a different matter and different 10 questions. But given that you said to us that it was 11 a ministerial comment, that "because of COVID the 12 consultation was delayed". I'm asking for documentation
- 14 A. That's noted, Mr Cooper

2.4

1

2

3

5

6

7

2.3

2.4

25

- 15 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you'll have to go back and ask and then no doubt there will be a response to us.
- MR COOPER: Can I suggest to you the reason this
 consultation has been delayed may be because simply
 ministers are of the view that now is not the time to do

that evidences that (overspeaking).

- it for a number of political reasons?
 A. I mean, I... As I mentioned in my evidence before this
 appearance, 10 days before the intended last appearance,
 actually, I spoke with the Home Secretary. She
- 25 the Protect duty. There is no underlying reason that

133

absolutely has the intent to consult and move forward on

- that is not going to happen and the reasons for not going forward with the consultation now are COVID.
 - I have to say, Mr Cooper, that because we're not doing the consultation now doesn't mean to say that we are not using the time productively so that post—consultation we will be able to move as quickly as possible towards putting forward legislation.
- 8 Q. The first question I asked you —— and you'll understand
 9 that my questions, as is my professional duty, are
 10 very —— and willingly I present them —— driven by
 11 instructions that I have. Did you hear Figen Murray's
 12 evidence a few days ago?
- 13 A. I did. ves.
- Q. And one of the observations she made was that wouldn't
 it be tragic if, during this drawn—out period of
 consultation, another atrocity occurred and people lost
 their lives.
- - Protective security is one part of the system. The Prevent and Pursue reduces the threat as well, so it's part of a system. Just because we get better protective

- security through the Protect duty doesn't automatically stop terrorist attacks happening.
- Q. I understand that. Can I try and dig into that
 Civil Service answer, if I may say so, and put it to you directly? I put it to you directly again: there is
- 6 a risk here, is there not, whilst this process is
- 7 undertaken, that another atrocity could occur and people 8 lose their lives?
- 9 A. There is that risk, yes, and it is our job, certainly in
 10 my area, to mitigate against that risk on protection and
 11 preparedness, and in the other areas around reducing the
- preparedness, and in the other areas around reducing the threat.
- ${\sf Q}.$ We've heard that there is a parliamentary requirement that online consultation cannot take place. Can you
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \text{help us, where does that requirement come from?} \\$
- 16 A. I can't help you much more than that. I don't have the17 details.
- $18\,$ $\,$ Q. You'll understand that one of the observations being
- made by Figen Murray, and certainly in her statement
- that the inquiry have had, is that why can't there be
- some form of online intervention in this consultation
 and we're simply being told it's something to do with
- and we're simply being told it's something to do with parliamentary requirements. Could Lagain, without
- parliamentary requirements. Could I again, without
- 24 exacerbating the chair's patience, call upon
- 25 documentation again which says that?

135

- $1 \quad \text{A. That doesn't change } -- \text{ whether it's an online} \\$
- 2 consultation or the process of consultation doesn't
- 3 change that you can't have a meaningful -- it is thought
- 4 that you can't have a meaningful consultation unless
- 5 you have the focus of the stakeholders. So the problem
- 6 with COVID is there are people on furlough, there are
- 7 people doing different things, their focus is on health
- 8 and safety at the moment. And whether it's online or
- 9 not, that wouldn't change the consultation.
- 10 Q. My question again: can we have the documentation or any
- rule that indicates that it's a parliamentary
- 12 requirement that online consultation cannot take place?
- 13 A. Okay, that question, again, is noted.
- 14 Q. Because it has been put, not necessarily by you, but
- enforced by you -- it has been put by other witnesses as
 - well and by other information we have, that
- 17 Figen Murray's suggestion and the Martyn's Law
- suggestion, the consultation process, at least for some
- 19 tranche of people, at least for a section of people,
- 20 cannot take place because of a parliamentary
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \text{requirement.} \hspace{0.1cm} \text{And my question is designed to find out if} \\$
- 22 that is so or, if it is not so, whether it is a decision
- 23 that has been made not to use online, when online could
- $24\,$ be used. That's what I'm driving at, do you understand?
- What I am going to suggest to you is that there may be

134

- $1 \hspace{1.5cm} \hbox{a line between us on this in the sense that some online} \\$
- 2 consultation could take place rather than simply
- 3 a blanket no online consultation. Some people may not
- 4 have such detailed or complex answers that could use
- 5 online, couldn't they?
- 6 A. I'm here to be helpful. You're asking questions that
- 7 are helpful to the inquiry. We will support that and be
- 8 as helpful as possible.
- 9 Q. I'm sure.
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If you can give the answer, then give the answer as to what the restrictions are, please.
- the answer as to what the restrictions are, please.
 MR COOPER: The individuals you have told us that the
- consultation has already started. Did I understand that
- 14 correctly?
- $15\,$ $\,$ A. We have been doing pre-consultation engagement -- so the
- consultation hasn't started but we have been engaging
- 17 with various elements of the security community and
- 18 stakeholder community, such as local authority,
- 19 chief executives, security industry, organisations like
- $20 \hspace{10mm} \text{that.} \hspace{2mm} \text{And that will continue pre-consultation.}$
- Q. Let me understand this: this action, this process, is
 a direct result of what happened at the arena on 22 May
- or, alternatively, a direct result of Figen Murray and
- 24 Martyn's Law initiative?
- 25 A. The attacks in 2017, the recommendations that came out

137

- 1 from the various reviews and reports, Figen Murray's
- work, the threat analysis, a survey and a review of the
 - industry. So there's multiple reasons, but absolutely,
- $4 \qquad \quad \mathsf{Figen} \,\, \mathsf{has} \,\, \mathsf{absolutely} \,\, \mathsf{amplified} \,\, \mathsf{the} \,\, \mathsf{Martyn's} \,\, \mathsf{Law} \,\, \mathsf{point}$
- 5 with ministers

3

- 6 Q. Of the pre—engagement meetings you've had, have you had 7 them with SMG or ShowSec yet?
- 8 A. No, we've had them with representatives of the security industry, none from the Arenas Association, but that's
- 10 planned.
- 11 Q. Would you agree that should be top of your list given
- $12\,$ that this is an initiative $\,--$ and I asked the question
- beforehand for a reason, as you'd expect, that much of
- $14\,$ this is driven by Manchester Arena. SMG and ShowSec
- $15 \hspace{1cm} \text{should be at the top of the list of people you're} \\$
- speaking to, shouldn't they?
- $17\,$ $\,$ A. Engagement with those -- it's complicated because we are
- 18 a core participant and we are part of this inquiry as
- well, so having an engagement specifically with those
- $20 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{two organisations right now is quite complicated within} \\$
- 21 this inquiry context. But those organisations and
- organisations like that, yes.
- 23 Q. Did I hear you right when you said that the consultation
- $24\,$ document was already to go and was ready to go in
- 25 September but is not approved by ministers? Did I hear

138

- 1 you say that?
- 2 A. So there are some processes -- before we can consult,
- 3 we have to write round government and get approval from
- different departments. That part hadn't been done yet.
- $5\,$ $\,$ Q. So the document's ready to go in September, it's not
- a draft document any more, it's ready to go in September, and so the delay between September and
- 7 September, and so the delay between September a 8 November is getting approval by ministers?
- 9 A. It is a draft document because there may be comments
- 10 made by other departments. But from an OSCT point of
- 11 view, it is --
- 12 Q. So the document, certainly subject to comment from other
- 13 governmental departments, has been ready to go
- since September, so 2 months on you're still waiting,
- not your blame, but you're still waiting for response
- from other government departments and you have probably
- been waiting for them now, on what you say, for about
- 18 2 months?
- 19 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Have they gone to the other departments?
- No? I think they still need to go still .
- 21 MR COOPER: Then my next question is --
- $22\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Let me do it for you: why haven't they
- 23 gone yet?
- 24 A. Because the ministers' decisions were to hold it pending
- 25 COVID for the time being, so we have stayed sending it

139

- 1 round, right round.
- 2 MR COOPER: What has COVID got to do with sending it to
- 3 government departments? Some may, not for me to say,
- 4 either agree or disagree with the COVID explanation
- 5 being given to why the consultation to companies and to
- 6 people out there in the nation but government
- 7 departments? What's COVID got to do with sending it to
- 8 government departments? Unless it's an excuse.
- 9 A. It isn't an excuse. We have got the document ready to 10 go.
- 11 Q. Good.
- 12 A. I'm being... So the Department for Health are quite
- busy at the moment. MHCLG are busy at the moment. So
- 14 in the same way that we haven't sent it out for public
- consultation, there are areas across government that are
- focused on COVID. But I can assure you that when we get
- an opportunity to consult, there won't be any delay in
- 18 doing that.
- 19 Q. Can I suggest --
- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: How long does it take to get things back
- from other departments when you're proposing to do that
- 22 normally?

2.4

- 23 A. We can put a deadline on it, but normally it needs 1 or
 - 2 weeks for them to consider it.
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.

9

11

- 1 MR COOPER: Can I suggest to you that whilst there seem to
- 2 be fine words emanating from governmental departments on
- 3 Martyn's Law and protecting people in public places, the 4 actions do not support that, do they?
- A. I don't agree with that. I think you've seen, both from 5
- me and Figen Murray, from various ministers and the Home 6
- 7 Secretary, that their intent is and my intent is 8 absolutely clear.
- 9 Q. Indeed, there have been extremely positive meetings and
- 10 positive words expressed, but I'm asking you about
- 11 actions and I'm asking you about actions, for instance,
- 12 at least sending them to governmental departments, and 13 at least giving them the opportunity, however busy they
- 14 may be, to peruse this document.
- 15 Let me put the analogy. In any job, in any
- 16 pressured job, you still get correspondence and emails
- 17 sent to you on other matters which you have to decide
- 18 whether you look at it or whether you don't look at it.
- 19 Why not just send it?
- 20 A. I will note that.
- 2.1 Q. All right. I don't want it to be interpreted that I'm
- 2.2 sending you hostile, which I'm not, questions to you
- 2.3 personally, but you're obviously here and you'll
- 2.4 understand the questions are driven by what concern the
- 25 families have.

141

- 1 A. I am a civil servant, so I'm here to be challenged.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, that's the challenge then.
- 3 MR COOPER: There it is.
- I want to move on to just a few other matters with
- 5 you. Again, you can probably reassure us on some of
- 6 these. I want to ask you not so much on what's
- 7 happening now but what was happening then in May 2017.
- 8 Do I understand this correctly, that the driving
- 9 definition there was in relation to:
 - "Crowded places which were locations frequented by the public, which are possibly a terrorist target by
- 12 virtue of their crowd density"?
- 13 Was that the driver in May 2017?
- 14 A. We read the quite long definition earlier, but in
- 15 general, ves. a crowded place was determined by crowd 16 density, whether it was an iconic site, the
- 17 attractiveness of it, yes.
- 18 Q. In the case of the arena, that intermittently had a lot
- 19 of crowd density and certainly did on the night of
- 2.0 22 May, 14,000-odd or so -- and more -- there were other
- times when it was virtually empty, dark days for 21
- 2.2 instance.

23

10

11

- 2.4 Q. Did that impact upon whether it was pushed up the agenda
- 25 in terms of being highlighted as an area of

142

- 1 dangerousness? I'll put it a simpler way. Was it only
- 2 considered a significant risk on event days but not so
- 3 perhaps on dark days?
- 4 A. So the arena was a priority location, and therefore was
 - given prioritisation by CTSAs, by CT police. I don't
- know about dark days. That is more of an operational 6 7
- Q. Just in terms of a policy and approach to crowded 8
 - places -- I'm using the expression as it was in 2017 --
- 10 would you be able to -- subject to the chair feeling
 - it's appropriate and if not, not -- would you be able to
- 12 make any further enquiries as to whether there was
- 13 a delineation between the way one venue was treated
- 14
- dependent on whether that single venue such as the arena 15 was either busy or quiet?
- 16 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Can you stop for a moment? I think 17
- you're being asked to may further enquiries if you can. 18 It may just be me, but it's actually quite difficult to
- 19 see how that works in practice that you can say: well,
- 2.0 on the days you've got an event you're a priority , but
- 2.1 when -
- MR COOPER: It doesn't. And I want to be reassured that 22
- 2.3 that doesn't happen effectively, particularly given that
- 2.4 during dark days there was activity there which needed
- 25 to be noted. That's the only question.

143

- 1 It may well be you can reassure us that that wasn't
- 2. the case. The only reason I'm asking the question is 3
 - because at that time the driver was density.
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. And you have --
- 6 A. But in 2017 Manchester Arena was a priority location.
- 7 Q. All the time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. 24/7. as it were?
- 10 A. I'm not going to talk about numbers, but there were
- 11 priority locations and they were priority locations full
- 12 stop. Whereas now, we are moving to publicly accessible
- 13 locations because that creates —— that methodology back
- then creates a gap --14
- 15 Q. I understand.

2.2

- 16 A. -- which we're closing.
- Q. That's reassuring then for the families to hear that 17
- 18 at the time of this atrocity Manchester Arena was an
- 19 area of concern, for want of a better expression, 24/7,
- 2.0 it was continual.
- 21 A. Yes. But DAC D'Orsi or operational delivery will be
 - able to give you a better (inaudible word) on that.
- 23 Q. That's very helpful, thank you.
- 2.4 This, please: we know at the time of this atrocity
- 25 that the national threat level was severe --

5

7

13

- 1 2 $Q. \ \ --$ but the arena threat level was low. If you can't
- 3 answer this question, say so, of course. In your view, 4 what effect should the national threat level of severe
- have had on the arena in terms of their approach to 5
- security, personal security, public security? 6
- 7 A. That they should acknowledge that there is -- it's 8 highly likely that there could be a terrorist attack.
 - Q. When you say acknowledge, acknowledge in what way?
- 10 A. In the way that they should then risk assess and 11 mitigate against that.
- 12 Q. So in other words, if the threat level as it was, as 13 it is now, is severe, would you be surprised then, are
- you surprised, to hear that the arena level at the time 14 15 was low? A. On the face of it . that's obviously not accurate because 16
- 17 I've heard evidence that --
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You've heard what's been said. I think 19 the low was done on not taking terror into account,
- 20 wasn't it?
- 21 A Yes

9

- 2.2 MR COOPER: Let me finish the question: should terrorism
- 2.3 have been taken into account?
- 2.4 A Yes
- 25 Q. There it is.

145

- 1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 2 MR COOPER: I want to ask you another defined question,
- 3 please, about whether the nature of an artiste, his or
- her background, or connections or what they may have
- 5 said in public, anything of that, should impact upon the
- level of security in a place of public entertainment 6
- 7 when they are performing. Let me put the flesh on that.
- 8 Ariana Grande, as we know, was American.
- 9 A. Yes

2.0

- 10 Q. Nothing as far as she's concerned, but she represented
- 11 America. Should that be a factor that should be taken
- 12 into account when considering the level of threat given
- 13 that a lot of targets, nationally or internationally, 14
- tend to be associated with America and those appalling 15 people who wish to terrorise America?
- 16 A. So the answer is yes, but I don't necessarily understand
- 17 it in the America context. The answer is yes because,
- 18 currently, French locations, not just in France but
- 19 around the world, are currently at a higher threat than
- 21 factors around certain countries that will determine the

others. So therefore, there are -- sometimes there are

146

- 2.2 risk. We are seeing this publicly in the media with
- 2.3 France as a really good example.
- 2.4 Q. So therefore the fact, perhaps, that whoever the
- 25 American artiste was, and in this instance it was

- 1 Ariana Grande, that should be a matter which should be
 - taken into account by those providing security at
- 3 a venue, do you agree?
- 4 A. As I say, on the principle of that, yes. But
 - Ariana Grande, America, in 2017, I don't know --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You're not prepared to comment on the 6
 - particular incident, but as a general principle, the
- 8 nationality of the artist and perhaps their religion may
- 9 be something that could affect their vulnerability to an
- 10 attack and therefore those who attend their concerts?
- 11 A. Absolutely. This is why when we're moving to PALs, 12 groups are a part of that. Faith groups, very
 - specifically, there is a threat against certain faiths.
- 14 So in groups, that could also consider international
- 15 interests as well.
- MR COOPER: Thank you. 16
- 17 You told us this morning, post-2017, about lessons 18 learned and the understanding of terrorism and how it is
- 19 changing and the lessons learned and steps put in place.
- 2.0 Did it really take a bomb in Manchester Arena on
- 21 22 May 2017 for the atrocities that a terrorist can
- 22 cause to demonstrate that such changes needed to be
- 23
- 2.4 Let me put it in context. Earlier, Bataclan, for 2.5
- instance, amongst crowded places, there was an atrocity.

147

- 1 At the Stade de France, there was an atrocity. There
- 2 have been bombing atrocities in crowded places for
- 3 decades: the IRA, for instance, we know, the Birmingham
- bomb in 1974. Harrods, Manchester Arndale, indeed in
- 5 Manchester in the Lewis's store, another bomb. It's not
- 6 as it were a drastic revelation, is it, that bombs are
- 7
- set off by murderers in crowded places?
- 8 A. That's why the term PBIED or VBIED is around.
- 9 Unfortunately, what you're saying is correct. However,
- 10 the work even from Bataclan and before that was ongoing
- 11 responding to the different threat types and the risks
- 12 that we were seeing here in the UK.
- So pre-2017, the CTSA system, the advice from 13
- 14 government and the policy from government was all
- 15 acknowledging this kind of threat. But 2017 was an
- 16 awful year for this country and we have acknowledged as 17 a government from the reviews and the recommendations
- 18 that have come out of those reviews that we needed to
- 19 change and shift the dial.
- 2.0 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: The general question is: would it be
- 21 a fair criticism to say that the government have
- 2.2 generally been too reactive and not sufficiently
- 23
- 2.4 A. Pre-2017, before I joined the Civil Service ...
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So it's not your fault! 2.5

MR GREANEY: That concludes the evidence of Mr Hipgrave 1 A. I can't really comment. What I would say, right now, 2 since then, the amount of work and work at pace that 2 subject to any questions from you. 3 we've been doing to respond to that and also the 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I am very grateful. It has been longer 4 changing threat has been huge in lots of different 4 than we intended but thank you very much for coming. 5 5 I am sorry it is the second time and that you have to SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I suspect if you came in in 2017 you'd come back. 6 6 7 have some idea whether they had been too reactive and 7 MR GREANEY: Sir, I think we will need to clean the witness 8 not proactive enough before you came, particularly if 8 box before the next witness and also Mr Hipgrave has to 9 you were having to do a lot of catching-up. 9 leave the witness box. 10 A. Yes. I would imagine arriving in 2017, yes. But 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: All right. 11 I can't speculate on that. The facts are that we had 11 MR GREANEY: I think it's better if you rise for a few 12 these awful events in 2017. 12 moments. 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And in fairness to you, there's a lot of 13 (2.53 pm) (A short break) 14 it about, terrorism. To an extent one can only be 14 15 reactive because there's just so much and it does change 15 (3.04 pm) and there's a different threat, a lot . MR GREANEY: Sir, I'm going to ask that the next witness, 16 16 A. It's despicable. What happens, how they plan, how they 17 Deputy Assistant Commissioner D'Orsi, be sworn, please. 17 18 then carry out their attacks are awful and has lasting 18 DAC LUCY D'ORSI (sworn) SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Please sit down. I'm very sorry that 19 impact. I couldn't say there won't be another terrorist 19 you've been kept waiting yet again, having been here for 20 20 attack. 2.1 MR COOPER: Of course. 2.1 a whole day beforehand. 2.2 A. But we do everything we can that's necessary and 22 A. Thank you. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm sure you've been chafing at the bit 2.3 2.3 proportionate to try and reduce vulnerabilities and 2.4 2.4 reduce the threat. to get going. We are at least going to get going today. 25 Q. These questions are not personally directed attacks on 2.5 although I think it's unlikely, I'm afraid, that we're 149 151 1 anyone, I assure you, and we're all aware of the 1 going to finish with your evidence and we're going to 2 difficulties created by these murderous individuals. 2. have to ask you to come back. I apologise for that. 3 I am coming to a conclusion now, but I will press 3 I'm sure it's extremely inconvenient. you with the inquiry's leave: I suggest to you that A. That's fine. I understand the circumstances. Not 5 reacting in 2017, the government were far too slow, 5 weren't they? There was a wealth of information before 6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You have, I think, heard the evidence 6 7 2017. However seminal that year was, there was a wealth which has been given today, which may help focus and 8 8 of information. I can ream off bomb attacks, it is restrict more what we're going to concentrate on with 9 9 tragic the fact that I can, starting decades ago. But vour evidence. 10 others, very close to 2017, and my question is this: 10 A. Yes. I have. 11 would you accept the government were far too slow in 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. 12 reacting to the dangers presented by terrorists such as 12 Questions from MR GREANEY MR GREANEY: Thank you very much, sir. 13 Salman Abedi? 13 14 A. I'm not going to comment on that because I don't have --14 Would you begin, please, by telling us your full 15 15 that's speculation. I don't have the ability to comment name? 16 on that. And it is your point and it's a point on 16 A. Lucy Claire D'Orsi. 17 behalf of the families and I would feel in the same Q. Did you join the Metropolitan Police service in 1992? 17 18 position, but I don't think I can comment on that. 18 A. Sir, before I answer that question, I would like to 19 MR COOPER: I won't press you, but it's fair I put the point 19 start by offering my sincere and heartfelt condolences 20 2.0 to you though. on behalf of Counter-terrorism Policing to the families 21 21 of those who were murdered in the attack and their Thank you, sir. 2.2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you very much. 2.2 friends and all of those people who were injured.

23

2.4

25

150

MR GREANEY: Sir, I'll check whether Ms McGahey does now

152

So I'll repeat my question: did you join the

Q. Thank you very much indeed.

Metropolitan Police service in 1992?

23

2.4

25

have questions.

MS McGAHEY: No, thank you, sir.

November 12, 2020 Manchester Arena Inquiry Day 35

2

11

15

- 1 A. Yes. I did.
- 2 Q. Are you currently a deputy assistant commissioner within 3 specialist operations?
- 4 A. Yes, I am, in Counter-terrorism Policing.
- 5 Q. And I was going to add that specialist operations forms
- part of National Counter-terrorism or CT Policing? 6
- 7
- Q. Does your current role report into Counter-terrorism 8 9 Policing?
- 10 A. Yes. it does
- 11 Q. And do you have responsibilities for protective
- 12 security, both within the Metropolitan Police service 13 and also nationally?
- A. Yes, I do, and my London responsibilities are around 14
- 15 royalty and specialist protection, parliamentary and
- 16 diplomatic protection, aviation and protective security
- 17
- 18 Q. And your national responsibilities?
- 19 A. My national responsibilities are around Protect and 20 Prepare as part of the CONTEST strategy.
- 2.1 Q. Before we turn to deal with Protect and to a lesser
- 2.2 extent Prepare, I'm going to ask you about what you
- describe as "the 2017 context", so the context really 2.3
- 2.4 for the evidence that you're going to be giving to us
- about CTSAs and so on.

153

- 1 Did the terrorist attacks in London and Manchester
- 2 in 2017 mark a watershed moment for UK society, the
- 3 emergency and intelligence services, and in particular
- Counter-terrorism Policing?
- 5 A. Yes, they did, and it's certainly my view, and that of
- my colleagues, that the attacks and the other disrupted 6
- 7 attacks plots were unprecedented in terms of their pace,
- 8 the diversity of methodologies, the target of attacks,
- 9 and the origin of the threat.
- 10 Q. As well as the five terrorist attacks that took place in
- 11 2017, were there a total of 13 disrupted attack plots 12
 - in the United Kingdom between 22 March 2017 and
- 13 28 November of that year?
- 14 A. Yes, there were. Those plots involved a number of
- 15 different types of attack methodologies, including the 16 intent to use explosive devices and firearms as well as
- 17 knives and vehicles as weapons.
- 18 Q. We don't need to put on the screen, but so that it's
- 19 available in due course if needs be, you have appended
- 2.0 to your first witness statement, as appendix 1,
- 21 a document that sets out where the terrorist attacks
- 2.2 came in the order of the various attacks and attempted 2.3 plots?
- 24 A. Yes. that's correct.
- 25 Q. Between April of 2017 and March of 2018, did the number

154

- of intelligence leads jointly managed by MI5 and
 - Counter-terrorism Policing increase?
- 3 A. Yes, they did. They more than doubled and the number of 4 arrests also rose by 17%.
- 5 Q. As we know, on two occasions during that period,
- including in the aftermath of the Manchester attack, the 6
- 7 UK threat level was increased from severe to critical .
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. And in fact, as you inform us in your statement,
- 10 resulted in the deployment of military personnel into
 - the public domain?
- 12 Yes, and that's under Operation Temperer.
- 13 Q. So to summarise the position, you explain that the
- 14 context that you have just described demonstrates that
 - the tempo of policing activity across this period was
- 16 not only significant but also unprecedented?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct. I've also put that at the time,
- 18 the head of MI5, Andrew Parker, summarised the position
- 19 in October of 2017. If I could read what he said, he
- 20 publicly stated:
- 21 "Today there is more terrorist activity coming at us 22 more quickly and it can be harder to detect. It is
- 23
- multi-dimensional, evolving rapidly, and operating at
- 2.4 a scale and pace we have not seen before." 25
 - Which I think describes it very well.

155

- 1 Q. I was asking to whether you agreed with his remarks and 2 you plainly do.
- 3 A. Yes

4

- Q. Next I am going to ask you about the structure of
- Counter-terrorism Policing in the United Kingdom. Some 5
- 6 parts of this, as you've heard, have been dealt with by
- 7 Mr Hipgrave and we won't be repeating his evidence.
 - $\ensuremath{\text{I'm}}$ going to ask that there be placed on the screen
- 8 9 a diagram which is appendix 2 to your first witness
- 10 statement. I'll give the INQ reference: {INQ025466/25}.
- 11 I'm not going to go through every square or 12
- rectangle, but we'll have that on the screen so we can 13 see where the various bodies fit into the various
- 14
 - relationships as we identify them.
- 15 The Office for Security and Counter-terrorism, OSCT
- 16 as we have heard, is the lead government body for
- 17 developing, coordinating and implementing the CONTEST
 - programme. Is the OSCT the policy partner for
- 19 Counter-terrorism Policing?
- 2.0 A. Yes. it is.

18

- 21 Q. And indeed for all policing services that deliver
- 2.2 operational CT activity?
- 23
- 2.4 At the top we see Her Majesty's Government. Immediately
- 2.5 beneath it and in parallel with the Cabinet Office and

the Protect board is the OSCT. Beneath the OSCT we have NaCTSO. 1 1 2 the National Police Chiefs' Council, formerly known as 2 When was NaCTSO created? 3 ACPO, and beneath that we have the National 3 A. NaCTSO was created around about 2002 and it was in 4 Counter-terrorism Policing Headquarters, now I think 4 recognition of the specialist security, protective 5 known just as Counter-terrorism Policing Headquarters. 5 security advice that was needed to counter the threat A. That's correct. There is a body in between that, which from terrorism predominantly as a result of following 6 6 7 is the Counter-terrorism Coordination Committee, which 7 the 9/11 attacks in 2001 and the anthrax attacks in the 8 does have representatives of the chiefs' council that 8 United States and the suspected ones here in the UK in 9 sits in between it. 9 2001. 10 10 It agreed to develop and deliver the crowded places Q. I don't believe we need to learn any more about the 11 National Police Chiefs' Council, but could you explain 11 guidance and it wasn't until the publication of the 12 12 to us. please, what the National Counter-terrorism first government's national security strategy in 13 Policing Headquarters is? 13 March 2008 that we stepped up the efforts and 14 14 A. Yes. That sits there at the centre, really, in centralised that formalised policy, which I think Shaun 15 a coordination capacity, it hasn't got an operational 15 talked about earlier. 16 delivery arm to it. But the policing headquarters is 16 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Just to make sure I am clear about the chronology, even 17 where we sit and we consider all of the various 17 if everyone else is. 18 different policies, how that comes together. In the 18 2002, about then, NaCTSO is created. 2005, NaCTSO 19 context of some of the evidence this morning, that's 19 agreed to develop and deliver the crowded places 2.0 about considering the recommendations that have come out 20 guidance. But it wasn't until the publication of the 2.1 of learning that's come out and it's more -- in a simple 21 first government national security strategy in 22 22 way I would couch it as the strategic centre for March 2008 that efforts were stepped up and Counter-terrorism Policing. That is led by Assistant 2.3 23 a centralised formalised policy and approach led by OSCT 2.4 Commissioner Neil Basu and sitting underneath him he has 2.4 was started? 25 two deputies, which is myself and my colleague, and we 2.5 Yes, that's correct, because the role of policing is to 157 159 1 lead on two of the four Ps. I lead on Protect and 1 operationalise the policy. Q. In May 2017 -- I'm now at paragraph 31 -- what was 2 Prepare, and my colleague leads on Pursue and Prevent. 2. 3 and then we have our structure that sits underneath us. 3 NaCTSO comprised of? And you can see in terms of the Protect and Prepare 4 A. Approximately 11 members of staff. Q. Who led NaCTSO? 5 structure, you can see directly beneath that the 5 6

national coordinator for Protect and Prepare, and 6

7 that is the deputy working to me.

- 8
- Q. Then in red, beneath that office, we have NaCTSO, an organisation that we are familiar with. Is NaCTSO 10 Counter-terrorism Policing's public-facing body?
- 11 A. Yes, it is. It's responsible for taking together the 12 amalgamated knowledge of counter-terrorism partners and
- 13 it turns it into operational protective security guidance and obviously falls under the Protect and 14
- 15 Prepare strands of CONTEST. So it's very much that 16 public-facing aspect of this system.
- 17 Q. And one of the things we know very well that it does is 18 to produce literature on Protect type issues?
- 19 A. Advice, yes.
- 2.0 Q. And advice?
- 21 A. Yes.

9

- 2.2 Q. I'm going to jump forward in your witness statement for 2.3 one moment, because I can see obviously that you're
- 2.4 following it very closely, to paragraph 30. Just to
- 25 deal at this stage with the history and structure of

- A. It was led by a chief inspector. They were responsible
- 7 for setting standards and informing operational policy.
- 8 There was an inspector who coordinated three core teams
- 9 and they each consisted of a sergeant and a couple of 10 constables, all police staff. The core teams focused on
- 11 some particular areas which are counter-terrorism
- 12 awareness, crowded places and personal security and
- 13 hazardous sites and substances, and of course the
- 14 critical national infrastructure.
- 15 Q. So as we know, NaCTSO was responsible for, among other
- 16 things, or at least had within its remit, the Protect
- 17 strand of CONTEST, and unsurprisingly, therefore, one of
- 18 the three core teams dealt with the issue of crowded
- 19 places; is that correct?
- 2.0 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. I'm going back now to {INQ025466/3} of your witness 2.2
 - statement, paragraph 13, please.
- 23 Does NaCTSO have many partners who contribute with
- 2.4 it to countering terrorism?
- 25 A. Yes. I think countering terrorism is a whole system

158

- 1 approach and does require us to work collaboratively 2 with a number of partners. You've heard about OSCT. 3 JTAC, which I know Shaun touched on earlier, which is 4 a critical partner. The Centre for the Protection of National Infrastructure, CPNI. MI5, who of course 5 investigate the threats to national security. I would 6 7 say they are our main partners. And certainly I've seen 8 an evolution over the last 4 years that another partner 9 of ours is the business sector as well. We work very 10 closely from the Protect side with the business
- 12 Q. Is that a development since May 2017 or was it starting 13
- A. So it was starting before that. I definitely am aware 14 15 of -- business engagement consistently has always been 16 a theme. I have seen a real evolution of that over the 17 last 4 years and a recognition of the part that 18 everybody plays in countering the threat of terrorism.
- 19 Q. How is that aspect of matters, the involvement of the 20 businesses, how is that achieved?
- 2.1 A. So I think one of the things I've talked about, and 2.2 I know some people have been at some of the conferences 2.3 I've been at, is just around the point of data share, 2.4 which what I talk about quite a lot in terms of the 25 business community, that it is the role of ourselves to

- 1 share as much information as possible with the business 2 community in order to help them shape their protective 3 security posture.
- 4 Q. And you've used the phrase business community.
- 5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

11

community.

- Q. How do you ensure, if you do ensure, within CT Policing and the $\operatorname{\mathsf{CT}}$ response more generally that the business community do cooperate and play their part?
 - A. Well -- so you heard this morning obviously in terms of protective security it is entirely discretionary. There is on statutory or regulatory framework to make it a mandatory requirement. A lot of it is around partnership working, collaboration, relationships $\,--\,$ and also when we say the business community that is a huge sector, so it ranges from small/medium enterprise industries, which are very tiny, could be the coffee shop, right the way through to big global corporations. So it's not a homogeneous group, it's a very expansive group and we also involve ourselves working with particular groups that represent key sectors of industries .
 - For example, the road haulage has a body that everybody works into, hire cars has a body that everybody works into, and we engage with them.
- Q. You've mentioned the discretionary nature of the advice

162

1 that CTSAs give and we will get to that issue in due

- 2 course and seek your views about whether a discretionary
- 3 system is or is not appropriate, but may we just park
- 4 that for the time being.
- 5 A. Yes, sure.
- Q. Just to return to where we were, dealing with the 6 structure for CT Policing. NaCTSO utilises most heavily 7 8 the OSCT. I don't need any further information from you

9 about that.

- 10 JTAC. Is JTAC the Joint Terrorism Analysis Centre?
- A. Yes. That covers all intelligence which relates to 11 12 international terrorism in the UK and overseas and is an
- 13 Q. Which, as we have understood it, sets threat levels and 14
- 15 issues warnings of threats and other terrorist -related 16 subjects?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. And is CT Policing's advice and guidance predicated on 19 the threat information provided by JTAC and
- 20 intelligence?
- 2.1 A. Yes. it is.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: When you say an independent body, what 2.2 2.3 does that actually mean?
- 2.4 A. So it's made up an amalgamation of people from across 25 the intelligence agencies that come together to work in

163

- 1 a central place, so representatives from the armed
- 2 forces, security services, policing. Everybody comes
- 3 together in a sort of neutral way to purely look at the
- intelligence and provide the advice independently based
- 5 on the intelligence and the reviews that they're
- 6 undertaking.
- MR GREANEY: Other organisations that you rely upon heavily 7 8
 - or at any rate work with heavily, are the Centre for the protection of National Infrastructure, CPNI?
- 10 A. Yes and they are critical as they set the technical
- 11 standards for protective security equipment. They're
- 12 the standards that provide a measure against which users
- 13 of the equipment can be confident and assess the level
- 14 of protection that they're going to get from it, which
- 15 is really important.
- 16 Q. And MI5 as well?
- 17

2.4

9

- 18 Q. The organisation that investigates threats to national
- 19 security and are an important source of information and
- 2.0 an important partner for CT Policing?
- 2.1 A. Yes. that's correct.
- 2.2 Q. The observation you make at paragraph 14 of your
- 23
 - "JTAC, CPNI and MI5 set the parameters within which

164

25 CT Policing conduct Protect and Prepare activity."

- 1 A. Yes, that's correct. I think what's also critical for us to understand is that without their input we wouldn't 2 3 be able to $\,--\,$ well, it would be impossible to $\,$ prioritise 4 where to best place the limited policing and security 5 resources that we have. So the system enables us to consider the threats and prioritise resources 6
- 8 Q. Could we have back on the screen the diagram we had 9 earlier? We are now going to turn to the role of 10 NaCTSO
- 11 NaCTSO, is this correct, forms part of CT Policing and reports to what is now called the NPCC and NCTPHQ? 12
- 13

accordingly.

- Q. And is it your job or part of your job to oversee NaCTSO 14 15 as senior national coordinator for Protect and Prepare?
- A. Yes, that's correct. And I'm supported in the 16
- 17 day-to-day running of NaCTSO by a deputy national 18 coordinator for Protect and Prepare.
- 19 Q. Are NaCTSO's main roles to inform and oversee the work 20 of regional and local aspects of Protect and Prepare?
- 21 A. Yes. that's correct.
- 2.2 Q. Including, importantly for our purposes, the
- 2.3 accreditation of the counter-terrorism security adviser
- 2.4 or CTSA Network?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct

165

- 1 Q. But also to amplify advice and guidance to the private
- 2 sector and general public around protective security?
- 3 A. Yes, to very much be the public-facing body.
- Q. Does NaCTSO itself contribute to policy by participating
- 5 in the Crowded Places Working Group?
- A. Yes. And various other Protect and Prepare boards. 6
- 7 We're very much a key partner in policy.
- 8 Q. I'm going to turn to ask you next, at paragraph 18 of 9 your statement, about crowded places. Did vou -- I'm 10 sure you did hear me refer to a particular definition of 11 crowded places that was in use within government and its
- 12 partners
- A In 2014? 13 Q. In 2014? 14
- 15 A. Yes. I did.
- Q. I'm not going to read it all out again, but you will 16
- 17 well know that it states that crowded places may include
- 18 sports stadia, arenas, festivals and music venues,
- 19 hotels and restaurants, pubs, clubs, bars, casinos, high
- 2.0 streets, shopping centres and markets, visitor
- 21 attractions, cinemas and theatres, schools and
- 2.2 universities, hospitals and places of worship,
- 23 commercial centres and transport hubs.
- 2.4 Would you expect CTSAs to engage with all such
- 25 locations?

166

- 1 A. No. I wouldn't.
- Q. We maybe a jumping ahead a little. Are you able to 2 3 summarise why not?
- 4 A. I think if we all were asked the sort of layperson's
 - definition of crowded places, there's not much that that
- definition doesn't include. It's pretty much all 6
- 7 inclusive of everything we recognise, I think, publicly
- 8 as crowded places. However, CTSAs are focused on the
- 9 crowded places policy which is about prioritising
- 10 a number of locations in terms of the attractiveness
- 11 model. So we're looking at focusing CTSA activity on
- 12 the sites that we believe are attractive to terrorists
- 13 and there is the application of a formula which then
- 14 prioritises those sites into tier 1, tier 2 and tier 3.
- 15 I expect the CTSAs to be focused in those areas
- 16 primarily.
- 17 However, obviously, there is always the opportunity 18 that another site not in that tiering process wanted 19 some particular advice and if there was the capacity to
- 2.0 able to give that, then it doesn't stop them from
- 21 engaging in it, but their priority is around those sites
- 22 that fall within the crowded places attractiveness 23
- 2.4 And we're going to look at that model and the model that 2.5 preceded it, namely the vulnerability model, in further

167

- 1 detail in due course.
- 2 But in summary, it would be impossible for the
- 3 CTSA Network to cover every crowded place falling within
- 4 that definition?
- 5 A. Yes
- 6 Q. There has to be, as matters stand now, prioritisation
- 7 therefore?
- 8 A. Yes. Of course, yes.
- 9 Q. A formula is used that we will not go into and that 10
- no one must go into, which results in a particular
- 11 crowded space being put into one of a number of tiers?
- 12 A. Yes

18

2.0

2.2

25

- Q. And which tier a location goes into will determine the 13
- 14 level of engagement that there is with the CTSA, always 15 accepting that the CTSA may choose to do more than the
- 16 strict requirements?
- A. Yes, that's correct. And the purpose of that is to 17
 - focus protective security improvement on those areas
- 19 because collectively we all agree that they are the most
 - attractive to a terrorist in the UK.
- 21 Turning to paragraph 20 of your statement, do NaCTSO and
 - indeed the CTSAs themselves deal with the production and
- 23 targeted distribution of what you would hope was
- 2.4 comprehensive tailored guidance on protective security
 - for specific sectors vulnerable to terrorist attacks

- 1 against crowded places?
- 2 A. Yes, they do.
- 3 Q. How is information and guidance for crowded places most 4 commonly disseminated?
- A. That's through the 200 counter—terrorism security
 advisers across the network.
- Q. When you say "across the network", you're talking aboutacross the United Kingdom?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are the CTSAs a combination of police officers and 11 police staff?
- A. Yes, that's correct, and they are accredited and licensed to a national standard to undertake their professional practice. NaCTSO is responsible for the training, tasking and quality assuring of CTSAs.
- Q. As you told us already, general protective security from
 terrorism is part of the Protect pillar or strand of
 CONTEST. With whom does responsibility for that remain?
- A. For Counter—terrorism Policing, there is a national
 police collaboration agreement relating to
 counter—terrorism activities, and that was made under
 section 22 of the Police Act 1996. To put it simply,
- what that means is that individual chief constables
- $24\,$ $\,$ remain accountable to their local governing bodies, such

as the police and crime commissioners. And the

169

- individual chief constables also remain responsible for tackling immediate and long—term threats from terrorism and allied matters.
- But actually, some of that, obviously, takes place
 via and by Counter—terrorism Policing led by Assistant
 Commissioner Neil Basu.
- 7 Q. But ultimately, does responsibility for the Protect
- 8 strand remain with each individual chief constable?
- 9 A. It does.

18

- Q. And for Manchester that would be the Chief Constable ofGreater Manchester Police?
- 12 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Over the page, please, to {INQ025466/7}. As will be
 apparent, I'm not considering it necessary to deal with
 every paragraph of your statement. There are plenty of
 others who, if I miss something important, will pick it
 up.

At paragraph 27 you observe:

- "The operational delivery of Protect and Preparevaries significantly across the UK."
- 21 What do you mean by that?
- A. So there isn't -- I suppose the comparable is if we look at the different regions, so London, for example, is a region in its own right, and the way that the
- 25 processes over the years have looked at demand and

170

- 1 allocated resources proportionately across the network
 - to reflect the demand and also to reflect the threat
- picture, and we know that roughly around about 60% of counter-terrorism investigations are London-based. So
- 5 when I say that it varies, obviously the resource
- 6 allocation varies considerably across the UK and the
- 7 regions, and therefore the work that they're able to
- 8 undertake, which is prioritised , varies considerably.
- 9 So we haven't got a one—size—fits—all picture across the 10 UK
- 11 Q. So just so that we're clear, do you intend to suggest in
- 12 any way in that paragraph that the quality of
- operational delivery of Protect and Prepare varies
- significantly across the country?
- 15 A. No, absolutely not.
- Q. In respect of Protect, is much of the provision ofservices from CT Policing done through specialist
- 18 advice?
- 19 A. It is, yes.
- 20 Q. Often through NaCTSO?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- $22\,$ $\,$ Q. And we're going to turn to this in a little more detail
- 23 shortly, but is the adoption of that advice entirely
- 24 discretionary for each police force?
- 25 A. Yes, it is . I put the advice out, which -- I think

171

- 1 later on in one of my statements I talk about the
- 2 methods that advice is put out to the regions. It is
- 3 discretionary but I think it's fair to say there is
- 4 a recognition by policing that they are getting the
- 5 advice from Counter-terrorism Policing, and the adoption
- 6 of it is pretty universal. It's tailored to reflect the
- 7 challenges and the threat picture in the regions.
- $8\,$ $\,$ Q. So in terms of police forces around the country, where
- 9 NaCTSO puts out advice, given that is advice necessarily
- 10 coming from the counter—terrorism experts, you would
- 11 expect it to be followed unless there was a very good
- 12 reason not to do so?
- 13 A. Yes, and I would expect it to be applied within the
- 14 regional or local context. There may be, as you say,
- $15\,$ a perfectly sensible reason why it's not adopted, but
- 16 that decision is made locally.
- $17\,$ $\,$ Q. In terms of the private and public sector, as you
 - observe and have said already, the adoption of the
- 19 NaCTSO advice again is entirely discretionary?
- 20 A. That's correct.

18

- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. We'll turn to that in a little more detail shortly .
- 22 Is it the position that whilst the majority of
- 23 NaCTSO's work falls within the Protect strand of
- 24 CONTEST, in addition NaCTSO does provide advice in order
- 25 to support Prepare?

19

- A. Yes. that's correct.
- 2 Q. What work is done by NaCTSO to support Prepare?
- 3 A. So without getting into too much detail, obviously there 4 are always live operations that are in place. We always 5 need to consider the context of those live applications. and whether we need to break out and consider protective 6 security advice during the operation that we can then, 8 in an appropriate way, share that. So we work very
- 9 closely with the -- sorry, that was with the Pursue 10 side
- With the Prepare side, that tends to be around 12 preparedness for response to incidents. Certainly I've 13 got a team that looks at some of the exercise testing 14 that takes place, testing and exercising nationally, and 15 we work closely with CPNI in that area as well.
- 16 Q. Let's turn next, please, to deal in more detail with 17 CTSAs. Under the direction of NaCTSO, so this is 18 paragraph 32, is the CTSA Network delivered locally, 19 regionally and nationally?
- 20 A. Yes. The CT Network is locally delivered and it's 2.1 regionally and nationally coordinated and it's 2.2 nationally owned.
- Q. Is it your expectation that CTSAs will liaise with 2.3 2.4 private and public owners of sites and assets which may 25 require protective security measures?

173

- 1 A Yes that's correct
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Of the 200 or so CTSAs that there are, are some based in 2. 3 every police region?

11

- 5 Q. In simple terms, is their function to provide what you 6 would hope would be high quality advice and guidance to 7 the owners and operators of crowded places?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct. The aim is to make those owners 9 and operators aware of terrorist threats and give advice 10 as to what steps they could take to reduce vulnerability
- 11 and to prepare for a terrorist attack. 12 Q. I used the word "advice". The words "advice" and 13 "guidance" —— and you have just used the word "advice" -- and it's important to recognise, is it not, 14 15
- that what CTSAs provide is advice, not instruction? 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. What Mr Hipgrave said when he gave his evidence and was 17 18 pressed on the discretionary nature of the work of 19 CTSAs, that -- well, he said a number of things, but two in particular . First , that that system was: 2.0
- 21 "Just not providing the protection that ministers wanted." 2.2
- 2.3 And a little later, he said that:
- 2.4 "The system did not achieve the vulnerability 25 protection that we [talking no doubt as the Home Office]
 - 174

- 1 wanted."
- 2 Do you agree or disagree with him?
- 3 A. So I think there's always a gap in a system that is 4 discretionary and I have always been an advocate that
 - I think that the way forward is new legislation and
- I have always been an advocate of a Protect duty which 6
- 7 latterly -- obviously I understand Figen Murray's focus
- on it being Martyn's Law. So I don't think that it 8
- 9 should be discretionary as to whether protective
- 10 security is considered by a business or a site or an 11
- operator. I think the current system is good, I think 12 the security advice that's provided by CTSAs is good.
- 13 If we look globally, it's been -- there are aspects 14 of our model that have been copied by our international 15 partners. But I think the absence of clear legislation
- 16 does make it difficult
- 17 Q. I want to ask you a number of questions arising out of
- 18 that very clear answer. First, you said that in
 - a discretionary system there will always be gaps. Would
- you articulate for us, please, what those gaps are in 2.0
- 21 this particular scheme or what they may be?
- 22 A. I think the gaps are -- there is inevitably a choice as 2.3 to whether or not you choose to accept the advice or
- 2.4 whether you choose to implement protective security at
- 25 your location. I think some of the gaps are that

175

- 1 $\operatorname{decision}\!-\!\operatorname{making}$ can be commercially driven in the
- 2 private sector and I firmly believe that a Protect duty
- 3 would be transformational for the UK and it would be as
- impactive to protective security as GDPR has been for 5
- 6 Q. As you identified along the way of that answer, the risk
- 7 that a discretionary scheme gives rise to is that the
- 8 CTSA advises a venue that it needs to or it ought to
- 9 take X action or. I suppose, more accurately, that
- 10 X action is advised to be taken, that action is going to 11 be expensive, and the venue makes a commercial decision
- 12 not to implement it.
- 13 A. That could be a choice made, yes.
- Q. And that's the risk with a discretionary scheme. 14
- 15 You said a number of times that you have always been 16 an advocate of primary legislation and in particular
- 17 legislation to achieve a Protect duty.
- 18 A. Yes.

2.4

- 19 Q. And that gives rise to the question of what is meant by
- 2.0 "always". Do you mean since the terrible events of 2017 21 or even before that?
- 2.2 A. Well, I came into Counter-terrorism Policing in, as you
- 23 said, December of 2016, so I pretty much was in
 - Counter-terrorism Policing as we entered 2017 and the
- 2.5 pace of the attacks. So I hadn't been in there for

November 12, 2020 Manchester Arena Inquiry Day 35

2

11

- 1 a period of time thinking about this beforehand. I had
- 2 been the commander in charge of the boroughs in
- 3 East London where I had other priorities, but I felt
- 4 very strongly, as we progressed through the dreadful
- attacks of 2017 -- and my personal reflection was being 5
- more regulated around some of the things that 6
- Figen Murray talked about in her evidence, around the
- number of toilets, the sandwiches, the food, the 8
- 9 regulation is really clear there, but the absence of
- 10 regulation and a legal framework for protective security 11 just -- it feels disappointing.
- 12 Q. Your feelings of disappointment about it, which perhaps
- 13 is to understate your views -- as you understand it are
- 14 your views about the discretionary scheme shared by your 15
 - colleagues within Counter-terrorism Policing?
- 16 A. Yes, Counter-terrorism Policing has consistently been 17 a supporter of the need for primary legislation.
- 18 Q. So does it come to a proposition as simple as this: that
- 19 if people are to be as safe in a publicly accessible
- 20 location as they are entitled to be, there needs to be 2.1
- 2.2 A. I believe there does need to be change yes.
- 2.3 Q. And in your view, that change needs to be the
- 2.4 implementation of a Protect duty?
- 25 A. I think the prize for a complete sort of seismic shift

177

- 1 in protective security is primary legislation through
- 2 a Protect duty
- 3 Q. And fully recognising that you are not a member of the
- government and do not understand what the pressures are,
- 5 would it be correct to say that bearing in mind that the
- 6 terrorist threat has not gone away, your view is that as
- 7 soon as that duty can be implemented the better?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I'm going to ask you next about CTSA training. By whom
- 10 are CTSAs trained?
- 11 A. CTSAs are trained by NaCTSO, supported by colleagues 12 from CPNI.
- 13 Q. Does the training pathway consist of specific courses 14 run by subject matter experts who seek to develop the
- 15 knowledge of CTSAs in specific areas?
- 16 A. Yes, it does, and perhaps if I can talk around the time 17 frame for training.
- 18 Q. Please do.
- 19 A. There is a 3-week initial foundation course. The
- 2.0 process to be a fully accredited CTSA takes roughly
- 21 2 years. The other parts of that process are other
- modules -- I think it's approximately 10 other modules 2.3 that they would need to attend, ranging in sort of 1, 2

178

- 2.4
- or 3 days that they would need to participate in. 25 They also are then involved in doing some site

1 surveys which form part of the assessment process and

- then at the end of that 2-year process they become fully
- 3 accredited and they get a City & Guilds qualification .
- 4 Q. Does the training received by CTSAs involve training in the use of a tool called the protective security 5
- improvement activity tool --6
- 7 A. Yes. it does.
- 8 Q. -- or the PSIA tool?
- 9 A. Yes. I'm sorry, another acronym, yes.
- 10 Q. And also training in the creation of action plans which
 - will follow the use of that tool?
- 12 Yes, that's correct.
- 13 Q. What is the PSIA tool, please?
- 14 A. So the PSIA tool is -- I mean, what does it look like?
- 15 It looks like a spreadsheet. It's an Excel document and
- 16 it takes a site through six common attack methodologies.
- 17 Then it considers protective security that could be put 18
- in place to mitigate the threat from those six specific 19
- common attack methodologies. It awards a score and the
- 2.0 CTSA provides then an action plan and works that through
- 21 with the site operators and/or owners, and the whole
- 22 basis of it is around protective security improvement.
- 2.3 So there are, it appears, two stages to this. First of
- 2.4 all, the CTSA will use the PSIA tool to assess a site's
- 2.5 vulnerability to terrorist attack?

179

- 1 A. Yes, correct, against the six attack methodologies.
- 2. Q. The tool then awards a score to the site?
- 3 A. Yes, so there's scores on the individual categories and
- then there's an aggregate score.
- 5 Q. The score is not an end of itself?
- 6 A. No. Absolutely not, no.
- 7 Q. Because what should then happen is that an action plan
 - be prepared on the basis of what the tool has revealed
- 9 in order to identify protective security measures that
- 10 that site might take?

8

- 11 A. That's correct, and it is part of a broader approach to
- 12 protective security; it should never be seen as the only
- 13 part of considering security at that site.
- 14 Q. Would you expect a copy of the score and a copy of the
- 15 action plan to be given to the site itself?
- 16 A. Obviously I'm not involved in a sort of tactical
- 17 delivery of it, but I see no reason why that shouldn't
- 18 be shared with the site. I know it is called a score on
- 19 the document. I'm slightly nervous of the word "score".
- 2.0 It's an indicator of your protective security posture
- 2.1 and it's an indicator of the areas where you can make
- 2.2 improvements.
- 23 Q. I suppose the danger is or a danger may be that if
- 2.4 a site achieves a high score, it may be lulled into
- 2.5 a sense of security, to use security in a different way.

5

7

1 A. Yes, that's correct, and there might be parts of the tool that are not relevant to that particular site. So 2 3 you may well never be able to achieve, arguably, let's 4 say 100%. You may never be able to achieve 100% because 5 some of those recommendations and some aspects of the tool are simply not relevant to your site and no two 6 sites are the same. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If you do get a high score, wouldn't you 8 9 expect to have a certain amount of, not being lulled 10 into a sense of false security perhaps, but actually 11 getting a feeling that your site is quite secure? 12 A. You could have a degree of confidence around your 13 scoring the higher you are. It's an indicator that 14 you have some good measures in place, but I do think you 15 should also be looking at what does that mean.

> If we look at some of those attack methodologies. you might have scored zero in one of the categories and therefore in the other areas you are scoring very high, so I think you need to interpret what the score is giving you. But you're absolutely right, you could take a degree of confidence from some aspects of it.

> The score fluctuates. It's re-scored twice a year, so you should expect a minimum arguably of six contacts with your CTSA and the scoring is done twice a year and, having looked at some of them, they do fluctuate up and

> > 181

1 down, so it's not necessarily consistent.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: How much of the marking is discretionary 2 3 by the marker? Do you understand what I mean? Some marks you can get because you've got something,

5 therefore you get 5 marks.

A. Yes 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If you have something like a fire extinguisher $\,--\,$ I am not saying this would apply $\,--\,$ you would then get 5 marks or whatever. And some others. may be looking around, do I think this is good or this is bad, so therefore I, the marker, will give so many marks.

A. Some of it is a judgement but it's quite specific on the drop-downs within the Excel document. So for example, some of the areas that it covers are around hostile vehicle mitigation, it might cover around CCTV. Some of them are clear yes/no answers with a score some of them are very much, as you say, sir, it's more of a judgement by the person that's inputting the spreadsheet. But some are very clear yeses or noes and you either get the points or you don't.

2.2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If I've got CCTV, I get the points even 23 if I have a dead spot?

182

2.4 A. So I think I would be expecting -- I can't remember 25 whether CCTV is a specific yes or no. It would look at

the coverage of the CCTV, and it's the same with search, 1

for example: to what extent are the doing the search?

3 Are you doing X% of it or Y% of it? So some parts of it

4 are more subjective and it requires interpretation.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm sorry to keep asking too many questions, but we've heard from operators, for example, 6

that the sort of audience that you get can be more

difficult for some things like boxing matches and darts 8 9 matches than they are for the average concert which is

10 attended by 15-year-old children.

11 A. Yes

12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that reflected in the scoring for the 13

14 A. No, so the PSIA document is about protective security

15 for the site. It's not providing you with an indicator

16 for events. So I think that's a completely separate

17 category about how you flex your security up and down 18 depending on the type of event that you're having. So

if I put that within -- I'm a public order commander and 19

2.0 deal with multiple events in London. You consider

21 specific events where you need to dial up or you can

22 dial down the security posture. The PSIA is about the

23 site and it's not taking into account individual events 2.4 and nor should it, in my view. When you operationalise

25 your security plan, that's what that is about, and

183

1 that's not the role of CTSAs

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But there would be a question, would 2. 3

there, which does reflect how much searching there is

4 and to what extent?

5

6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But that wouldn't necessarily apply to 7 every event?

8 A. No, not necessarily. You're absolutely right. You

9 might have a particular event, I don't know, they might

10 put on a sort of event that's very low-key at

11 a particular venue and I would expect the security

12 professionals to be considering the type of audience

13 that are coming to it and adjusting their posture. You

14 might have something then that's at the extreme end

15 which requires a much more robust security posture and a 16 much more robust search. So the posture of search

17 varies according to event, but the PSIA tool is around

18 the actual site.

19 MR GREANEY: I just want to make sure that I've understood 2.0 this. Just to focus this in more on the arena, which

21 I know you have visited but by no means will be an

2.2 expert in and I bear that in mind.

23 It might be fair to suggest that on occasions when 2.4 an event was not taking place, that the security risk 25 at the arena was low because there would not be lots of

1 people there.

- 2 A. Well, I think the tool would get you to consider aspects
- 3 of security, so around, for example, hostile
- 4 reconnaissance, because that doesn't necessarily take
- 5 place when an event's on. That can take place at other
- 6 times. So again, in terms of the site, they would need
- 7 to take that into consideration as part of their
- 8 protective security for the site. So just because
- 9 there's no event doesn't mean to say you're not
- 10 considering what your protective security posture is.
- You employ staff, you have insider threat at some
- venues, so you would need to be considering what is your
- $13 \hspace{1cm} \text{vetting process for staff} \, . \hspace{0.2cm} \text{I} \hspace{0.2cm} \text{mean, it wouldn't be} \\$
- $14 \qquad \text{ appropriate for me to go through the whole of the} \\$
- 15 list --
- 16 Q. I quite understand --
- 17 A. but it's a whole system.
- $18\,$ $\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I can understand that there are things
- $19\,$ which are always the same. But I think we do know there
- are questions relating to searching and the searching
- would vary and I just wonder how that works in the
- scoring. But maybe that's something you could look at.

 A. In terms of how search works that's not —— so in terms
- of the CTSAs which -- that's not an issue for the CTSAs.
- They will give advice and they will group people to the

185

- 1 particular advice that's there around searching.
- 2 There's some really good documentation that's been
- 3 produced by CPNI. In terms of operationalising what
- $4 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{that looks like, that will be for the security} \\$
- 5 professionals at the site
- $\,$ MR GREANEY: We're going to have evidence in the near future
- 7 from a GMP CTSA and we will be able to drill into some
- 8 of the detail of what was done, but an approach that
- $9\,$ might be taken when one is applying the PSIA tool is to
- assume that an event is taking place when one would have thought the security risks are greater than when one is
- 12 not taking place. Is that what occurs?
- $13\,$ $\,$ A. So it's not my understanding of the tool. The tool is
- $14 \qquad \ \ \, \text{about the site because that } -- \text{ otherwise you're looking}$
- 15 at all the different variables of the multiple different
- 16 types of events that could take place. Obviously one of
- $17 \hspace{1.5cm} \hbox{the things that would be in it would be Argus stadium so} \\$
- $18 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{they could utilise that and then within that sort of} \\$
- 19 training and tabletop exercise that's when I would
- expect people to be picking particular scenarios orparticular events and thinking about the application of
- 22 protective security.
- 23 Q. I'm just going to ask you about two further aspects of
- $24\,$ what you've said about the PSIA tool and the associated
- $25\,$ action plan. But first of all , you indicated that

- 1 interpretation of the tool was necessary.
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. By whom should that interpretation take place? The site
- 4 or the CTSA?
- 5 A. So once the PSIA has been completed, obviously there is
- 6 an action plan that comes out of that, and that then --
- that's jointly agreed and then the application of theaction plan should be by the site operator.
- 8 action plan should be by the site operator.
 9 Q. So should the CTSA be helping the site operator to
- 10 interpret or understand what the information means?
- $11\,$ $\,$ A. So I think if the site operator wanted advice, that's
- what the CTSAs are there for. I do not believe the
- 13 CTSAs are there to operationalise a protective security
- 14 operational plan. That's not what their role is.
- 15 Q. Whose role is that?
- 16 A. So I think that's the site operator's and their
- $17\,$ professionals that are leading on the security operation
- 18 for the various different events.
- 19 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So we will give you advice about your
- 20 site in general terms?
- 21 A. Yes
- $22\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But we will not give you advice about
- 23 how your site is suitable for particular events?
- 24 A. How your advice...
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Relates to individual events. You're

187

- saying operationally. I interpret that as being: here's
- the advice about the site generally, but you are going
 - to have to decide how suitable it is for a particular
- 4 event --
- 5 **A.** Yes

3

- 6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: -- which is operational --
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: $\,--\,$ and we won't give you advice about
- 9 that?
- 10 A. It depends what advice they're seeking on it. If for
- 11 example they came and said, how many stewards do you
- think I should put on this event, that's not the role of
- 13 the CTSA to answer that question and I wouldn't expect
- them to be doing that. They haven't got the training or
- the professional knowledge to answer that question.
- They can give the guidance to the various different
- documents that are available to support people. So they
- 18 give the more holistic advice.
- 19 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, holistic advice: is it suitable
- $20\,$ for us to conduct our business here as with the existing
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{perimeter or should we be considering pushing our} \\$
- 22 perimeter back?

2.4

- 23 A. I think the CTSAs will then talk through the sort of -
 - what we call the onion—layering of protective security.
- So they could say that their recommendation is there

188

1 HVMs here. They would say around stand-off, they would 2 provide recommendations around stand-off areas and the 3 benefit of that, and I think there's a lot of documents 4 that actually are explicit in the advice around that. 5 They would give advice around hostile reconnaissance. But the application of it for the site, they are not 6 7 providing an inspection of the security operation for 8

- 9 MR GREANEY: You used an acronym along the way, I think you 10 said HBMs
- 11 A. HVM, hostile vehicle mitigation.
- 12 Q. Just a slightly different topic for a moment before we 13 return to the training that is received. How often 14 would you expect a CTSA to visit a site in respect of 15 which he or she is giving advice?
- 16 A. So I would expect them to visit quarterly and obviously 17 I would expect the PSIA to be scored twice in a year, so 18 I think that would be about six times as a minimum. But 19 I am aware, obviously, that CTSAs —— it's mixed across 2.0 the country and there's either minimum contact or 21 there's much more frequent contact and that's really 22 about the relationship and the collaboration between the 2.3
- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that set down? You said you'd expect quarterly. Is that an instruction you sent out?

189

- 1 A. It is my understanding, sir, that that is an instruction that's there as part of the training programme. 2
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- MR GREANEY: So the bar is set at six, but depending on the
- 5 site and the relationship, it might be more frequently?
- 6

7

8

9

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

- Q. This may be an impossible question to answer because it may depend upon the nature of the site, but do you have any expectation of how long each visit should take?
- 10 A. No, I think your point is right, it depends on the site. 11 Some of them are significantly more complex than others, 12 some of them are significantly bigger. No two sites are 13 the same. It depends, you know, on the extent of the 14 protective security improvement that we're seeking to 15 achieve, that might take longer in some sites than 16 others.

Again, I think this is the disparity that we see. We're talking about some sites, particularly some sites in tier 1 and tier 2, which are global corporations with multiple sites in the UK who have a whole security central infrastructure team supporting them and other sites, which actually, in some of the tier 2 categories they could be charitable institutions or faith premises where actually they've got no budget and no support, therefore their reliance on the CTSAs may well be

190

1 greater.

5

9

11

- 2 Q. In May 2017 did CTSAs receive refresher training or was
- 3 it: you do the course and --
- 4 A. So there's a Radius event that takes place every year
 - and that is part of the continual professional
- development of the CTSAs and that's an annual event 6
- 7 which is over a few days.
- MR GREANEY: Sir, I'm going to ask at this stage if we could 8
 - have a short break and then we return for a short
- 10 further session before we break for the weekend. That's
 - necessary so that I can assess the situation in relation
- 12 to Monday's witnesses and give some certainty about when 13
 - DAC D'Orsi should return, please.
- 14 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Are we coming -- I'll ask the question 15
- 16 You are saying that you are in favour of legislation 17 which would include a requirement that people were given 18 advice by CTSAs if they saw them -- the CTSAs saw their
- 19 20 A. I'm in favour of legislation, sir, that requires people 2.1
- to consider protective security against the threat of terrorism 22
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Would you expect it to be, when you're 2.3 2.4 dealing with a tier site, the ones that you now visit
- 2.5 and send a CTSA to, would you require cooperation to at

191

- 1 least listen to the advice?
- 2. A. Yes

8

- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If an action plan is set up at the end
- of a meeting, would you, in your proposed legislation,
- 5 require something requiring them to carry out what is on
- 6 the action plan?
- 7 A. I think the important point here is that that's
 - a proportionate -- the recommendations are
- 9 proportionate. I think there would have to be an
- 10 exceptional reason why, if it's an agreed action plan,
- 11 that wasn't carried out.
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Who would decide if it's not
- 13 proportionate?
- A. I don't anticipate that if -- this is a joint process, 14
- 15 so hopefully I don't anticipate the situation where
- 16 it would be disproportionate. But I ...
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It will occur, won't it? A CTSA will 17
- 18 say, "I think to be safe you need to do this", the
- 19 operator says, "That's going to cost me X pounds,
- 2.0 that is disproportionate", and the CTSA says "No, it's
- 21 not". Who is going to be the arbitrator of that?
- 2.2 A. I think the legislation -- you're more experienced,
- 23 of course, in this than me.
- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You know more about the subject than
- 25 I do, which is more important.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2 requiring people to consider protective security, we do 2 3 have to empower people that if they make a decision not 3 4 to put that in, and then there is an attack, it is then 4 5 for that person to justify why they chose not to do 5 that. And I think, for me, that's the extent of where 6 7 the legislation should be and you have to stand by your 7 8 8 decision 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I understand things need to be worked 9 10 1.0 out and that's part of the consultation process. 11 MR GREANEY: Can I just ask a question arising out of that? 11 12 12 Is it fair to suggest that requiring a site owner or 13 operator to consider the terrorist risk doesn't have 13 14 14 much value unless you also require the operator to take 15 steps to mitigate that risk? Because otherwise, the 15 16

A. We're talking about threat, so if I was the operator. I'm looking at that against what the threat is. So there are some operators where, with all the information and advice that we have put out there, which is free, readily available, will be easy to access, the information-sharing platform that Shaun Hipgrave talked about earlier -- you have to have the right as an operator to make a measured and proportionate decision around that. So you may well be a very small business

scheme remains discretionary.

A. Thank you. I think it's important that if we're

in a part of the country that actually, in terms of attractiveness and in terms of the threat vector that you're happy to make a decision you don't want to put those measures in place and that's something that you would make your decision on, which I feel people would be comfortable to do that.

In the same way with health and safety legislation, here people make a choice about which bits they want to put in place and if somebody doesn't and there is an incident, then you explain why.

11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But that's the point, isn't it? There 12 is an incident. So in health and safety, if something 13 happens, you prosecute. What we don't want with this 14 legislation is: you do it and it's at your peril if you 15 don't do it if something happens. We don't actually 16 want to be dealing with them after the events have 17

18 A. I think there's a reasonable factor: on everything that 19 that operator knew and understood, is what they put in 2.0 place reasonable? I think the answer may well be yes, 21 even if the circumstances have led to a tragic incident.

2.2 MR GREANEY: Maybe a way of looking at is you require them 23 to assess the risk and then you require them to take all 2.4 reasonably practicable steps to mitigate that risk?

25 A. Yes

1

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

193

25 194

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: How long would you like? Quarter of an hour? MR GREANEY: A quarter of an hour, sir. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And we won't go beyond 5.00 whatever, if it's any consolation to people. Thank you. (4.05 pm) (A short break) (4.23 pm)MR GREANEY: We are not quite there with knowing what the arrangements are for next week. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I understand that. I'm very grateful for your cooperation. I am sorry that we've been 16 messing you about quite so substantially. 17 MR GREANEY: Let's return to where we were before the break. 18 We'll finish that off and then we'll try to identify 19 another topic which will take us about 4.45, or 2.0 something like that, to make good use of the time. 21 Where we were, where this might all land, who knows, 22 and you won't be the decision maker, but it may be that 23 ultimately it's decided that the Protect duty should 2.4 involve requiring a site operator to assess the risk 25 presented by terrorism and then to take -- and then

MR GREANEY: Sir, could we take our break at this stage,

195

requiring the site operator to take all reasonably practicable steps to mitigate that risk, although the use of the term "reasonably practicable" may carry with it some difficulties involving, as it does, balancing risk against cost to some extent.

Let's assume it has those two layers of requirement. If a CTSA has visited a site and said, "I advise you to do X, Y and Z", and the site does X, Y and Z, would you think that the site has done all that is reasonably practicable or reasonable, or would you expect the site to do more than just act on the advice of the CTSA?

A. So I think it's reasonable to expect the site to act on the advice of the CTSA but also think in the broader picture around their protective security at the site. because as I said, the PSIA tool focuses on six common attack methodologies; it doesn't focus on all of the attack methodologies that are there.

Q. As you said to us earlier, the CTSA is, as you understand it, you're the one in the position to say, not giving what might be described as operational advice about a security arrangement on any particular occasion of a particular event?

23

2.4 Q. I'm going to move on to a connected topic.

Obviously, if a Protect duty is going to be imposed

- 1 on those who operate in publicly accessible locations,
- 2 steps need to be taken, first of all, to ensure that
- 3 they are complying with that duty?
- 4 A Yes

- 5 Q. And secondly and connectedly, to enforce compliance with 6 that duty?
- A. So I think that needs to be considered and the way, the
- approach, the policy and the approach to that is 8
 - definitely something that I know that the government,
- 10 through OSCT, are thinking about. So is it going to be
- 11 similar to the Health and Safety Executive? And
- 12 I think —— I'm not sure exactly what the preferred
- 13
- 14 Q. That, I'm sure, is correct so far as the preferred 15 approach of the government is concerned, but let me ask
- 16 you, because if I don't others will: do you have a view
- 17 about whether enforcement ought to be through criminal
- 18 sanction or civil sanction or both?
- 19 A. So I think there are some good parallels to GDPR and
- 20 I think I would probably want to reflect on some of the
- 2.1 other legislation in more detail to understand which has
- 22 the greatest impact as to whether it's a blend of both 2.3 or it's an either/or in terms of criminal or civil.
- I think what I do believe is that there does need to be
- some form of sanction which means that it does compel

197

- 1 people to comply with whatever the regulatory or legal
- 2 framework is. So it has to be significant enough to
- 3 ensure that people comply, which I think we have seen
- with GDPR, that's why we've seen this seismic shift.
- 5 Q. The point I believe you're making is whatever form
- ultimately the legislation takes, it needs to have 6
- 7 teeth --
- A. Absolutely, yes. 8
- 9 Q. — because there's no point in imposing a duty on 10 someone if, when they breach it, you don't do anything
- 11 to them?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. I am going to moved ahead, as I said, just to try and make the best use of the time to $\{INQ025466/16\}$ of your 14
- 15 witness statement. I hope it's not going to disrupt 16 your thinking if we take things slightly out of order.
- 17 I'm going to turn to ask you about the programme
- 18 that you've already touched upon that CTSAs form 19 an important part of providing in relation to what we're 2.0 still at the moment calling crowded places.
- 21 Was a programme to protect crowded places developed 2.2
- 23 A. Yes, it was. It followed a report by Lord West, which
- 2.4 was to review the security of public spaces and it was
- 25 primarily after the vehicle-borne explosive devices were

198

- used in 2007 outside the nightclub Tiger Tiger and then 2 subsequently at Glasgow Airport.
- 3 Q. Did it centre on free advice delivered by CTSAs?
- 4 A. Yes. it did.
- 5 Q. Was that known as or at any rate did it become known as
- the vulnerability method? 6
- 7
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.\ \ \ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ am not going to go into what that method was in any 8
- 9 detail because, as you're going to explain, that method
- 10 is no longer used. A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. What we will establish is that between 2008 and 2014,
- 13 there were various iterations of the vulnerability
- method --14
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. $\,\,--$ that were applied by CTSAs. 16
- 17 A. Yes

11

- 18 Q. In 2003, was a decision made for the Crowded Places
- Working Group, of which NaCTSO was part, to undertake 19
- 20 a review of the effectiveness of the vulnerability
- 2.1 method?
- A. I think that was 2013. 22
- 2.3 Q. What did I say?
- 2.4 A 2003
- 2.5 Q. I meant 2013

199

- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It's getting late.
- MR GREANEY: Yes. You're keeping me on the straight and 2. 3 narrow.
- A. Definitely in 2013 there was, yes.
- 5 Q. I'm at paragraph 65 of your witness statement and it 6
- does say 2013.
- 7 So a decision was made to review, I think in fact, 8 the effectiveness of the crowded places model as
- 9 a whole, of which the vulnerability method formed part?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct. If it will help, the context
- 11 around that was that the vulnerability model focused
- 12 primarily around risk as opposed to the threat. So risk
- 13 is about vulnerability and consequence, whereas threat
- 14 is about capability and intent. So there was a very
- 15 large list, there was no prioritisation of that list.
- 16 and when we reached the Olympics there was a sort of --
- 17 a bit of a review as to where we are and it was
- 18 certainly noted that only 20% of the sites had reduced
- 19 their vulnerability , so it wasn't having the desired
- 2.0 impact that we wanted.
- 21 I think of course the contextual piece around this 2.2 is that at the time this was being considered,
- 23 if we look at the current threat that we're facing here
- 2.4 in the UK from international terrorism, we hadn't even
- 25 had the declaration of the caliphate at the time we were

- 1 looking at this previous model and there was a need to
- 2 be a bit more adaptive and to prioritise a list of sites
- 3 and locations where we wanted to look at the
- 4 attractiveness of them. That was the context around the 5 review.
- 6 Q. So just to fit this into the chronology, the caliphate 7 was declared by Islamic State in 2014?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. So what you're telling us was happening before that and
- 10 I believe it is the position that a report to the OSCT
- Protect sub—board in March of 2013 highlighted some of issues vou've just mentioned?
- 13 A. That's correct
- $14\,$ $\,$ Q. And as you told us, it indicated that, at best, only 20%
 - of sites had, through the application of the
- vulnerability method, reduced their vulnerability?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And indeed, a follow-up report dated in June of 2013
- 19 identified that 45% of sites on a crowded places list
- 20 had no improvement plan in place?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Can you just explain -- it was a great
- 23 phrase: risk is based on -- I can't remember what you
- 24 actually said.
- 25 A. Vulnerability and consequence.

201

- 1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And --
- 2 A. And threat is based on capability and intent.
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. So just explain that in practical 4 terms for me.
- 5 A. Let's take the extreme end, which of course I'm sure we
- 6 all hope will never happen. So if we take the threat
- from nuclear: if you look at it, the risk, the
- 8 vulnerability to that and the consequence of that is
- 9 significant . But actually, the threat is that the
- 10 capability and the intent means that we wouldn't want to
- 11 suddenly shift all of our resources to that particular
- area, whereas the capability and intent under the
- 13 current attack methodology of somebody wielding a knife
- to stab people is greater because their capability to do
 that is much easier and their intent to do it is much
- stronger. So we know at the moment, in terms of
- prioritising in terms of threat, we know that sort of
- using a weapon or using a vehicle as a weapon of
- 19 attack -- actually the threat of that is higher.
- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Has that changed since the Al Qaeda
- threat, which is always meant to be much more organised
- 22 but much bigger?
- $23\,$ $\,$ A. So I think with Islamic State what we have seen is the
- $24\,$ simplicity of attack methodology and we've seen that
- $25\,$ grow significantly from 2014, and we have got speeches

202

- which were inspiring people to focus anywhere and with that simplicity of attack methodology.
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 4 MR GREANEY: The report in June 2013 stated, did it not:
- 5 "There are, and always will be, many more crowded 6 places than we can protect, or we would want to protect 7 even if we could, given the need for our response to
- 8 remain proportionate"?
- 9 A. Yes. It's a statement with a heavy heart because we
- can't protect everybody all of the time. We have to
 - work with our intelligence partners to be able to
- 12 prioritise our resources where we see the greatest
- 13 threat.

11

- $14\,$ Q. That report, the June 2013 report, did it also identify
- 15 some options for change which were then carried through
- 16 to a third report?
- 17 A. Yes, it did.
- 18 Q. What did the third report recommend if anything?
- 19 A. So this is the move to the attractiveness model and that
- 20 was launched in 2014. That remains in use today around
- 21 crowded places. This was around looking at those
- 22 locations which we feel, through a process, were the
- 23 most attractive locations for terrorists to target their
- 24 attacks.
- 25 Q. In this regard, this is the bottom of page 18, top of

203

- 1 page 19 {INQ025466/18–19}, what did the crowded places
- 2 review state?
- 3 A. It stated that we should seek proactive and bespoke
- engagement at a smaller number of crowded places,
 prioritised on the basis of their national importance to
- 6 the UK. For example, prioritising effort to mitigate
- 7 vulnerabilities at those sites with the highest threat
- 8 and impact from a national UK perspective, and that,
- 9 of course, then led to the tiering process.
- 10 Q. Is it correct that the fundamental difference between
- 11 the attractiveness model and the vulnerability model
- 12 is that sites are now tiered against a range of
- 13 criteria?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And made tier 1 or tier 2 sites or indeed tier 3 sites?
- 16 A. Yes. So briefly, tier 1 sites are sites that are
- nationally or internationally recognisable as symbolic
- of the UK and its way of life or have a widely known,
- 19 frequent and predictable attendance by notable users.
- 20 Tier 2 sites, which were then sub-divided into tier 2a
- and 2b, are sites which may not be of national or
- 22 international prominence but nevertheless have a profile
- above local. And obviously, Manchester Arena fell into
- 24 the tier 2 category.
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm not sure we need to go on, do we,

```
1
         particularly, in the tiers? I'm quite happy for us to
                                                                            1
                                                                                                       INDEX
 2
         do so, but...
                                                                            2
     MR GREANEY: We don't need to go on. The simple fact of the
 3
                                                                            3
                                                                                MR SHAUN HIPGRAVE (affirmed) ......1
                                                                                    Questions from MR GREANEY ......1
 4
         matter is that a site that fell into tier 1 or tier 2.
                                                                            4
                                                                                    Questions from MR WEATHERBY ......105
         whether 2a or 2b, effectively was entitled to the same
 5
                                                                            5
                                                                                    Questions from MR COOPER ......128
 6
         engagement from a CTSA?
                                                                            6
     A. Yes, that's correct.
                                                                            7
 8
     Q. So tier 1 and tier 2 sites would receive what you
                                                                            8
                                                                                DAC LUCY D'ORSI (sworn) ......151
                                                                                    Questions from MR GREANEY ......152
 9
         describe at paragraph 72 as "bespoke CTSA advice";
                                                                            9
10
                                                                           10
         is that correct?
11
     A. Yes, that's correct.
                                                                           11
12
     MR GREANEY: Sir, that would be a convenient moment to stop
                                                                           12
13
         for the weekend. Would you allow me to check with
                                                                           13
14
         Mr Suter what the current position is about Monday?
                                                                           14
15
     SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think we're about to tell you your
                                                                           15
16
        fatel
                                                                           16
17
     A. Thank you.
                                                                           17
18
            (Pause).
                                                                           18
19
     MR GREANEY: Sir, I'm sorry to disappoint DAC D'Orsi, but
                                                                           19
20
         the proper management of the inquiry next week does
                                                                           20
2.1
         require her, I'm afraid, to come back on Thursday. I'm
                                                                           2.1
2.2
         sorry if that is going to cause inconvenience. We will
                                                                           2.2
2.3
         deal with the evidence of Assistant Chief Constable
                                                                           23
24
         O'Callaghan of British Transport Police on Monday.
                                                                           24
25
     SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm sorry about that. I think attempts
                                                                           2.5
                                 205
                                                                                                            207
 1
         have been made to fit in with your --\,
                                                                                                            208
 2.
     A. Yes, I'm sure.
 3
     SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
            9.30 on Monday. It's been quite a hard working
 5
         week. It goes on, of course, for the rest of tomorrow
 6
         and the weekend, but thank you very much for all
 7
         people's cooperation and hard work.
 8
     (4.40 pm)
 9
              (The inquiry adjourned until 9.30 am on
10
                    Monday, 16 November 2020)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
2.4
```

abedi (1) 150:13 ability (5) 61:2 74:21 75:2 100:12 150:15 able (35) 14:15 16:8 28:8,21 37:21 54:6 55:3 56:16 61:3.4 67:21 88:6 93:14 96:24 100:24 101:20 111:1 113:2 120:10 123:4 125:4 131:20 132:18 134:6 143:10,11 144:22 165:3 167:2.20 171:7 181:3.4 186:7 203:11 above (1) 204:23 absence (2) 175:15 177:9 absolute (2) 53:17 94:16 absolutely (22) 34:13,21 37:8 62:15 63:1 64:7 74:10 81:4 83:14 85:23 114:3 126:20 133:24 138:3.4 141:8 147:11 171:15 180:6 181:20 184:8 198:8 absorbing (1) 84:12 accept (6) 80:7 94:19 95:7 113:11 150:11 175:23 acceptable (3) 69:14 70:8 71:9 accepted (3) 80:21 115:14 116:1 accepting (1) 168:15 access (16) 14:8 19:4 21:5 23:5 26:9 45:20 49:6 50:23 53:15 74:18 107:19 108:12 121:4.5.8 193:21 accessible (37) 3:10 5:8 6:13,18 17:3,22 20:4 22:4 24:10,23 25:21 28:2 39:3 48:23 49:8,14,21 50:4 51:11,16,22 55:2 58:1 59:7 67:2,6 69:6 70:19 72:2 75:14 93:21 107:15 110:9 122:15 144:12 177:19 197:1 accessing (1) 89:16 accord (2) 98:11 101:15 according (1) 184:17 accordingly (1) 165:7 account (8) 15:8 77:17,23 145:19,23 146:12 147:2 183:23 accountability (7) 27:20 28:20 38:16 52:11,14 107:14 108:24 accountable (15) 12:17 38:21 39:22 50:24.24.25 51:1,15,22,25 52:1,5,24 55:1 169:24 accreditation (1) 165:23 accredited (3) 169:12 178:20 179:3 accurate (2) 91:17 145:16 accurately (1) 176:9 achievable (2) 68:4,13 achieve (19) 2:21 19:3 35:21 37:9.23 50:20 59:10 70:9.25 71:1 90:11 93:16 118:23 119:18 174:24 176:17 181:3,4 190:15 achieved (6) 47:4 50:20,21 52:6 90:7 161:20 achieves (2) 97:10 180:24 achieving (2) 74:18 90:15 acknowledge (7) 59:20 60:13 116:16 134:21 145:7,9,9 acknowledged (1) 148:16 acknowledging (1) 148:15 асро (2) 117:24 157:3 acquisition (1) 6:19 acronym (5) 13:4 30:20 42:15 179:9 189:9 acronyms (3) 12:9,13,20 across (29) 7:21 8:4 10:15 14:4,6 34:14 43:13 47:14 84:22 86:11,15,24 87:2 99:9 115:4 118:15 119:22

140:15 155:15 163:24 169:6.7.8 170:20 171:1.6.9.14 189:19 acting (1) 79:10 action (23) 33:2 82:21 105:5 113:9 114:21,25 129:25 130:9,13 137:21 176:9,10,10 179:10,20 180:7,15 186:25 187:6,8 192:3.6.10 actions (7) 41:19 53:4 56:25 105:4 141:4.11.11 activated (2) 104:3.12 activities (2) 69:23 169:21 activity (13) 13:9 33:3 45:4 60:8 116:15 119:6 143:24 155:15,21 156:22 164:25 167:11 179:6 actual (2) 124:20 184:18 actuality (1) 109:11 actually (42) 27:14 53:21 55:22 60:1 71:23 74:11 75:11 76:3.4 79:24 80:18 82:3 83:6,9 90:14,15 91:18 93:16 97:10 100:21,21 112:19 113:14 116:10 117-20 22 23 119-3 124-15 133-23 143-18 163-23 170:4 181:10 189:4 190:22,24 194:1,15 201:24 202:9.19 adapt (1) 67:19 adaptive (1) 201:2 add (5) 17:1 45:9 60:22 77:18 153:5 added (1) 86:18 addition (2) 85:3 172:24 additionally (1) 70:14 address (4) 4:2 24:13 33:19 37:13 addressed (1) 108:5 addressing (1) 6:18 adduce (1) 69:15 adequate (3) 129:24 130:8.13 adherence (1) 114:10 adjourned (1) 206:9 adjournment (1) 128:5 adjusting (1) 184:13 administrations (3) 72:20 86:11.17 adopt (2) 60:20 121:2 adopted (2) 47:12 172:15 adopting (1) 110:2 adoption (3) 171:23 172:5,18 adopts (2) 109:11 114:21 advantage (3) 78:23 82:18 83:9 advice (109) 20:2,7,8 25:25 30:23 31:2,10,11,21 32:2.13 34:3.12.20 35:7.23 36:6.8 37:18.21.24 38:4 43:9 45:1,10,17 46:12,15 53:16,24 54:4 55:4 60:5 68:2,3 71:18,19 74:20,24 78:5.19 79:9.10 82:21 97:12,23 104:9 109:14,15 110:12.16 112:20 116:11 117:13 118:16 132:6.16.18 133:3.5 148:13 158:19.20 159:5 162:25 163:18 164:4 166:1 167:19 171:18,23,25 172:2,5,9,9,19,24 173:7 174:6.9.12.12.14.15 175:12,23 185:25 186:1 187:11.19.22.24 188:2.8.10.18.19 189:4.5.15 191:18 192:1 193:20 196:11,13,20 199:3 205:9 advise (3) 34:5 36:14 196:7 advised (2) 133:6 176:10 adviser (1) 165:23 advisers (2) 37:3 169:6 advises (2) 115:16 176:8

advocate (4) 60:19 175:4,6 176:16 affairs (1) 94:12 affect (1) 147:9 affected (6) 66:21.22 85:14 89:14 91:23 97:13 affirmed (2) 1:8 207:3 afraid (5) 12:9,22 42:16 151:25 205:21 after (8) 59:14 61:8 63:24 71:23 72:7 129:24 194:16 198:25 aftermath (1) 155:6 afternoon (1) 105:22 again (27) 1:17 17:20,21 18:25 25:19 45:16 46:15 69:5 70:24 75:2 102:15 107:20.23 113:6 120:22 130-7 135-5 23 25 136:10.13 142:5 151:20 166:16 172:19 185:6 190:17 against (22) 6:16 14:2 17:14 21:2 24:23 48:16,17 55:3 64:12,14 80:25 103:7 135:10 145:11 147:13 164-12 169-1 180-1 191-21 193-18 196-5 204-12 agencies (3) 3:21 13:15 163:25 agenda (2) 117:14 142:24 aggregate (1) 180:4 aggregated (1) 50:9 ago (5) 10:18 28:4 74:1 134-12 150-9 agree (18) 1:19 35:25 63:8 64:22 79:9 81:23,24 93:25 95:11 101:25 102:2 110:21 138:11 140:4 141:5 147:3 168:19 175:2 agreed (8) 21:11 95:11 123:15 156:1 159:10,19 187:7 192:10 agreeing (1) 93:21 agreement (2) 39:14 169:20 ahead (3) 20:20 167:2 198:13 aid (1) 14:12 aim (2) 21:1 174:8 aims (5) 4:3 32:10 36:3 37:10,23 airport (1) 199:2 airports (1) 31:23 aka (1) 110:9 al (1) 202:20 albeit (1) 7:14 alcohol (7) 71:10,12 74:17 79:12 82:4,5,6 alcoholrelated (1) 69:18 aldworth (2) 128:12,21 allied (1) 170:3 allocated (1) 171:1 allocation (1) 171:6 allow (7) 8:7 28:14 53:24 95:19 96:5 124:25 205:13 allowed (2) 32:18 132:20 allowing (1) 84:15 allows (1) 53:14 alone (3) 14:13 65:2 131:23 along (4) 31:3 51:12 176:6 189:9 alongside (2) 16:5 72:9 already (24) 13:21 17:24 36:12 40:10 58:9.23 61:9 69:22 75:22 77:5 80:1 82:11 87:18 103:23 110:7 122:24 123:14 129:24 130:8 137:13 138:24 169:16 172:18 198:18 also (79) 1:12 3:11 6:14,19 7:20 9:24 11:10,12 12:1 13:19 14:8,18,23 15:1,7,25 16:6.25 17:1 26:18 27:13 31:19.19 32:24 34:8 40:21

72:2.8.8 75:3 76:20 79:18 86:25 25 88:24 94:14 98:23 104:9 109:21 115:4.23 118:17 121:1,14,23 122:7 124:10 126:1 127:13 128:11 147:14 149:3 151:8 153:13 155:4,16,17 162:14,19 165:1 166:1 170:1 171:2 178:25 179:10 181:15 193:14 196:13 203:14 alternatively (1) 137:23 although (12) 6:9.17.22 17:22 19:6 39:8 40:13 44:1 71:22 130:1 151:25 196:2 always (30) 9:13 28:17 34:19 52:24 54:12,12 62:23 76:24 117:10.10 120:14.23 121:4.8 129:17.19 161:15 167:17 168:14 173:4.4 175:3,4,6,19 176:15,20 185:19 202:21 203:5 amalgamated (1) 158:12 amalgamation (1) 163:24 ambition (1) 55:7 ambulance (1) 101:3 mend (1) 70:5 ending (1) 71:2 amendment (1) 71:5 america (5) 146:11,14,15,17 american (2) 146:8,25 among (1) 160:15 amongst (4) 23:17,17 52:15 147-25 amount (4) 13:3 74:23 149:2 181:9 amounts (1) 122:4 amplified (1) 138:4 amplify (2) 61:5 166:1 analogy (1) 141:15 analysis (2) 138:2 163:10 andor (2) 38:13 179:21 andrew (4) 1:25 62:2,9 155:18 angle (1) 20:3 announced (4) 10:2 58:12 59:4 122:21 announcement (7) 59:13,19,21 60:12 85:10.10 87:17 annual (4) 99:18.21 100:2 191:6 another (20) 17:21 19:6 37:22 42:15 51:19 65:8 71:23 75:23 83:9 116:23 127:4 134:16 135:7 146:2 148:5 149:19 161:8 167:18 179:9 195:19 answer (28) 56:8 63:13 64:2.4 75:7.8 83:22 96:24 100:21.24 112:24 113:2 120:10 123:5,6 135:4 137:10,11 145:3 146:16,17 152:18 175:18 176:6 188:13.15 190:7 194:20 answers (3) 126:25 137:4 182:17 anthrax (1) 159:7 anticipate (3) 41:15 192:14,15 anybodys (1) 113:16 anyone (4) 1:20 51:10 82:19 150-1 anything (8) 32:2 60:22 64:9 71:1 97:3 146:5 198:10 203:18 anyway (4) 76:3 82:16.23 191:15 anywhere (3) 41:23 132:24 203:1 apologise (1) 152:2 appalling (1) 146:14

apparent (1) 170:14

appearance (2) 133:22,22

appear (1) 40:17

42:4 43:15 46:2 48:11 53:3

58:12 67:8,23 71:5,18

appeared (1) 129:3 appears (5) 39:15 63:18 115:21 120:1 179:23 appended (1) 154:19 appendix (2) 154:20 156:9 applicability (1) 90:21 applicable (4) 55:9 70:18 74:16 118:7 application (10) 39:9 76:12.21 77:25 79:1 167:13 186:21 187:7 189:6 201:15 applications (1) 173:5 applied (4) 82:10 118:8 172:13 199:16 applies (1) 56:7 apply (13) 29:3 67:13,14 68:21 69:8 74:14 82:4.6 85:12 88:25 101:21 182:8 184:6 applying (1) 186:9 appreciate (1) 52:3 appreciated (2) 61:4 126:18 appreciating (1) 41:15 approach (26) 8:2,4 10:14 13:17 47:5,12 49:3 52:18 23 25 63:10 70:8 71-3 9 118-3 119-9 143-8 145:5 159:23 161:1 180:11 186:8 197:8,8,13,15 approaches (2) 70:1 71:3 approaching (1) 88:2 appropriate (11) 47:4 56:23 88:21 90:22 120:18 121:17 131:18 143:11 163:3 173:8 185:14 approval (2) 139:3,8 approved (2) 125:20 138:25 approximately (2) 160:4 178:22 april (2) 118:7 154:25 arbitrator (1) 192:21 architects (1) 36:9 area (26) 5:15 7:3 14:16.25 18:9 28:12.20 33:6 38:21 41:25 51:19 56:6 62:17 71:17 79:3 96:8 97:22 108:21 111:3 116:15 124:10 135:10 142:25 144:19 173:15 202:12 areas (36) 6:13 17:22 22:6 23:14.18.19 49:2.6.22 50:3.9.23.25 52:15 69:9 71:11 72:15 79:11,14 80:2 90:18,20 101:5,20 115:10 135:11 140:15 149:5 160:11 167:15 168:18 178:15 180:21 181:18 182:15 189:2 arena (34) 19:21 32:19,20 34:9 41:12.17 50:15 51:18 54:3 57:8 59:14 77:13 89:7 110:24 111:3,5,14,16 112:16 122:3 137:22 138:14 142:18 143:4,14 144:6,18 145:2.5.14 147:20 184:20,25 204:23 arenas (4) 3:5 26:12 138:9 166:18 arent (3) 7:25 49:23 123:9 arguably (2) 181:3,23 argue (1) 130:15 argus (1) 186:17 ariana (3) 146:8 147:1,5 arisen (2) 7:12 117:8 arising (5) 92:14 102:5 105:4 175:17 193:11 arm (1) 157:16 armed (1) 164:1 army (1) 3:15 arndale (1) 148:4 around (63) 9:25 10:14

17:12 22:5,5 23:18,19

59:21 71:11 72:12

31:21 45:21 52:8 53:18

74:14,20 79:18 90:12,13

91:10 93:8 108:22 111:4 116-12 119-18 120-18 135:11 146:19.21 148:8 179:1 89:18 138:9 196-6 98:21

153:14.19 159:3 161:23 162:12 166:2 167:21 171:3 172:8 173:11 177:6,7 178:16 179:22 181:12 182:10,15,16 184:17 185:3 186:1 189:1,2,4,5 193:25 196:14 200:11,12,21 201:4 203:20.21 arrangement (1) 196:21 arrangements (1) 195:13 arrests (1) 155:4 arrived (1) 57:19 arriving (1) 149:10 articulate (2) 28:9 175:20 artist (1) 147:8 artiste (2) 146:3,25 aside (1) 109:9 ask (51) 3:23 8:16 10:20 15:4 16:10 17:5 18:25 19:10 20:20 22:13 24:12 35:16 58:8 73:9,16,17 74:3 79:4 87:24 102:15 103:12 105:10,13,19 106:3 117:17 119:17.25 125:21 126:7.8 127:3 11 130:17 133:15 142:6 146:2 151:16 152:2 153:22 156:4,8 166:8 175:17 178:9 186:23 191:8.14 193:11 197:15 198:17 asked (8) 1:12 102:11 114:19 127:10 134:8 138:12 143:17 167:4 asking (12) 1:6 16:16 74:1 80:12 133:5,12 137:6 141:10,11 144:2 156:1 asks (1) 69:16 aspect (5) 53:24 56:18 73:12 158:16 161:19 aspects (8) 5:18 23:20 165:20 175:13 181:5.21 185:2 186:23 assess (8) 65:19 88:17 145:10 164:13 179:24 191:11 194:23 195:24 assessed (1) 120:3 assessment (7) 50:18 57:3 88:18 94:6 96:17 124:12 assessments (3) 42:11 48:9 assets (2) 31:7 173:24 assist (3) 85:5 94:10 102:16 assistant (6) 43:24 151:17 153:2 157:23 170:5 205:23 associated (4) 29:9 85:18 146:14 186:24 association (3) 87:2 117:25 associations (2) 86:23 96:10 assume (3) 131:1 186:10 assurance (4) 72:14 90:12,13 assure (5) 94:13 113:14 127:10 140:16 150:1 assuring (1) 169:15 atrocities (2) 147:21 148:2 atrocity (7) 134:16,19 135:7 144:18.24 147:25 148:1 attack (59) 4:21 7:3,4,5,6,8 9:20 14:3.9.11 21:3.6.19 22:1 26:10 29:24 32:25 35:6 36:19 40:12.19.22 41:12 46:9 56:25 57:1 59:15 62:18,21 63:4,18,20,24,25 64:8,12 98:22 103:18 104:12 145:8 147:10 149:20 152:21 154:11.15 155:6 174:11 179:16.19.25 180:1 181:16 193:4 196:16,17

202:13.19.24 203:2 attacker (1) 40:25 attackers (1) 41:7 attacking (1) 63:22 attacks (41) 9:11.14 10:3 15:15,22 20:25 22:21 23:7 41:20.24 42:3.4.25 47:21 53:19 59:24 62:7,22,24 63:3 100:19 102:17 117:9 135:2 137:25 149:18.25 150:8 154:1.6.7.8.10.21.22 159:7.7 168:25 176:25 177:5 203:24 attempted (1) 154:22 attempts (1) 205:25 attend (2) 147:10 178:23 attendance (1) 204:19 attended (1) 183:10 attendees (1) 42:20 attention (1) 61:3 attractions (2) 26:15 166:21 attractive (11) 21:19 22:1 25:22 40:25 41:6 42:4.6.12 167:12 168:20 203:23 attractiveness (7) 142:17 167:10,22 194:2 201:4 203-19 204-11 audience (2) 183:7 184:12 audiences (1) 45:1 auspices (1) 29:15 authorised (1) 130:19 authoritative (1) 104:9 authorities (17) 14:22 18:16 25:23 32:11 37:17 38:13 54-2 68-22 77-3 11 81-9 82:24 86:22 87:1 96:9 106:23,23 authority (7) 24:3 51:17 69:7 76:13,17 79:1 137:18 automatically (1) 135:1 autumn (2) 87:20,21 available (11) 25:25 46:10 53:14 58:19 74:24 84:4 89:20 121:24 154:19 188:17 193:21 average (1) 183:9 aviation (10) 9:17 17:19,23 18:1 22:5,5,19 23:18 64:14 153:16 avoid (1) 63:22 awards (2) 179:19 180:2 aware (19) 5:3.3 32:16.21 38:4 44:4 69:14 75:4 84:11 94:16 129:16 130:2,3,4,14 150:1 161:14 174:9 189:19 awareness (9) 36:16,23 37:1,2 46:3,7 53:4 89:21 160:12 away (10) 27:18,20,24 40:13 81:15 83:13 94:3,4 120:11 178:6 awful (3) 148:16 149:12.18 В back (26) 9:16 40:15 41:2

44:1 45:16 62:21 74:9 77:20 85:9 116:3 119:4.20 121:10 122:12 126:8 127:4 132:19 133:15 140:20 144:13 151:6 152:2 160:21 165:8 188:22 205:21 backed (1) 58:3 background (1) 146:4 bad (1) 182:11 balance (1) 73:21 balancing (1) 196:4 bandwidth (2) 78:8,11 bar (1) 190:4 barriers (1) 31:23 bars (3) 25:21 26:14 166:19 based (7) 25:25 36:5 69:5 164:4 174:2 201:23 202:2

advising (1) 120:2

basic (4) 57:2 123:1,4,8

basis (7) 67:1 70:7 89:6

99:20 179:22 180:8 204:5

basically (1) 118:7

basu (2) 157:24 170:6 bataclan (2) 147:24 148:10 bathwater (1) 75:25 hattle (1) 117:13 bear (1) 184:22 bearing (2) 127:3 178:5 become (5) 20:5 42:17 54:9 179:2 199:5 before (38) 5:10 8:15 18:20 61:14 62:4,10 64:18 65:18 69:16 72:25 77:20 78:24.24 82:19.20 84:9.19 94:9 102:4 116:19 133:21,22 139:2 148:10,24 149:8 150:6 151:8 152:18 153:21 155:24 161:13,14 176:21 189:12 191:10 195:17 201:9 beforehand (4) 82:22 138:13 151:21 177:1 began (1) 10:18 begin (4) 1:6,23 20:23 152:14 beginning (1) 8:21 behalf (9) 11:2 105:10,13,16,19 106:4 126:6 150:17 152:20 hehaviour (1) 46:8 being (40) 5:16 7:25 14:15 36:13 55:3,20 60:18 62:7 84:1 88:6,24 93:18 96:20.21 110:20 112:7.19 114:19 118:8 119:7 121:16 123:1,2,20 125:23 127:14 135:18.22 139:25 140:5.12 142:25 143:17 163:4 168:11 175:8 177:5 181:9 188:1 200:22 believe (15) 68:5 69:14 72:6 84:15 103:13 105:5 127:6 157:10 167:12 176:2 177:22 187:12 197:24 198:5 201:10 beneath (5) 156:25 157:1,3 158:5.8 benefit (2) 91:16 189:3 bereaved (2) 105:19 106:2 bespoke (4) 36:5 46:5 204:3 205:9 best (7) 72:24 101:5 117:21 118:1 165:4 198:14 201:14 better (16) 2:21 37:6.8 47:23 48:16 63:5 74:15 90:11.15 91:11 119:7 134:25 144:19,22 151:11 178:7 between (18) 31:21 37:5 39:23 55:25 86:4 104:14 106:7 120:6 137:1 139:7 143:13 154:12,25 157:6,9 189:22 199:12 204:10 beyond (1) 195:6 big (5) 35:10 55:14 56:22 79:2 162:17 bigger (2) 190:12 202:22 biological (1) 16:24 birmingham (1) 148:3 bit (10) 24:11 30:14 76:8 83:25 112:15,21 127:16 151:23 200:17 201:2 bits (1) 194:8 blame (1) 139:15 blanket (1) 137:3 blend (1) 197:22 blunt (1) 131:6 board (4) 8:14 29:17 59:24 157:1 boardrooms (1) 120:18 boards (6) 8:12 13:12.20 17:11,13 166:6 bodies (3) 87:2 156:13 body (8) 156:16 157:6 158:10 162:22,23 163:13.22 166:3 hollards (2) 31:23 118:19

148:4,5 150:8 149:1.11 182:24 201:23 bombing (2) 118:9 148:2 203:10 bombs (1) 148:6 canvas (2) 106:15 108:14 border (3) 14:9 21:22 22:16 capabilities (2) 21:22 53:10 capability (7) 16:22 72:14 boroughs (1) 177:2 borrowed (1) 123:23 200:14 202:2,10,12,14 both (10) 36:23 37:23 43:23 capable (1) 131:24 45:10 66:19 107:22 141:5 capacities (1) 122:6 153:12 197:18,22 capacity (3) 121:13 157:15 bottom (2) 120:7 203:25 167:19 bound (2) 76:24,24 care (1) 16:20 hox (3) 1:6 151:8.9 careful (1) 22:9 carefully (2) 131:24 132:1 boxes (2) 113:15.16 carried (2) 192:11 203:15 boxing (1) 183:8 breach (2) 86:1 198:10 carry (6) 99:14 116:20 132:4 break (13) 59:1 60:10 149:18 192:5 196:3 61:8,13,17 127:1 151:14 carrying (1) 76:16 173:6 191:9.10 cars (1) 162:23 195-1 10 17 cases (1) 56:18 brexit (2) 123:22.23 casinos (2) 26:14 166:19 bridge (6) 41:24 44:4,5,21,21 casualty (1) 16:23 catchingup (1) 149:9 bridges (3) 42:25 69:7 89:8 categories (9) 50:19 51:6,7 briefing (2) 15:11 103:24 52:19,21,23 180:3 181:17 briefly (2) 107:17 204:16 190:22 bring (11) 7:23 27:13 29:3 category (3) 79:7 183:17 45:19 65:17 82:8 83:7 204-24 88-11 94-17 100-14 124-9 cause (2) 147:22 205:22 bringing (10) 10:17 82:15 caused (1) 131:12 cctv (4) 182:16,22,25 183:1 83:15 87:10 91:5 93:8 94:19 116:14 126:18 129:7 central (8) 15:20 102:19 british (4) 3:15 43:16 65:8 103:17.18.19 104:3 164:1 190:21 broad (3) 69:2 114:15 115:2 centralised (2) 159:14,23 broadened (1) 46:9 centre (9) 2:11 31:18 38:1 broader (5) 39:9 43:6 49:1 157:14.22 161:4 163:10 180:11 196:13 164:8 199:3 brought (8) 8:24 82:13 90:2 centres (10) 25:20 26:15,17 100:13 112:4 118:25 123:8 43:1 67:10 69:6 89:9,9 166:20,23 certain (15) 6:13 22:6 38:21 budget (1) 190:24 build (3) 49:17 58:14 77:13 41:3,5,23 87:14,16,16 building (2) 16:22 78:25 97:21 112:8 121:6 146:21 buildings (1) 67:9 147:13 181:9 builds (1) 36:10 certainty (1) 191:12 built (2) 78:24 116:1 cetera (1) 3:6 chafing (1) 151:23 builtin (1) 83:10 bullough (1) 64:21 chair (8) 12:7 13:11 24:20 burden (1) 55:8 39:19 125:19 126:10,17 business (21) 15:24 16:7 143:10 45:19 89:5,6 95:2 114:23 chaired (4) 11:1 29:18 48:6 118:22 119:9 121:2 104:24 161:9.10.15.25 chairman (8) 34:25 39:8 44:4 69:12,14,21 79:20 126:13 162:1,4,7,14 175:10 chairs (4) 8:9,11 133:1 188:20 193:25 businesses (4) 25:23 94:21 120:2 161:20 challenge (10) 38:15 busy (7) 43:1 67:11,14 52:16,17 56:9 120:17 140:13,13 141:13 143:15 121:9,9 131:9,10 142:2 butt (1) 105:10 challenged (1) 142:1 buzzword (1) 55:21 challenges (3) 52:11 89:4 172:7 challenging (7) 27:11 28:12,17,20 39:5 92:11 cabinet (8) 14:18,21,21 15:4,10 103:23 104:15 change (28) 23:20 41:15 42:24 50:1 53:18 caf (2) 54:1 56:19 54:7.16.24.25 caliphate (2) 200:25 201:6 62:19.22.23.25 64:13 call (5) 3:9 99:1,2 135:24 100:9.10 102:17 117:21.22 136:1,3,9 148:19 149:15 called (12) 3:10 24:20 29:7 177:21,22,23 203:15 37:11 46:11 98:14 102:21 changed (5) 62:18 81:8 128:12 129:1 165:12 179:5 102:8 119:15 202:20 changes (11) 9:10 23:23 calling (3) 50:10 129:4 42:23.24 45:10.24.24 100:18 122:20 124:8 came (9) 1:10 30:10 115:24 147:22 137:25 149:6,8 154:22 changing (9) 9:8,15 20:4 176:22 188:11

46:17

205:24

125:22

156:25

188:24

180:18

198:20

122-18

campaign (3) 60:15 93:5

cannot (3) 135:14 136:12.20

92:6 94:10 100:21 120:9

135:16.20 136:3.4 145:2

124:18,20,22 125:3 130:15

cant (23) 37:1,4 75:4 81:4

46:16 47:21 55:15 94:25

147:19 149:4

chapter (1) 6:23

charge (1) 177:2

chart (1) 112:17

charitable (1) 190:23

check (2) 150:23 205:13

checked (1) 112:18 checking (1) 90:19 chemical (1) 16:24 chemicals (1) 16:4 chief (12) 87:1 96:9 117:25 128:14,20 137:19 160:6 169:23 170:1,8,10 205:23 chiefs (4) 117:24 157:2,8,11 children (2) 51:13 183:10 choice (4) 86:4 175:22 176:13 194:8 choose (3) 168:15 175:23,24 chose (1) 193:5 chronology (2) 159:16 201:6 chunk (1) 69:9 cinema (1) 51:14 cinemas (2) 26:15 166:21 circumstances (2) 152:4 194-21 citizens (2) 4:9 18:9 city (11) 39:8,10,13 40:5 43:1 67:10 73:4 89:9 97:21 108:6 179:3 civil (15) 16:4 24:24 86:4 123:16 132:1 133:3,5,6,8 134:20 135:4 142:1 148:24 197-18 23 claire (1) 152:16 clarify (1) 115:23 clarifying (1) 125:5 clarity (1) 25:5 clean (1) 151:7 clear (26) 25:3 35:18 63:2 64:20 65:3 75:9 80:17 84-18 87-17 109-22 23 110:1.2.18 112:7 114:2 116:7 119:11 141:8 159:16 171:11 175:15,18 177:9 182:17.20 clearly (5) 28:9 105:24 106:20 110:25 130:19 close (1) 150:10 closely (6) 2:21 99:4 158:24 161:10 173:9.15 closer (2) 24:2.8 closing (2) 20:5 144:16 clubs (3) 25:21 26:14 166:19 cobr (12) 14:25 15:10,10,13,20 99:1 100:15,15 103:24 104:12.21 105:5 coffee (1) 162:16 coincidental (1) 100:19 collaboration (5) 85:2 86:10 162:13 169:20 189:22 collaboratively (1) 161:1 colleague (2) 157:25 158:2 colleagues (3) 154:6 177:15 178:11 collective (1) 11:3 collectively (4) 71:25 72:2.21 168:19 combination (1) 169:10 come (32) 1:10 29:15 34:5 51:3 55:11 56:20 58:8,21 61:20 63:16.18 81:10 87:24 92:25 108:5 109:6,8 116:3 121:10 122:12 131:11.13 132:19 135:15 148:18 151:6 152:2 157:20,21 163:25 177:18 205:21 comes (5) 23:13 112:16 157:18 164:2 187:6 comfortable (1) 194:6 coming (15) 9:13 38:19 45:16 50:17 56:22 81:17 96:12 126:12 127:4 150:3 151:4 155:21 172:10 184:13 191:14 commander (2) 177:2 183:19 commence (1) 28:24 commend (1) 61:5 commendable (1) 85:1 comment (10) 73:12.17 74:4

133:11 139:12 147:6 149:1

confirm (5) 15:4 22:14 28:8

150:14.15.18 comments (1) 139:9 commercial (9) 26:17 35:14 115:4,5,7 120:14,23 166:23 176:11 commercially (1) 176:1 commissioner (5) 43:25 151:17 153:2 157:24 170:6 commissioners (1) 169:25 committed (2) 46:19,25 committee (3) 78:6 80:23 157:7 common (4) 111:25 179:16,19 196:15 commonly (1) 169:4 commons (1) 129:21 commonwealth (1) 18:5 communicate (1) 16:9 communication (2) 52:7 53:20 communications (3) 45:2 53:3 55:6 communities (3) 18:12,17 community (12) 4:16 45:19 73:6 97:22 137:17,18 161:11 25 162:2 4 8 14 companies (3) 37:17 87:4 140:5 company (2) 56:10 113:7 comparable (1) 170:22 compassion (1) 128:25 compel (1) 197:25 complementary (2) 100:7 107:3 complem nted (1) 99:24 complete (4) 47:1 83:22 122:14 177:25 completed (2) 58:13 187:5 completely (4) 107:2 109:18 122:13 183:16 complex (7) 107:24 108:11 110:24 111:18 121:14 137:4 190:11 complexities (6) 39:22.25 72:18 107:21 108:1 109:1 complexity (1) 38:20 compliance (3) 73:5,8 197:5 complicated (8) 67:22,25 108:14,15,18,23 138:17,20 complicating (2) 129:11,13 comply (2) 198:1,3 complying (1) 197:3 comprehensive (4) 96:6 111:1,22 168:24 comprised (1) 160:3 compromise (1) 120:6 concentrate (1) 152:8 concentration (2) 63:19,21 concept (1) 75:25 concern (6) 23:6 70:14.18 115:20 141:24 144:19 concerned (14) 3:12 22:24 35:1 38:13 39:7,10 70:20,25 75:21 84:17 103:16 128:23 146:10 197:15 concerning (1) 31:9 concerns (4) 114:4.19 119:14 121:18 concert (1) 183:9 concerts (1) 147:10 conclude (1) 98:3 concludes (1) 151:1 conclusion (6) 48:13,15,25 131:12,13 150:3 condition (4) 71:7 82:4,11,12 conditions (7) 74:8 77:18 81:11 82:1,6,10 83:7 condolences (1) 152:19 conduct (4) 23:7 87:25 164:25 188:20 conferences (1) 161:22 confidence (2) 181:12,21 confident (1) 164:13

37-22 86-8 confirmed (1) 125:14 conjunction (2) 76:5 77:11 connected (3) 100:20 102:18 196:24 connectedly (1) 197:5 connections (1) 146:4 conscientious (2) 114:20,23 consequence (4) 101:9 200:13 201:25 202:8 nces (1) 93:8 consider (29) 47:11 56:6 67:3 68:24 70:5 75:15 76:22 77:12 80:23 81:9,11 85:12 88:14,20 120:25 121:1 126:10,14 140:24 147:14 157:17 165:6 173:5.6 183:20 185:2 191:21 193:2.13 considerable (2) 43:8 131:4 considerably (2) 171:6,8 consideration (24) 43:8 44:25 51:9 52:9 54:7 65:20,24 68:18 71:14 72:3 78:21 81:7 86:6 88:24 90:10 112:9 116:4,5,6,8 123-11 16 17 185-7 considerations (2) 56:7 81:15 considered (18) 26:10 40:24 61:21 70:2 72:24 83:20 84:3 91:2 111:12 124:23 125:1 131:16,19,19 143:2 175:10 197:7 200:22 considering (18) 12:8 25:24 36:9 63:15 77:15 81:14 89:18,20 107:12,14 146:12 157:20 170:14 180:13 184:12 185:10.12 188:21 considers (1) 179:17 consist (1) 178:13 consisted (1) 160:9 consistency (1) 43:9 consistent (1) 182:1 consistently (2) 161:15 177:16 consolation (1) 195:7 constable (3) 170:8,10 205:23 constables (3) 160:10 169:23 170:1 constantly (2) 46:15 93:3 consult (4) 126:21 133:24 139:2 140:17 consultation (79) 28:23 52:4 57:13 83:18 86:6,8,13 87:5,13,15,19,23,25 88:9 90:23 91:16 92:1,6,10,17,22 93:4,9,11 94:8 95:6,8,12,15,16,20,22 96:4.14 122:12.21 123:21.24 124:3,4,6,7,18,20,22,23 125:3,7,11,18 126:9 131:3,7,14,19,21,24 132:9 133:12.18 134:2.4.16 135:14.21 136:2.2.4.9.12.18 137:2.3.13.16 138:23 140:5.15 193:10 consultations (2) 124:13 125:11 consulted (2) 77:25 87:11 consulting (2) 28:25 87:12 consults (1) 124:10 contact (2) 189:20,21 contacts (1) 181:23 contend (1) 56:4 contest (38) 3:24 4:4,5,11 5:7,15 6:25 8:24,25 9:7,15,19 10:2,6,8,11 11:2,13,24 12:18 13:8,16 15:25 16:13 17:12.18 18:16.23 20:22 23:13

172-24 context (13) 106:18 111:16 138:21 146:17 147:24 153:23.23 155:14 157:19 172:14 173:5 200:10 201:4 contextual (1) 200:21 contingency (1) 14:21 continual (2) 144:20 191:5 continually (1) 62:19 continue (4) 4:8 42:12 96:11 137:20 continued (2) 42:5,7 continues (1) 46:12 continuing (1) 96:15 contrary (1) 101:17 contribute (3) 13:16 160:23 166:4 contribution (1) 60:14 control (2) 27:5,7 convenient (1) 205:12 conversations (1) 115:9 convince (1) 54:20 cooper (31) 84:9,15,18,24 85:4,7,8 127:6,7,13,17 128:7,8 129:20 131:2 132:21 133:14,17 134:3 137-12 139-21 140-2 141-1 142:3 143:22 145:22 146:2 147:16 149:21 150:19 207:6 cooperate (1) 162:8 cooperation (3) 191:25 195:15 206:7 coordinate (1) 12:4 coordinated (7) 8:4,19 17:8.10 104:4 160:8 173:21 coordinating (3) 11:23 13:9 156:17 coordination (3) 103:20 157:7,15 coordinator (3) 158:6 165:15.18 copied (1) 175:14 copy (2) 180:14,14 core (5) 5:3 138:18 160:8,10,18 coronavirus (3) 56:10 87:20,22 coroner (1) 44:11 corporate (1) 37:13 corporations (2) 162:17 190:19 correct (154) 2:9,16 3:22 4:17 8:25 9:9,13 10:1 11:14,19,25 12:3 13:11,24 14:10,13 15:12 18:4 19:20.22 20:14 21:10,15,16,20,23 22:22 23:3.25 25:17 27:3.6.11 28:3.11 29:1.11.20.25 30:13.18.25 31:13 32:14,15,18,22 33:3,4,20 34:8 35:9,15 36:1 37:25 38:14,22 40:23 41:11 42:7.14 43:11 45:7.8.14 46:1,6,14,15 47:13 49:9.16 52:2 53:6 56:23 59:9.16 62:8 63:9 65:15.25 67:16 69:19.24 76:14 85:20 86:12,21 88:23 90:1 91:2,4,19 92:2,3,5,8,21 94:7 96:23 98:16 101:7.19 103:25 105:6 109:20 118:10 125:10 130:21 131:8 148:9 154:24 155:8.17 156:23 157:6 159:25 160:19 163:17 164:21

bomb (5) 130:22 147:20

165:1,11,13,16,21,25

168:17 169:12 170:12

171:21 172:20 173:1

174:1.8.16 178:5 179:12

180:1.11 181:1 196:23

200:10 201:13,17,21

197:14 198:12 199:11.15

91:10.10 153:20 156:17

158:15 160:17 169:18

204:10.14 205:7.10.11 correctly (3) 57:22 137:14 142:8 correspondence (1) 141:16 cost (7) 55:18 89:15 120:7 124:7,13 192:19 196:5 couch (1) 157:22 couldnt (4) 1:11 87:25 137:5 149:19 council (9) 8:8 10:23 14:24 15:5 73:4 117:24 157:2.8.11 councils (2) 73:7 106:23 counter (3) 10:17 30:19 159:5 countering (6) 8:2 11:9 12:19 160:24,25 161:18 counterterrorism (75) 2:2 3:24 4:4 6:6 7:6.7.11.21.24 8:5.11.18.19 10:19 11:15 12:2,4 20:8 30:6,22 32:10 34:18 37:1,2 45:12 46:13 53:15 68:2.10 71:11.19 72:13,22 74:11,20,25 94:24 98:7,14 99:5,19 103:13 106:15 107:8 108:7 109-12 152-20 153-4 6 8 154-4 155-2 156-5 15 19 157:4,5,7,12,23 158:10,12 160:11 165:23 169:5,19,21 170:5 171:4 172:5.10 176:22.24 177:15.16 countries (2) 9:25 146:21 country (7) 54:18 126:19 148-16 171-14 172-8 189:20 194:1 couple (1) 160:9 courage (1) 128:25 course (34) 6:23 7:12 16:19 19:5 20:19 21:11 28:1 29:5 54:19 85:21 87:7 106:15 110:17 111:19,20 122:18 125:25 126:2 133:8 145:3 149:21 154:19 160:13 161:5 163:2 168:1.8 178:19 191:3 192:23 200:21 202:5 204:9 206:5 courses (1) 178:13 cover (5) 7:13 69:10 72:1 168:3 182:16 coverage (2) 72:10 183:1 covered (2) 71:1 103:14 covering (2) 46:7 67:10 covers (3) 114:17 163:11 182:15 covid (34) 20:1,1,2 28:23 92:4,14,20,25 94:18 95:10 100:4 116:15 124:24 125:9.23 131:7.13.20.23.23 132:9.10.12.23 133:7.8.11 134:2 136:6 139:25 140:2,4,7,16 cpni (10) 38:2 46:13 48:10 97:5 161:5 164:9,24 173:15 178:12 186:3 cpwg (3) 29:8,17 42:17 create (5) 55:8 74:15 91:12 97:22 121:17 created (5) 10:13 150:2 159:2,3,18 creates (2) 144:13,14 creation (1) 179:10 credible (1) 75:6 crewing (1) 64:23 crime (5) 66:3 68:18,25 82:14 169:25 criminal (8) 76:20.23 86:1.5 123:6,16 197:17,23 crises (1) 12:5 crisis (5) 15:14,18,21 92:20.25 criteria (3) 87:17 101:24 204:13 critical (11) 22:19 55:5 110:20 123:2,3,20 155:7

160:14 161:4 164:10 165:1 daily (3) 48:3 89:6 105:2 danger (2) 180:23,23 dangerousness (1) 143:1 dangers (2) 78:6 150:12 criticism (2) 13:2 148:21 dark (4) 142:21 143:3.6.24 darts (1) 183:8 crossgovernment (1) 23:12 data (3) 48:9 161:23 176:5 crowd (7) 25:16 26:11,21,25 dated (1) 201:18 day (5) 12:21,21 43:19 56:15 vded (99) 3:2,9,10 17:7 151-21 days (12) 45:13 77:19 20:5.18 21:14.25 22:25 133:22 134:12 142:21 143:2.3.6.20.24 178:24 191:7 27:4,14,18,19,25 28:13 daytoday (1) 165:17 29:7,9,23 30:21 32:9,12 dcms (1) 72:15 33:6,16 35:6 36:17 37:11 de (1) 148:1 dead (1) 182:23 deadline (1) 140:23 42:3.5.12.19 43:4 44:17 deal (36) 1:11 3:23 5:6 6:9,22 7:8,9 10:20 13:13 20:15,18,22 22:15 33:23 118:3.11 142:10.15 143:8 36:22 38:9 58:24 59:2 147:25 148:2,7 159:10,19 61:11 66:7 98:2 103:17 160:12,18 166:5,9,11,17 105:1,8 110:6 113:22 121:15 122:8 134:22 169-1 3 174-7 198-20 21 153-21 158-25 168-22 170-14 173-16 183-20 205:23 dealing (13) 3:9,12 4:2 6:17 ct (23) 12:10,17 17:10 43:15 65:16 92:13,19 113:19,21 121:18 163:6 191:24 101:21 102:5 112:8 143:5 194:16 deals (2) 1:17 38:7 163:7 18 164:20 25 165:11 dealt (3) 112:12 156:6 160:18 death (1) 134:18 deaths (3) 44:10 48:11 59:23 debate (1) 60:17 decades (2) 148:3 150:9 ctsa (42) 30:17 34:3,4 35:10 december (1) 176:23 decide (4) 65:7 141:17 188:3 192:12 114:6 121:5 128:1 148:13 decided (7) 78:22 90:21 91:6 165:24 167:11 168:3.14.15 123:6.10 131:22 195:23 173:18 176:8 178:9,20 decides (1) 29:2 179:20,24 181:24 186:7 deciding (2) 77:17,24 decision (19) 65:17,18 90:9 99:21 121:4 132:7,14,23 196:7,11,13,18 205:6,9 136:22 172:16 176:11 ctsas (63) 30:24 33:11,14,16 193:3,8,24 194:3,5 195:22 34:19 36:5.16.23.24 37:19 199:18 200:7 decisionmaking (1) 176:1 decisions (1) 139:24 110:1,10,15,22 111:9,19 declaration (1) 200:25 112:11 114:19 120:2,25 declared (1) 201:7 143:5 153:25 163:1 166:24 deemed (2) 70:8 71:9 167:8,15 168:22 169:10,15 deference (1) 93:5 173:17,23 174:2,15.19 define (1) 129:19 175:12 178:10.11.15 179:4 defined (2) 25:18 146:2 184:1 185:24.24 187:12.13 definitely (4) 107:24 161:14 197:9 200:4 definition (17) 25:6 26:3 27:14,16 28:5,9,17 40:13,17 108:22 118:16 142:9.14 166:10 167:5.6 168:4 degree (3) 130:16 181:12,21 delay (4) 1:3 131:7 139:7 140:17 delayed (4) 87:20,23 currently (9) 93:1 109:7,8,14 133:12,18 111:1 113:19 146:18,19 delaying (2) 129:14 131:13 deliberation (1) 85:6

critically (1) 100:25

criticising (1) 12:23

crossed (2) 68:16 69:11

23:17.24 24:14.15.18

38:11 40:11.14.18.24

46:4,20,22 47:1,8,22

48:12,21 49:15 110:9

167:5,8,9,22 168:3,11

199-18 200-8 201-19

68:2 97:4 99:9 100:14

153:6 156:22 162:6,7

203:5,21 204:1,4

crowds (1) 109:4

171:17 173:20

ctphq (1) 105:10

ctaas (3) 36:24,25 97:1

37:5 41:2 97:20 109:9

110:6 112:16,19 113:8

187:4,9 188:13 189:14

191:25 192:17,20

46:5 78:3.19 82:21

188:23 189:19 190:25

191:2,6,18,18 198:18

199:3,16

205:14

153:2

cut (1) 34:14

d (1) 207:1

cutting (1) 84:22

ctx (6) 98:17 99:17

101:1,9,21 102:4

culture (2) 19:15 69:22

current (9) 49:17 88:13

109:11 111:23 153:8

175:11 200:23 202:13

customers (2) 50:16 111:6

dac (23) 30:15 32:7 33:14

35:22 37:7.8 43:20.25 75:1

78:8 87:1 115:9 117:11

119:17,23,24,25 127:23

144:21 151:18 191:13

delineation (1) 143:13

156:21 159:10.19

173:18,20 199:3

deliver (11) 11:4 14:16 18:16

deliverables (2) 17:14 24:23

delivered (10) 30:16,17 46:5

delivering (6) 12:18 13:16

31:2 53:17 99:18 102:13

delivery (15) 17:12 18:7 32:9

65:6 78:20 85:16 97:10

difficult (12) 24:25 27:4

47:14 101:18 103:7 123:17

28:14,21 54:6 55:3 102:11

97:1,5,8,13,23

ctaa (1) 37:6

cts (1) 15:16

41:13.17.20.22

26:4,8,12,18,20,20

criticise (1) 64:6

142:12,15,19

25:7.13.18

111:8.13 118:16 144:21 157:16 170:19 171:13 180:17 delve (3) 19:10 66:16 111:13 demand (3) 56:12 170:25 171:2 demonstrate (4) 41:21 42:3 118:17 147:22 demonstrated (2) 41:22 42:4 demonstrates (2) 9:21 155-14 densities (1) 26:21 density (7) 25:16 26:11 27:1 142:12,16,19 144:3 department (14) 11:9 15:24 16:4,6,10,12,20 17:17 19:15 65:9 66:12 69:22 72:16 140:12 departments (27) 13:14.15.18 14:15 15:1 17:2,6,14 20:1,6,8 28:15 42:21 43:12 66:9 86:16 139:4.10.13.16.19 140:3,7,8,21 141:2,12 depend (1) 190:8 dependent (5) 46:16 63:5 111-7 112-3 143-14 depending (2) 183:18 190:4 depends (3) 188:10 190:10,13 deployment (1) 155:10 deputies (1) 157:25 deputy (6) 29:16 43:24 151:17 153:2 158:7 165:17 describe (8) 5:14 10:11 28:5 52:20 59:5.9 153:23 205:9 described (6) 21:1 36:4 71:22 89:2 155:14 196:20 describes (1) 155:25 description (1) 28:1 deserved (1) 65:24 design (3) 58:12,22 78:25 designed (1) 136:21 designers (1) 36:8 desired (1) 200:19 despicable (1) 149:17 detail (19) 6:10 10:21 19:11 22:8 24:2,11 31:9 63:15 64:19 66:16 79:10 168:1 171:22 172:21 173:3,16 186:8 197:21 199:9 detailed (2) 23:15 137:4 details (2) 130:3 135:17 detect (3) 22:15 23:5 155:22 determine (2) 146:21 168:13 determined (1) 142:15 develop (5) 9:15 33:2 159:10,19 178:14 developed (5) 8:25 36:13 67:18 118:3 198:21 developing (3) 11:23 31:1 156:17 development (6) 12:18 18:5 29:8 99:5 161:12 191:6 devices (2) 154:16 198:25 devolved (3) 72:19 86:11,17 dg (2) 8:11 12:17 dhsc (1) 16:20 diagram (2) 156:9 165:8 dial (3) 148:19 183:21.22 didnt (8) 34:15 76:3 79:15 118:25 119:18 122:13 123:10 133:6 difference (4) 37:5 75:12 106:7 204:10 different (42) 4:23 5:17 9:3.6.18 20:5 23:19 32:1 33:23 49:10 50:3.8.19 57:7 62:21 76:2 79:7,11 80:6 83:17 89:24 90:16 91:19 93:10 97:14 98:1 133:9,9 136:7 139:4 148:11 149:4.16 154:15 157:18 170:23 180:25 186:15.15 187:18 188:16 189:12

38:16 51:20 55:23 56:4 64:17 79:6 95:21 143:18 175:16 183:8 difficulties (9) 38:24 78:25 88:2.7 92:9.13 125:25 150:2 196:4 difficulty (1) 39:15 dig (1) 135:3 digital (5) 3:21 53:10,14 69:22 97:18 diligence (2) 93:7,14 diplomatic (1) 153:16 direct (2) 137:22.23 directed (1) 149:25 directing (2) 37:6,8 direction (4) 98:23 116:21 132:4 173:17 directly (4) 131:15 135:5,5 158-5 director (3) 2:1 3:7 29:16 directorate (1) 23:15 directorgeneral (3) 7:19 11:20 48:6 disagree (4) 76:8 126:23 140:4 175:2 disappoint (1) 205:19 disappointing (1) 177:11 disappointment (1) 177:12 discharge (1) 113:11 disclosed (1) 123:14 disclosure (1) 133:4 discretionary (17) 109:17 117:4 162:10,25 163:2 171:24 172:3,19 174:18 175-4 9 19 176-7 14 177:14 182:2 193:16 discussion (1) 11:3 discussions (1) 104:14 disorder (3) 66:3 68:18,25 disparity (1) 190:17 disproportionate (2) 192:16,20 disregard (1) 81:3 disrupt (1) 198:15 disrupted (3) 42:10 154:6,11 disruptions (1) 92:14 dissatisfaction (1) 65:1 disseminated (2) 45:11 169:4 dissertation (1) 76:8 distance (1) 118:20 distinct (1) 49:22 distinction (1) 30:8 distribution (2) 31:10 168:23 diversity (1) 154:8 dividing (1) 50:18 document (28) 117:18,21,21 118:1 119:23 120:7,9,11 123:24.24 124:7 125:11,14,18 126:9 128:18 133:2 138:24 139:6,9,12 140:9 141:14 154:21 179:15 180:19 182:14 183:14 documentation (5) 132:22 133:12 135:25 136:10 186:2 documents (4) 124:3 139:5 188:17 189:3 does (93) 1:18 2:6.7 4:7.10 6:18.21 7:3.15.18 11:22 12:1,7 13:8 14:2,5,8,18,19 15:13,24 21:1,4 23:20,21,22 24:6,15,16,17 26:7 27:12 38:15.16 41:16 43:7,15,18,20,21 48:25 49:13 54:23 60:6 68:21.23 72:10 78:12 83:9 92:25 98:19 99:4.7 100:2 101:1.3 103:18 121:11 124:7 127:23 135:15 140:20 149:15 150:23 153:7,8,10 157:8 158:17 160:23 161:1 163:23 166:4 169:18 170:7.9 172:24 175:16 177:18.22 178:13.16

179:4,7,14 181:15 184:3

196:4.8 197:24.25 200:6 205:20 doesnt (19) 62:23 69:16 79:8 114:17 120:1 127:15 134:4 135:1 136:1.2 143:22.23 167:6,20 185:4,9 193:13 194:9 196:16 doing (21) 27:14 35:12 56:1 72:8 77:20 80:2 81:2 82:8 95:5 96:16 110:1 117:16 134:4 136:7 137:15 140:18 149:3 178:25 183:2.3 188:14 domain (1) 155:11 done (28) 46:22 47:11 55:16,17,17 57:18 61:6 82:12 83:11,12 84:22 86:19 93:15 96:20.21 97-14 15 112-17 124:18.21.22 139:4 145:19 171:17 173:2 181:24 186:8 196:9 dont (63) 25:1 34:2 35:15,16,23 62:18,22 63:13 64:4,8 66:16 72:1 73:3 74:14 78:13,15 79:10 80-14 94-1 95-6 14 100:21 25 106:8 111:13 14 114:13 115:18 119:3 120:25 121:4,8 123:3 124:15 125:12,22 132:1,15 135:16 141:5.18.21 143:5 146:16 147:5 150:14,15,18 154:18 157:10 163:8 175:8 182-21 184-9 192-14 15 194:3.13.15.15 197:16 198:10 205:3 door (2) 54:4 57:2 dorsi (26) 30:15 32:7 33:14 35:16,22 37:7,8 43:20,25 75:1 78:8 87:1 115:9 117:11 119:17,23,24,25 127:23 144:21 151:17,18 152:16 191:13 205:19 207:8 doubled (1) 155:3 doubt (9) 18:2 38:22 39:8,12 75:13 80:4 125:22 133:16 174:25 down (15) 8:7,9,12,13 13:19 20:5 28:18 40:3 89:8 120:13 151:19 182:1 183:17.22 189:24 draft (5) 87:9 123:24 125:21 139:6,9 drastic (1) 148:6 drawbacks (1) 58:21 drawing (1) 9:24 drawn (1) 48:8 drawnout (1) 134:15 dreadful (1) 177:4 drill (2) 40:3 186:7 drive (2) 24:22 75:3 driven (6) 35:14 102:5 134:10 138:14 141:24 176-1 driver (3) 53:17 142:13 144:3 driving (3) 35:1 136:24 142:8 dropdowns (1) 182:14 dropping (1) 51:12 drops (1) 16:18 dry (2) 127:14,16 due (14) 6:23 16:19 19:5 20:19 21:11 28:1 29:5 83:16 93:5,7,14 154:19 163:1 168:1 duplicate (1) 127:23 during (6) 3:7 56:9 134:15 143:24 155:5 173:7 duties (1) 66:17 duty (42) 54:25 57:24 59:10,12 68:24 69:2,4,4 76:16 82:24 85:12.21 86:1 87:4 88:13.25 89:14 91:5

120-21 131-18 133-25 134-9 135-1 175-6 176:2.17 177:24 178:2.7 195:23 196:25 197:3.6 198:9

e (1) 207:1 earlier (14) 43:14 55:13 62:20 64:20 89:4 94:5 116:4 142:14 147:24 159:15 161:3 165:9 193:23 196:18 early (3) 45:25 87:19 91:10 easier (2) 9:14 202:15 easily (2) 25:21 128:24 east (1) 177:3 easy (2) 89:15 193:21 easytoaccess (1) 55:4 economic (2) 96:17 124:12 economically (1) 114:24 education (4) 16:10,12,14 50:5 effect (9) 24:7 62:12 74:19 76:22 82:13 89:12 97:8 120:24 145:4 effective (5) 21:2 24:2 60:20 99:15 130:23 effectively (4) 75:23 129:9 143:23 205:5 effectiveness (5) 31:11 45:3 47:11 199:20 200:8 efficiently (1) 45:5 effort (5) 74:10 97:4.6 118:17 204:6 efforts (4) 41:2 45:5 159:13,22 either (6) 108:20 130:15 140:4 143:15 182:20 189:20 eitheror (1) 197:23 elaborate (1) 124:19 elearning (2) 46:7,11 elements (2) 57:18 137:17 eligibility (1) 101:24 eloquent (1) 62:12 else (1) 159:17 emails (1) 141:16 emanating (1) 141:2 embedding (1) 102:20 emergencies (3) 14:23 15:16,22 emergency (7) 7:4 99:11 101:2 103:3,9,19 154:3 emerges (1) 25:5 emphasis (1) 119:6 emphasise (2) 60:23 106:6 employ (1) 185:11 employed (1) 73:4 employees (3) 66:19 67:7,8 employers (3) 66:17 67:7,9 employing (1) 56:11 empower (1) 193:3 empty (1) 142:21 enable (1) 83:21 enables (1) 165:5 enabling (1) 90:14 encourage (1) 37:12 encouraged (1) 1:18 end (10) 93:1 96:2 114:20,22 126:12 179:2 180:5 184:14 192:3 202:5 ended (1) 84:1 endtoend (2) 71:16 74:20 enduring (2) 22:4,7 energy (2) 15:24 16:4

enforce (3) 71:18 74:21

enforcement (18) 3:20 67:18

72:14 73:6.8 78:20 83:10

90:4,12,16,17,19 97:9

enforced (1) 136:15

85:18,21,25

123:7 197:17

enforcing (1) 91:13

197:5

92:13 93:6 94:17 96:20

107:3 109:5 111:10 115:11

finally (6) 6:25 18:20 95:25

102:19 103:16 122:12

find (5) 39:22 79:6 92:16

117:22 136:21

fine (6) 112:17 113:18

finish (6) 120:1,22 127:5

145:22 152:1 195:18

116:24 117:1 141:2 152:4

finding (1) 24:25

engage (7) 18:15 19:24 92:16 118:22 126:21 162:24 166:24 engaged (3) 86:13,14,25 engagement (17) 16:7 20:6 24:8 37:10,13 45:13 49:11 91:22 96:6,11 137:15 138:17,19 161:15 168:14 204:4 205:6 engagements (2) 37:20 45.18 engages (1) 114:21 engaging (5) 33:5 60:16 96:7 137:16 167:21 engine (1) 53:17 england (1) 71:13 enhance (1) 59:6 enhanced (1) 53:3 enough (9) 78:10,13 108:17 111:16 113:10 129:10 132:8 149:8 198:2 enquiries (2) 143:12,17 ensure (19) 7:23 8:1 10:16 16:14 20:2,7 25:4 35:21 50:22 51:6 91:1,15 97:9 99:14 127:24 162:6,6 197-2 198-3 ensuring (1) 89:23 entered (1) 176:24 entering (1) 5:16 enterprise (2) 54:1 162:15 entertainment (4) 19:18 69:24 76:11 146:6 enthusiasm (4) 74:11 114-10 18 25 entirely (8) 34:3.25 35:7 76:1 109:17 162:10 171:23 172:19 entirety (1) 2:23 entitled (2) 177:20 205:5 environment (1) 26:8 environmental (1) 73:7 environments (1) 67:12 equipment (2) 164:11,13 especially (2) 20:3 38:17 essentially (3) 6:4 103:9 108:25 establish (2) 130:18 199:12 established (2) 2:19 126:2 estimate (1) 127:12 et (1) 3:6 even (15) 5:7.23 22:10 65:11 95:14 107:21 119:20 132:15 148:10 159:16 176:21 182:22 194:21 200:24 203:7 event (18) 77:19 88:5 112:3 143:2,20 183:18 184:7,9,10,17,24 185:9 186:10 188:4,12 191:4,6 196:22 events (24) 3:5 16:25 26:18,22 27:23 36:16,23 38:13 40:3 43:10 112:1 149:12 176:20 183:16.20.21.23 185:5 186:16,21 187:18,23,25 194:16 every (18) 12:21 29:21 43:21.23 44:3 50:17 53:24 77:25 80:22 97:20 134:18,18 156:11 168:3 170:15 174:3 184:7 191:4 everybody (6) 87:14 161:18 162:23,24 164:2 203:10 everyone (4) 15:19 51:6 54:19 159:17 everything (5) 90:12 124:5 149:22 167:7 194:18 evidence (51) 1:11,13 5:4 6:23 7:13 19:7 20:16 21:13 22:23 25:4,5 27:22 38:24 39:10.15 55:14 58:18 61:24 63:10.17 64:21.21 69:15 73:9.10.24 93:17

117-19 122-14 123-13 127:22.23.24 133:21 134:12 145:17 151:1 152:1.6.9 153:24 156:7 157:19 174:17 177:7 186:6 205:23 evidences (1) 133:13 evidential (1) 48:8 evolution (3) 49:17 161:8,16 evolve (1) 9:7 evolving (1) 155:23 exacerbating (1) 135:24 exact (2) 130:3.14 exactly (2) 80:21 197:12 examining (1) 132:13 example (27) 3:2 6:6 16:17 19:15,24 50:5 77:12 79:4 87:24 89:16 97:20 110:24 111-17 112-5 113-20 114:6.7 123:5 146:23 162:22 170:23 182:14 183:2,6 185:3 188:11 204:6 excel (2) 179:15 182:14 excellent (1) 110:12 exceptional (1) 192:10 exchange (1) 37:12 exchanges (1) 37:15 exclusively (1) 58:4 excuse (2) 140:8,9 executive (4) 66:14 67:17 68:6 197:11 executives (4) 87:1 96:9 121:7 137:19 exercise (16) 76:17 85:4 98:10.11.14.24 99:18,19,22 100:1,2 101:11,13,15 173:13 186:19 exercises (10) 98:10 99:25 100:7,14 101:10,17 102:5,6,12 103:10 exercising (12) 7:7,11 98:7.21 99:9.14 101:5.22 102:3 103:3.13 173:14 exist (2) 36:13 98:19 existed (1) 39:16 existing (11) 59:22,25 65:20 71:7 75:18,22 81:20 90:8 93:1 116:12 188:20 exits (1) 57:1 expansive (1) 162:18 expect (32) 51:10 60:10 63:6 64:5 75:9 91:9 94:20 108:20 109:25,25 112:18 115:6,7 122:10 138:13 166:24 167:15 172:11,13 180:14 181:9,23 184:11 186:20 188:13 189:14,16,17,24 191:23 196:10.12 expectation (10) 31:4.5

111:5 173:23 190:9

expectations (1) 35:21

expecting (1) 182:24

88:5 103:1 155:13 157:11

194:10 199:9 201:22 202:3

explained (2) 61:23 79:19

explanation (2) 117:25 140:4

explosive (2) 154:16 198:25

explaining (1) 72:6

explains (1) 73:5

explicit (1) 189:4

explore (1) 36:18

130:17 134:12 142:4

figen (20) 55:13 60:14,24,25

79:22 93:5 94:16 106:5

122:19 128:9,22 134:11

138:1,4 141:6 175:7 177:7

109:1,4

135:19 136:17 137:23

final (2) 58:25 86:2

151:11 191:7

field (1) 3:21

184:22

expressed (4) 65:1 72:5 115:20 141:10 expresses (1) 117:22 expression (2) 143:9 144:19 extensive (1) 65:19 extent (11) 19:1 20:17 40:19.22 149:14 153:22 183:2 184:4 190:13 193:6 extinguisher (1) 182:8 extra (1) 72:19 extreme (2) 184:14 202:5 extremely (3) 111:20 141:9 152:3 face (5) 4:16 64:7,10,14 145:16 faced (1) 47:23 facilitate (1) 98:23 facilities (1) 39:13 facing (1) 200:23 factor (5) 129:11,13,14 146:11 194:18 factors (3) 35:14 83:17 146:21 fair (19) 46:21 62:4 107:4.23.24 109:19 111:2,16 114:11,12 115:1 119:19 121:3,24 148:21 150:19 172:3 184:23 193:12 fairly (3) 56:2 123:1 127:7 fairness (2) 96:1 149:13 faith (4) 50:7 55:10 147:12 190:23 faiths (1) 147:13 fall (2) 6:20 167:22 falling (1) 168:3 falls (2) 158:14 172:23 false (1) 181:10 familiar (3) 36:24 115:19 158:9 families (13) 61:3 105:19 106:2,4,7 125:18 126:7,23 128:8 141:25 144:17 150:17 152:20 family (1) 106:3 far (19) 7:13 22:23 23:23 25:3 35:25 38:13 39:9.11 45:15 67:20 84:16.25 103:16 117:19 129:16 146:10 150:5,11 197:14 fate (1) 205:16 fault (1) 148:25 favour (2) 191:16,20 favoured (1) 119:9 fcdo (1) 18:6 feasibility (1) 72:12 feasible (1) 56:20 feature (1) 26:25 32:5,19 35:19 58:17 88:13 february (11) 26:3 28:6 42:15,24 59:3,18 60:11 75:10 85:10 87:18 122:22 expected (2) 94:19 99:11 feel (5) 60:6 112:23 150:17 194:5 203:22 expensive (2) 118:23 176:11 feeling (3) 117:12 143:10 experience (2) 9:24 91:22 181:11 experienced (1) 192:22 feelings (1) 177:12 expert (4) 84:4,5 112:4 feels (1) 177:11 fell (3) 108:7 204:23 205:4 expertise (8) 68:1,12 72:13 felt (6) 67:5,20 68:12 70:11 74:21 111:1.23 112:8.19 71:1 177:3 experts (7) 35:7 68:2,7,9 festivals (3) 26:13,23 166:18 112:11 172:10 178:14 few (14) 5:13 6:1,9 7:1 8:15 explain (11) 5:11 9:14 31:15 85:3 86:7 106:11 127:9

finite (1) 33:11 fiona (1) 73:1 fire (4) 56:15 101:3 116:5 182:7 firearm (1) 63:20 firearms (1) 154:16 firmly (1) 176:2 first (29) 3:14 4:3 5:13 8:24.25 13:21 22:14 45:11 58-14 59-22 61-22 70-4 74:10 77:18 86:8 91:16 104:21 118:2 129:3 134:8 154:20 156:9 159:12,21 174:20 175:18 179:23 186:25 197:2 fit (5) 88:3 108:21 156:13 201:6 206:1 five (1) 154:10 flesh (1) 146:7 flex (1) 183:17 floor (1) 56:16 flow (2) 13:19 29:17 flowing (2) 8:7,13 flows (1) 8:11 fluctuate (1) 181:25 fluctuates (1) 181:22 focal (1) 104:6 focus (24) 33:7 41:25 42:19 43:4 45:5,16 48:18,21 63:21 64:12 89:15 99:17 131:18,21 132:8,12 136:5,7 152:7 168:18 175:7 184:20 196:16 203:1 focused (7) 47:25 127:25 140:16 160:10 167:8.15 200:11 focuses (1) 196:15 focusing (2) 9:18 167:11 foiled (1) 62:24 follow (6) 80:4 82:21 103:6 111:24 130:24 179:11 followed (4) 44:5 115:1 172:11 198:23 following (9) 10:3 45:11 61:23 80:15 104:12,14 122:18 158:24 159:6 follows (2) 26:7 60:12 followup (1) 201:18 food (1) 177:8 footfall (1) 122:7 force (6) 65:9,10,12,14 78:2 171:24 forces (3) 118:15 164:2 172:8 foreign (1) 18:5 forensics (1) 3:21 foreword (1) 128:20 forget (3) 63:23 76:2 83:3 forgive (1) 127:17 forgotten (1) 84:6 form (8) 2:6 55:22 93:1 135:21 179:1 197:25 198:5,18 formalised (2) 159:14,23 formed (2) 2:15 200:9 formerly (1) 157:2 forms (3) 63:24 153:5 165:11 formula (2) 167:13 168:9 forums (4) 7:22.22 8:6 37:15 forward (27) 29:3 30:9 39:1 40:1 49:3 58:23 59:4 65:17 72:4,24 75:3 88:11 90:2 92:1,10,23 93:12 94:17,20 96:4,18 115:10 133:24 134:2.7 158:22 175:5 found (5) 13:1 28:8 68:4

foundation (1) 178:19 four (14) 4:11.13 5:12 7:15 8:12 13:8,20 49:21 50:3,19 52:19.21.23 158:1 frame (1) 178:17 framework (8) 18:14 115:14,15 116:11 121:16 162:11 177:10 198:2 france (3) 146:18,23 148:1 free (11) 34:19,19,20,20 53:24 54:4 55:4.9 57:6 193:20 199:3 freely (3) 46:10 53:13 89:20 french (1) 146:18 frequent (2) 189:21 204:19 frequented (2) 25:14 142:10 frequently (2) 20:10 190:5 friends (1) 152:22 front (3) 46:4 54:4 97:17 frustrating (3) 95:4,4,5 frustration (1) 131:4 fulfil (1) 87:16 full (4) 1:24 21:21 144:11 fullon (1) 90:17 fully (3) 178:3,20 179:2 function (3) 4:25 6:5 174:5 functions (2) 73:8 85:18 fundamental (1) 204:10 fundamentally (1) 121:12 fundamentals (1) 126:5 funded (1) 34:17 funding (3) 101:10,21 102:21 funds (1) 34:18 furlough (1) 136:6 furloughed (1) 125:23 further (15) 9:2 27:21 47:14 58:16 59:6 77:10 86:6 129:25 130:9 143:12.17 163:8 167:25 186:23 191:10 future (7) 44:10 48:11 52:15 59:23 71:8 73:11 186:6 gap (2) 144:14 175:3 gaps (7) 50:22 72:11,12 175:19,20,22,25 gave (3) 55:13 64:21 174:17 gdpr (3) 176:4 197:19 198:4

general (16) 4:2 15:19 34:10 39:18.19.21 101:24 106:11 121:25 122:1 142:15 147:7 148:20 166:2 169:16 187:20 generally (6) 49:13 107:19 125:12 148:22 162:7 188:2 gentleman (1) 1:5 get (52) 5:10 8:16 16:18 17:11 19:5,23 21:11 24:1 28:20 29:5 37:19 42:15 52:12 55:5 56:16 59:20 60:8 61:3 79:5 82:20 91:17 95:6,19 107:25 112:4,18 117:13 121:4.5.6.8 124:11.24 126:20 134:25 139:3 140:16.20 141:16 151:24,24 163:1 164:14 179:3 181:8 182:4,5,9,20,22 183:7 185:2 gets (2) 111:21 113:8 getting (14) 5:18,24 25:3 49:23 57:11 68:3 97:8.12 113:14 139:8 172:4 173:3 181:11 200:1 give (29) 2:25 5:4 21:13 39:9 73:11,24 78:5,19 100:23 103:15 109:14 132:16 133:3 137:10.10 144:22 156:10 163:1 167:20 174:9 182:11 185:25 187:19.22 188:8,16,18 189:5 191:12 given (22) 12:12 17:5 35:7,23 68:18 88:24 97:23 110:13 126:15 131:6

133-5 10 138-11 140-5 98:1 105:7.15 116:23 143:5.23 146:12 152:7 117:15 121:11 122:24 172:9 180:15 191:17 203:7 127:6.22 150:23 gives (4) 77:14 81:25 151:1.7.11.16 152:12.13 164:7 184:19 186:6 189:9 giving (11) 68:12 73:9,10 190:4 191:8 193:11 194:22 79:21 123:12 127:13 195:1,5,12,17 200:2 203:4 141:13 153:24 181:20 205:3,12,19 207:4,9 great (2) 78:23 201:22 glasgow (1) 199:2 greater (13) 42:19 43:4 global (3) 22:19 162:17 globally (1) 175:13 gmp (3) 65:11 105:13 186:7 203:12 goes (3) 118:11 168:13 206:5 going (129) 3:5,7,23 5:4,6 6:9.17.22 7:9.10 8:15 10:19 11:12 18:25 19:17 20:15.20 22:8 24:1.7.10.12 27:15,20,21 28:22 30:14 31:23 33:13 35:13,22 36:12 39:1.5 40:1 41:19 199:19 42:15,23 45:23 49:3 50:1,4 51:3,13,13 55:11 56:3 58:8,24 59:2 61:20 62:21 73-9 11 15 17 74-3 75:19 24 76:7 77:13 78:17 82:23 83:18 84:4 85:21 86:7 87:24 88:5 89:9 95:25 97:18 98:1,2,3 105:8,10,12 106:5 109:6.8 116:23 123:16 131:6,9,9 134:1,2 136:25 144:10 150:14 151-16 24 24 24 152-1 1 8 153:5.22.24 156:4.8.11 158:22 160:21 164:14 165:9 166:8,16 167:24 171:22 176:10 178:9 186:6,23 188:2 191:8 192:19,21 196:24,25 197:10 198:13,15,17 gold (3) 118:23 119:3.12 gone (6) 58:22 94:2.4

176:7.19

189:15 196:20

190:19

goals (1) 13:22

199:8,9 205:22

139:19,23 178:6

good (24) 1:5 19:15,24

34:22 77:21 78:7 79:22,23

81:1 85:1 95:8 105:22

108:17 114:7 140:11

181:14 182:10 186:2

195:20 197:19

governance (1) 13:9

governing (1) 169:24

government (76) 2:20 4:7

10:15,19 11:2 13:14,15,17

14:14 15:14 16:3 18:13,15

25:9.12.19 26:1.4.5 27:11

28:6.15 31:18 34:17 35:20

42:21 43:13 46:18.21.25

47:7,15 49:13 63:6,11 66:8

86:11,15,24 90:25 102:19

148:14.14.17.21 150:5.11

156:16.24 159:21 166:11

governmental (3) 139:13

vernments (8) 15:21

grande (3) 146:8 147:1.5

grateful (3) 128:11 151:3

greaney (60) 1:5,12,18,22,23

27:21 34:13.25 37:5 44:13

52:18 55:11 57:16 61:7.19

64:18 79:16 84:8,9,10 85:8

12:24 13:6 20:15 25:2

27:22,23 56:3 93:24 104:6

103:17,18 122:20 125:7

129:16,23 130:6.12.20

132:7,17 139:3,16

140:3.6.8.15

178:4 197:9,15

130:22 159:12

grant (2) 77:17.21

graphic (1) 127:17

141:2.12

7:21 8:4,17,19 9:10

146:23 172:11 175:11.12

91:22 97:8 121:14.15.23 122:5.8 170:11 186:11 191:1 202:14 greatest (3) 41:9 197:22 grey (6) 38:17 39:23 50:10 77:14 107:17,18 ground (1) 51:5 group (19) 8:10 12:7,10 24:21 29:7.8.12.18.23 30:2,10 42:19,20 43:19 162:18,19 166:5 185:25 groups (12) 23:1 37:12 48:2 50:7,7,8 53:7 63:19 147:12,12,14 162:20 grow (1) 202:25 uarding (2) 32:2 96:10 guidance (60) 25:10,13 28:16,21 31:1,19 32:13 34:20 37:18,21 38:4 39:9 43:9 45:1.17.21 46:12 48:10 54:5 55:4 70:5,7,11,12,15,16,18 71:2 74-21 77-3 5 80:14.16.19.20.23.25 81:8,8,16 93:24 95:2 109:15,25 110:12,16 111:9.20 114:6 116:11 158:14 159:11,20 163:18 166:1 168:24 169:3 174:6,13 188:16 guidances (1) 32:1 guilds (1) 179:3 hadnt (3) 139:4 176:25 200:24 half (1) 58:24 halfway (1) 119:5 hand (1) 94:8 handle (1) 36:18 handling (1) 176:5 handover (1) 51:20 happen (15) 8:7 42:16 54:9,19,21 79:8 80:8 90:14 91:9,18 127:15 134:1 143:23 180:7 202:6 happened (4) 35:17 63:25 137:22 194:17 happening (8) 5:24 56:24 78:21 100:13 135:2 142:7.7 201:9 happens (6) 6:3 44:3 64:8 149:17 194:13.15

happy (5) 84:5 116:20,25 194:3 205:1 hard (3) 92:16 206:4,7 harder (1) 155:22 harm (1) 14:12 harmful (1) 22:16 harrods (1) 148:4 harsh (1) 127:16 hasnt (7) 83:12 94:2 100:3 116:15 125:20 137:16 157:15 haulage (1) 162:22 havent (12) 34:6 64:13 75:24 78:10 117:5,20 123:18 128:17 139:22

98:6,9 100:24 103:14

140-14 171-9 188-14

having (19) 8:3 17:8 39:14

55:4 56:6 72:13,14 87:7

91:10 93:3 97:24 120:17

181:25 183:18 200:19

125:1 138:19 149:9 151:20

134:11

13:17

203:11

126:14

204:22

204:17

188:1

187:1.3

141:21

161:6

involvement (2) 101:9

involves (1) 43:8

involving (1) 196:4

ireland (3) 72:20 80:7 86:16

161:19

ion (1) 8:15

ira (1) 148:3

isc (2) 115:19,24

instead (1) 76:6

institution (1) 81:11

inconvenient (1) 152:3

hawk (1) 101:13 hazardous (2) 23:18 160:13 hbms (1) 189:10 head (8) 2:10 29:18 57:9 73:5 83:24 112:4 121:5 155:18 headed (2) 109:8 115:13 headquarters (5) 43:16 157:4,5,13,16 heads (1) 112:2 health (16) 16:20 50:5 56:15 66:1.11.14.25 67:16 68:5.15 116:5 136:7 140:12 194:7,12 197:11 hear (17) 1:13 3:7 32:6 33:13 35:22 61:24 62:2,23 84:20 101:11 105:24 134:11 138:23.25 144:17 145:14 166:10 heard (19) 15:20 38:18 39:11 84:25 93:7 98:9 101:10 108:11 109:18 113:2 135:13 145:17.18 152:6 156:6,16 161:2 162:9 183:6 hearing (1) 63:17 hearings (1) 7:13 heart (1) 203:9 heartfelt (1) 152:19 heavily (5) 3:8 17:23 163:7 164:7.8 heavy (1) 203:9 heed (1) 93:24 held (5) 2:8,17 85:24 104:21 105.2 help (16) 2:19 7:10 8:16 16:16 19:1,10 49:24 52:12 116:9 124:19 125:17 135:15.16 152:7 162:2 helped (2) 84:7 116:15 helpful (12) 3:25 13:1 14:1 52:12 84:12 111:19,20 120:9 137:6.7.8 144:23 helping (2) 60:15 187:9 here (25) 35:11 37:3 41:16 49:24 50:10 60:13 63:25 74:25 106:18 107:12 113:7 121:20 123:12 135:6 137:6 141:23 142:1 148:12 151:20 159:8 188:20 189:1 192:7 194:8 200:23 heres (2) 71:17 188:1 hes (1) 127:8 hesitate (2) 127:11,13 hide (1) 57:2 high (11) 26:14 32:13 80:22 81:1 109:3 113:6 166:19 174:6 180:24 181:8,18 highdensity (1) 109:4 higher (7) 30:3 121:22 122:6.6 146:19 181:13 202:19 highest (1) 204:7 highlevel (1) 5:11 highlight (1) 39:21 highlighted (3) 113:13 142:25 201:11 highlights (1) 83:15 highly (1) 145:8 highprofile (1) 23:1 highways (1) 106:23 hipgrave (23) 1:6,8,9,23,25 4:1 61:7,20 74:2 85:9 98:5 105:7,22 113:23 126:24 127:24 131:4 151:1.8 156:7 174:17 193:22 207:3 hire (1) 162:23 history (3) 18:1 118:11 158:25 hold (1) 139:24 holds (1) 75:1 holistic (2) 188:18,19 home (42) 2:6 3:14 11:6.6.8.9.20.22 13:21.22

29:12 34:18 51:11.21 64:22 65:4.5.9.12.13 68:19 69:19 72:15.22 77:3 85:11 86:9 93:24 94:14 96:7 97:5 104:19 109:7 126:4 133:23 141:6 174:25 homogeneous (1) 162:18 honest (1) 112:22 hope (5) 25:2 168:23 174:6 198:15 202:6 hoped (1) 28:23 hopefully (2) 85:2 192:15 horwell (3) 105:13.14.15 hospitals (3) 17:4 26:16 166:22 hostile (7) 31:22 36:19 141:22 182:15 185:3 189:5.11 hotels (2) 26:13 166:19 hour (3) 58:24 195:4,5 house (2) 46:4 129:21 housing (1) 18:12 however (10) 5:11 69:8,21 74:16 110:12 141:13 148:9 150:7 167:8,17 hubs (3) 17:21 26:17 166:23 huge (6) 13:3 69:9 72:18 110-8 149-4 162-14 human (1) 108:6 humility (1) 128:25 hung (2) 127:14,16 hvm (1) 189:11

hvms (1) 189:1

hypothetically (1) 113:21

50:14,15 142:16

85:1 127:19 149:7

ideal (1) 35:25

ideas (1) 83:24

201:19

203:14

39:4 52:11,19,23

ignore (1) 33:22

ignored (1) 77:2

illicit (2) 14:8 21:5

illustrate (1) 38:20

13:3,25 20:20

63:19 64:4.9.24

128:2,10 130:18

id (2) 5:10 116:20

iconic (5) 22:6 47:25 idea (11) 2:25 27:25 42:5 65:1 77:21 78:7 79:23,23 identification (2) 5:20 51:5 identified (7) 41:24 61:12 88:1 93:19 103:5 176:6 identify (16) 6:3,12 27:18 28:13 32:5 36:2,19 65:23 73:3 92:9 93:18 95:22 156:14 180:9 195:18 identifying (6) 6:15 36:22 ill (27) 1:6 15:4 17:5 22:13 25:4 58:16.24 60:9 73:3.17 95:25 102:15 105:19 106:12 110:6 112:12 116:19 127:24 129:20 130:7.17.18 143:1 150:23 152:24 156:10 191:14 illustrated (2) 38:25 39:15 im (139) 1:10,12 3:23,25 8:22 10:19 12:22,23 24:12,19,24,25 29:6 32:4 35:13 39:21.25 40:10 41:19 42:16 58:8 59:2 73:9,11,15,17 74:3 75:18,19 79:10,21,23,24 80:12.12 81:17 83:23.25 84:5,19,21 86:7 87:24 94:16 95:10,25 98:1,3 105:9,12 106:5,14 107:25 109:6.7 110:17.19 111:16 113:16 115:13 117:1 119:2,2,2 120:12 123:2,20 131:6,9,9,14 132:10,15 133:5.12 134:19 136:24

160:2,21 165:16 166:8.9.16 170:14 178:9 179:9 180:16,19 183:5,19 186:23 191:8,20 193:18 195:14 196:24 197:12.14 198:17 200:5 202:5 204:25 205:1.19.21.21.25 206:2 imagine (3) 52:4 124:15 149:10 immature (1) 119:21 immediate (1) 170:2 immediately (2) 87:8 156:24 impact (17) 14:11 70:23 75:8 88:17 93:10.15 96:17 113:14 114:1 124:12 126:19 142:24 146:5 149:19 197:22 200:20 204:8 impactive (1) 176:4 impacts (2) 83:17 125:1 implement (5) 88:20 89:15 129:20 175:24 176:12 implementation (6) 8:10 12:10 16:25 49:4 91:24 177:24 ented (4) 43:10 45:24 118:14 178:7 implementing (3) 11:23 12:19 156:17 implications (4) 68:25 70:6 124:8.14 importance (3) 19:25 77:9 204:5 important (37) 16:7 19:2,2 20:7,10 21:16 25:3 30:8 35:5 37:18 54:10 58:19 60:13 81:4,6 83:17,19 85:22 90:22,25 91:7 93:4 99:22.22.24 106:12 131:22.25 164:15.19.20 170:16 174:14 192:7,25 193:1 198:19 importantly (1) 165:22 impose (6) 57:23 68:24 69:3 74:8,24 81:12 imposed (3) 71:12 88:13 196:25 imposes (1) 66:17 imposing (2) 67:1 198:9 impossible (4) 95:21 165:3 168:2 190:7 improve (5) 22:18 23:24 41:10 48:22 53:3 improved (1) 53:10 improvement (5) 168:18 179:6.22 190:14 201:20 improvements (2) 47:14 180:22 improving (1) 14:3 inaudible (1) 144:22 incident (4) 147:7 194:10,12,21 incidents (4) 14:23 16:23 89:25 173:12 include (18) 6:6 7:3 14:2 26:12,18 42:21 43:15 45:11 47:3 56:17 71:11 82:9 83:6 101:1 124:7 166:17 167:6 191:17 included (2) 19:13 42:19 includes (5) 16:22 18:9 20:8 21:5 43:3 including (12) 14:9 25:20 32:11 54:5 60:15 86:16 106:3,5 128:9 154:15 155:6 165:22 inclusive (4) 26:3 28:5,19 167:7 inconsistently (1) 118:15 inconvenience (1) 205:22

137:6.9 140:12

141:10.11.21.22 142:1

143:9 144:2.10 150:14

151:16.19.23.25 152:3

153:22 156:8.11 158:22

increase (2) 97:1 155:2 increased (5) 42:20 64:11 94:6 118:19 155:7 increasing (2) 21:8 97:7 independent (2) 163:13.22 independently (1) 164:4 indicate (1) 42:11 indicated (3) 127:8 186:25 201:14 indicates (1) 136:11 indication (1) 127:2 indicator (4) 180:20,21 181:13 183:15 individual (12) 30:4,7 47:25 48:22 50:12 65:14 169:23 170:1,8 180:3 183:23 187:25 individuals (5) 19:4 23:2 27:8 137:12 150:2 industrial (1) 15:24 industries (2) 162:16,21 industry (16) 10:16 16:7 37:16 48:12 60:17 61:1 86:23 87:3,3,13 91:11 96:10 112:3 137:19 138:3,9 inevitably (2) 96:25 175:22 influence (1) 121:7 inform (3) 88:10 155:9 165:19 information (15) 23:6 37:12 58:4 89:17 95:2 136:16 150:6,8 162:1 163:8,19 164:19 169:3 187:10 193-19 informationsharing (8) 53:13,20 58:6,10,18 97:15,16 193:22 informed (1) 130:5 informing (1) 160:7 infrastructure (12) 14:6 17:7 21:18 22:1,20 31:19 38:2 124:5 160:14 161:5 164:9 190:21 inhibiting (1) 95:10 initial (2) 126:17 178:19 initialisations (1) 12:13 initiated (3) 45:12 47:8,19 initiative (2) 137:24 138:12 injured (1) 152:22 inperson (1) 125:25 input (2) 45:21 165:2 inputting (1) 182:19 ing (1) 156:10 inq02546616 (1) 198:14 inq0254661819 (1) 204:1 inq02546625 (1) 156:10 ing0254663 (1) 160:21 ing0254667 (1) 170:13 ing0355881 (1) 117:17 ina0370805152 (1) 12:11 ina0385871 (1) 73:14 inq0385877 (1) 73:15 inquests (5) 44:5,6,7 46:2 59:23 inquiry (22) 6:24 35:1 38:18,19 39:6 52:10,13 61:24 98:9 113:12 123:13 125:19 126:2.5.13 128:9 135:20 137:7 138:18.21 205:20 206:9 inquirys (2) 38:24 150:4 inside (1) 77:14 insider (1) 185:11 inspection (4) 67:17 85:18 90:3 189:7 inspector (2) 160:6,8 inspectorate (1) 90:8 inspectorates (1) 90:8 inspectors (2) 68:6 83:11 inspiring (1) 203:1 install (1) 115:22 instance (5) 141:11 142:22 146:25 147:25 148:3

institutions (1) 190:23 instruction (3) 174:15 189:25 190:1 instructions (3) 131:9 132:2 insurance (1) 53:22 integrated (3) 4:24 10:14 integration (1) 91:11 intelligence (11) 6:4 15:8 42:11 154:3 155:1 163:11.20.25 164:4.5 intend (6) 27:25 29:3 49:14 51:4 59:9 171:11 intended (14) 23:24 24:1 49:10,17 53:12 62:6 77:12 84:1 85:25 88:10,11 92:1 133-22 151-4 intent (18) 63:2 67:20 75:5,9,13 92:24 94:16 109:10 111:9 133:24 141:7.7 154:16 200:14 202:2,10,12,15 intention (5) 34:19 53:2 59:4 62:17 109:5 interactive (1) 97:18 interesting (2) 57:12 113:12 interests (5) 4:9 18:8 120:14,23 147:15 interfere (1) 120:15 interference (1) 120:23 interim (3) 60:21 94:23 intermittently (1) 142:18 international (6) 18:7 147:14 163:12 175:14 200:24 internationally (2) 146:13 internet (1) 87:25 interpret (3) 181:19 187:10 interpretation (3) 183:4 interpreted (2) 118:14 intervention (1) 135:21 into (57) 3:5 4:11 5:16 18:17 19:11 22:8,10 29:17 43:20 49:21 50:3.18 51:18.18 56:22 58:22 66:16 67:8.20 77:17.23 79:7 82:13 100:15,23 111:13 112:21 116:1 129:8 135:3 145:19,23 146:12 147:2 153:8 155:10 156:13 158:13 162:23,24 167:14 168:9.10.11.13 173:3 176:22 180:24 181:10 183:23 185:7 186:7 199:8 201:6 204:20.23 205:4 introduce (1) 71:6 introduced (1) 94:10 introduction (1) 52:25 invested (1) 53:21 investigate (3) 6:3 131:11 investigates (1) 164:18 investigation (1) 6:4 investigations (1) 171:4 investment (1) 53:21 invite (3) 6:22 58:25 105:12 involve (7) 14:8 37:16 45:15 52:8 162:19 179:4 195:24 involved (8) 3:9 5:19 19:23 job (6) 78:11 135:9 110:19 128:9 154:14 178:25 180:16 john (145) 1:9,20 12:20,23

islamic (2) 201:7 202:23 isnt (22) 9:13 10:15 51:11 63:3 65:5,9 81:2 91:13 97:7.17 110:14.21 113:7 119:11.16 120:8 129:8 131:10 133:4 140:9 170:22 194:11 isolation (1) 4:22 isp (2) 57:7 58:6 issues (14) 7:12 16:16 18:25 28:24 31:9 67:23 68:1,1 79:18 110:24 127:25 158:18 163:15 201:12 itd (1) 46:21 iterating (1) 9:19 iterations (4) 8:23 9:3,6 199:13 itll (4) 54:19 56:15 57:12 84-21 its (217) 2:23 3:25 4:9 5:20 6:4 8:3 9:18,20,21 11:1,2,4 12:9,9,18 13:8 14:16 15:16.16 16:7.14 17:16 18:15 20:6 24:8,19,19,22 26:11 27:19 28:6,10,16,18,18,19,23 31-3 11 34-19 21 36-12 37-18 39-19 44-11 46:10,11 51:17,20 52:12 53:23 55:5,20,22 56:3,4 57:11 58:13.13.14 59:20 60:7 62:6 63:14 64:7,9,16,19 65:7 67:7,8 69:14 71:16 78:11,24 79:23 80:16 81:6 82:4 83:17.19 84:2 85:16 88:6,11 89:9 90:21 91:6,14,21 93:15 95:4,4,4 97:3,5,5,5,8,18,18 99:24 101:7 102:2 103:3,4,9 107:24 108:17 109:15,15,17 110:13,13,15,18,20 112:9.17.21.23 113:12 116:16 117:8.10.12.13 119:15 120:12 122:10 123:10,10,12,15,16,19 125:1,2,3,6,20 128:3 129:10 131:4 132:10,12,15,17 134:24 135:22 136:1.8.11 138:17 139:5.6 140:8 143:11.18 145:7 148:5.25 149:17 150:16,19 151:11,25 152:3 154:5,18 157:21 158:11,15 160:16 162:18,18 163:24 166:11 167:6 170:24 172:3,6,15 173:20,21 174:14 175:13 178:22 179:15 180:20.21 181:13.22 182:1.13.18 183:1.15.23 185:17 186:13 189:19 192:10,12,20 193:1 194:14 195:7,23 196:12 197:22,23 198:15 200:1 203-9 204-18 206-4 itself (6) 2:15 104:21 109:21 166:4 180:5,15 ive (18) 31:14 37:3 38:18 43:14 61:5 74:9 84:6.10.18 123:14 145:17 155:17 161:7,21,23 173:12 182:22 184:19

141:15.16 165:14.14

13:2 19:12,17,21,23

20:9.13 25:1 27:13

52:17 54:12.15.23

76:16 77:2.9.23

78:2.5.10.14.17.23

55:21,25 57:12 61:15

63:13 64:2,6,16 75:19

34:2.9.14.22 37:2 44:8.11

79:13.17.21 80:4.10.12.25 81:6.14.17.20.23 82:18 83:2.22 84:5.14.18.25 85:7 96:24 97:12:25 112:14:23 113:1.18.21 116:25 117:2 121:20 123:22 127:1.11.16.18.21 128:2 129:19 130:25 132:17 133:15 137:10 139:19,22 140:20,25 142:2 143:16 145:18 146:1 147:6 148:20.25 149:6.13 150:22 151:3.10.19.23 152:6.11 163:22 181:8 182:2,7,22 183:5,12 184:2,6 185:18 187:19,22,25 188:6,8,19 189:24 190:3 191:14,23 192:3.12.17.24 193:9 194:11 195:3.6.14 200:1 201:22 202:1.3.20 203:3 204:25 205:15,25 206:3 join (2) 152:17,24 joined (1) 148:24 joining (2) 3:14 105:12 joint (4) 2:10 102:21 163:10 192:14 jointly (2) 155:1 187:7 iol (1) 102:22 jsarc (5) 2:13,19 3:1,11 60:25 jtac (5) 161:3 163:10,10,19 164:24 judged (1) 25:15 judgement (2) 182:13,18 july (3) 9:4 25:18 29:6 jump (1) 158:22 jumping (1) 167:2 june (6) 2:8 10:2,10 201:18 203:4.14 justify (1) 193:5

keen (3) 64:20 98:5 127:22 keep (3) 74:2 108:24 183:5 keeping (2) 35:5 200:2 kept (1) 151:20 key (8) 18:15 53:7 54:4 57:18 96:8 114:9 162:20 166:7 kind (6) 3:12 28:16 74:10,24 83:16 148:15 kingdom (4) 4:8 154:12 156:5 169:8 kingdoms (1) 4:4 knew (1) 194:19 knife (1) 202:13 knives (1) 154:17 knockon (1) 120:24 know (61) 9:16.16 30:20 32:24 35:15.16 38:2 39:23 43:1 44:8,9 51:14 52:1,5 55:21 56:25 59:18 64:19,24 69:12 73:3,13,20 78:15.16 79:10 83:18 84:14 89:23 98:5 100:21 105:7 119:3.20.24

124:6.16 128:8.11 132:15 143:6 144:24 146:8 147:5 148:3 155:5 158:17 160:15 161:3,22 166:17 171:3 180:18 184:9,21 185:19 190:13 192:24 197:9 202:16.17 knowing (1) 195:12

knowledge (4) 23:6 158:12 178:15 188:15

known (13) 2:13 3:24 4:5 11:16 40:8 98:17 117:5 123:15 157:2,5 199:5,5 204:18

knows (2) 51:7 195:21

lack (2) 60:7 128:23 land (2) 17:19 195:21

20:24 21:1,21 28:7,25

large (6) 81:20 110:23 111:18 113:8 122:3 200:15 larger (6) 54:2 111:21 121:12.13.18.18 last (9) 1:10 44:1 58:12 60:3 64:11 94:6 133:22 161:8,17 lasting (1) 149:18 late (1) 200:1 later (11) 20:16 22:3 24:7,11 30:15 63:15 64:3 105:8 113:3 172:1 174:23 latest (3) 45:21 102:2.3 latterly (1) 175:7 launched (1) 203:20 layers (1) 196:6 laypersons (1) 167:4 lead (7) 7:16 11:22 16:6 105:18 156:16 158:1.1 leader (1) 7:20 leadership (1) 8:13 leading (2) 7:18 187:17 leads (7) 13:19 17:19 18:7 45:3 69:23 155:1 158:2 learn (1) 157:10 learned (9) 9:11 10:12 62:7.10 102:20 103:10 128-22 147-18 19 learning (10) 9:13 45:4 47:20 62:11 63:5 99:8 102:22 103:8,11 157:21 least (12) 5:4 6:10 83:24 93:1 95:21 136:18,19 141:12,13 151:24 160:16 192-1 leave (6) 69:9 72:25 106:5 107:11 150:4 151:9 leaving (1) 51:11 lecture (2) 83:25 84:2 led (9) 29:13 122:19 157:23 159:23 160:5,6 170:5 194:21 204:9 left (4) 108:23 120:15,24 127:8 legal (7) 16:3 38:10 80:15,21 115:23 177:10 198:1 legislation (99) 20:17 27:13,16 29:3 51:4,10 52:8,15,18 53:1 54:25 55:12,22 57:20,22 58:9 59:2,12,22.25 60:1.4 18 61:9.21 64:19 65:18.20.23 66:8 67:6.20 68:19 69:18 72:4,16,17,19,21,23 75:3,5,7,11,18 76:6 82:1,15 83:8,16 87:9,11 88:11 89:2 90:2,14 91:5,14,15,18 93:9 94:9,19 96:16,18 112:7 113:13,23 114:1.4 116:12.14 123:11.14 124:8.11.25 126:18 129:8.25 130:8,12,23 134:7 175:5,15 176:16,17 177:17 178:1 191:16.20 192:4.22 193-7 194-7 14 197-21 198:6 legislative (7) 25:6 47:5 54:16 59:3.5 116:2 129:10 leisure (1) 50:6 lengthened (1) 28:19 less (7) 44:17 47:25 72:12 95:17 114:25 116:19 125:8 lesser (1) 153:21 lessons (10) 9:11 10:12 62:6,9,11 102:5,20 103:10 147:17.19 let (9) 73:20 118:13 137:21 139:22 141:15 145:22 146:7 147:24 197:15 lets (11) 52:18 65:16 76:1,2 85:9 119:5 173:16 181:3 195:17 196:6 202:5 level (27) 5:1 8:14 11:15 30:3.4 32:22 37:13 64:11

101:4.8 103:17 113:6 144:25 145:2.4.12.14 146:6.12 155:7 164:13 168:14 levels (1) 163:14 lewiss (1) 148:5 lgbt (1) 50:7 liaise (2) 31:6 173:23 liaising (1) 84:10 liaison (1) 86:22 licence (14) 70:11 71:12 76:11.12.12.13.22.23 77:17.19 81:11 82:2.19 83:12 licenceholder (1) 70:13 licences (10) 70:21 71:8,10 74:17,22 78:15 79:12 81:16,21 82:5 licensable (2) 69:23 70:22 licensed (1) 169:13 licensing (35) 19:19 20:16 66:5 69:12,15,18 70:1,5 71:4.17 72:25 74:8 75:20 76:5,10,17,18,19 77:5,11,25 78:6 79:1,25 80:16,22 81:9 82:11,25 83:3 84:4.12.16 90:18.18 lies (2) 57:14 106:16 life (2) 56:3 204:18 light (3) 46:17 89:18 129:3 like (34) 5:10 19:21 33:19 34:5.16 38:17 54:2 57:8 59:17 60:4,6,7,23 65:3 74:12 76:7 77:13 83:25 89-7 108-8 112-16 116-16 119:2 122:7 137:19 138:22 152:18 179:14,15 182:7 183:8 186:4 195:3,20 likelihood (1) 121:23 likely (7) 89:15 91:24 121:13 122:5,7 127:3 145:8 likewise (1) 106:24 limit (1) 67:9 limitations (4) 67:5 74:6 80:6 87:15 limited (9) 71:22,25 72:1,3 74:23 75:2 79:11 80:9 limits (1) 74:17 line (3) 102:2 120:7 137:1 lines (1) 108:22 link (1) 105:12 links (1) 54:15 liquor (2) 76:12 82:11 list (12) 12:13 27:18 37:3 68:16 69:11 138:11,15 185:15 200:15,15 201:2,19 listen (1) 192:1 listening (2) 44:9 93:17 literature (1) 158:18 litigation (1) 96:25 little (12) 10:20 20:16 30:14 55:17 58:16 75:19 100:11 112:14 167:2 171:22 172:21 174:23 live (2) 173:4,5 lives (4) 14:12 48:3 134:17 135:8 II (1) 115:20 local (29) 14:22 18:12.14.16 24:3 25:23 32:11 37:17 51:17 54:1 69:7 76:13 77:3 major (1) 15:22 82:24 87:1 96:9 99:11 majority (1) 172:22 100:12.14 101:4.6.20 maker (1) 195:22 104:9 106:23 137:18 makers (1) 121:4 165:20 169:24 172:14 makes (3) 27:10 126:6 204:23 176:11 localities (1) 53:8 making (15) 21:21 33:21 locally (6) 78:2 101:18 40:2,2 52:23 55:12 67:13

102:11 172:16 173:18,20

35:11 39:4 51:12.16.22

55:2.10 58:1 91:12 104:1

143:4 144:6 168:13 175:25

location (17) 17:22 26:8

locations (67) 3:11 5:8

177:20

68:5 86:1 96:7 113:13

managed (3) 15:16 25:23

39:13 99:2 205:20

anagement (5) 15:14,21

manage (1) 101:5

155:1

114:1 122:3 126:14 198:5

6:13.18 17:3 20:4 22:4.6 24:10.24 25:14 26:25 28:2 29:2 30:2.5.7.11 36:12 39:3 40:21 41:3.5 43:2 45:13.18.20 48:17.23 49:2,6,8,14,21 50:4 51:2 55:14,14,16 59:7 67:6,10,14 69:6 70:19,22,24 72:2,11 75:14 204:23 90:20 93:21 107:16 110:9 manchesters (1) 80:1 115:5 122:16 142:10 mandated (1) 70:10 144:11.11.13 146:18 mandates (1) 115:15 166:25 167:10 197:1 201:3 203:22,23 mandation (1) 74:19 locked (1) 57:2 mandatory (9) 71:7 74:8 london (9) 10:3 44:5,21 46:17 153:14 154:1 170:23 162:12 177:3 183:20 donbased (1) 171:4 long (18) 7:14 13:4 28:4.8 54:17 56:14 58:23 59:17,20 60:6 95:16 117:5 121:19 128:3 140:20 142:14 190:9 195:3 longer (5) 99:22 116:16 marauding (2) 63:20,25 151:3 190:15 199:10 march (7) 9:4 25:12 ongest (1) 95:22 longterm (1) 170:2 201:11 maritime (1) 17:19 look (36) 23:16,16,17 27:22 42:23 45:23 51:5 52:18 mark (1) 154:2 55:11 60:4 61:8,21 76:1,25 marker (2) 182:3,11 78:24 79:1 80:11.12 98:20 100:23 112:21 114:13 marking (1) 182:2 marks (4) 182:4,5,9,12 117:17 141:18,18 164:3 167:24 170:22 175:13 179:14 181:16 182:25 185:22 200:23 201:3 202:7 looked (7) 61:10 71:21,23 80:13 112:10 170:25 181:25 175:8 looking (25) 28:16 29:23 mass (1) 16:23 30:2,7 34:11 59:25 69:5 matches (2) 183:8,9 72:7.9 75:20 76:4.21 80:13 material (1) 53:16 94:14 111:4 116:13 123:18 167:11 181:15 182:10 22:16 23:6 131:12 186:14 193:18 194:22 matter (12) 64:19 65:14 201:1 203:21 75:1 84:10 111:9,15 looks (4) 67:6 173:13 179:15 186:4 178:14 205:4 lose (1) 135:8 lost (1) 134:16 lot (13) 33:19 58:22 59:21 114:25 116:14 142:18 mature (1) 67:17 146:13 149:9,13,16 161:24 162:12 189:3 lots (3) 67:14 149:4 184:25 194:22 loved (1) 61:25 mcgahey (4) 127:6,20 low (6) 55:18 89:15 150:23,25 145:2,15,19 184:25 meal (1) 56:21 lowkey (1) 184:10 mean (33) 7:18 22:2,7 lucy (4) 35:16 151:18 152:16 207:8 lulled (2) 180:24 181:9 lunch (2) 127:1 128:5 main (3) 49:24 161:7 165:19 185:9.13 mainly (2) 42:25 43:14 maintain (1) 99:12 maintaining (1) 16:22 majestys (1) 156:24

163-23 170-21 176-20 179:14 181:15 182:3 meaningful (14) 45:4 93:4.9.11 95:6.20 96:5 124:23 125:4,8 131:21 132:9 136:3,4 meaningfully (1) 92:16 means (12) 31:16 64:2 78:11 87:13,13,21 98:24 169:23 184:21 187:10 197:25 202:10 meant (4) 13:2 176:19 199:25 202:21 meantime (1) 96:6 measure (2) 129:4 164:12 measured (1) 193:24 measures (19) 31:7.15.20.22.24 36:9 65:21 67:4 74:15 75:16 80:8 88:22 89:16 118:19

managers (2) 36:17 46:4 123:8 173:25 180:9 181:14 manages (2) 18:21 23:14 194:4 manchester (24) 10:4 29:24 mechanism (2) 15:14.21 41:12.17 50:15 59:14 73:4 media (5) 19:16 56:13 64:10 74:22 78:16 103:18 104:12 69:22 146:22 111:3 130:21 138:14 medium (1) 54:1 144:6.18 147:20 148:4.5 meet (5) 15:18 29:21 43:21 154:1 155:6 170:10,11 88:6 120:3 meeting (2) 104:21 192:4 meetings (8) 8:6 15:20 48:7 105:2.4 125:25 138:6 141:9 mandating (2) 74:16 115:21 member (3) 87:6 134:19 178:3 members (4) 26:9 35:2 82:1,3,10,12 83:7 116:10 45:19 160:4 membership (2) 43:6,12 many (26) 13:15 27:7 33:16 mention (2) 19:17 77:6 37:19 45:17 19 52:15 mentioned (13) 13:21 37:21 55:16 67:12.19 83:17 43:14 61:9 62:20 87:18 89:4 91:9 110:8 116:3 92:11 93:6 97:23 112:1 120:20 124:13 126:21 133:21 162:25 201:12 message (1) 121:7 127:2.3 129:22 160:23 182:11 183:5 188:11 203:5 messages (2) 16:9 45:3 messaging (3) 17:11 37:17,19 154:12.25 159:13.22 messing (1) 195:16 met (3) 60:24 119:13 128:22 method (7) 199:6,8,9,14,21 200:9 201:16 methodologies (10) 88:15 markets (2) 26:15 166:20 89:17 154:8,15 179:16,19 180:1 181:16 196:16,17 methodology (4) 144:13 martyns (21) 27:24 60:14 202:13 24 203:2 76:7 77:10 82:7.9 84:23 methods (2) 49:11 172:2 86:19 93:6 106:6 127:8 metropolitan (4) 128:15 152:17,25 153:12 128:17 129:5,9,17,21 136:17 137:24 138:4 141:3 mezzanine (1) 39:17 mhclg (1) 140:13 mi5 (7) 11:11 12:1 155:1,18 161:5 164:16,24 middle (1) 58:20 materials (6) 6:19 14:9 21:5 might (28) 31:7 35:3.10.13.14 39:11 42:2.4 54:20 55:15 59:17 87:6 89:2 93:17 95:22 180:10 123:12 133:4,9 147:1 181:1,17 182:16 184:9,9,14,23 186:9 matters (10) 22:10 31:11 190:5,15 195:21 196:20 61:22 86:5 134:22 141:17 military (1) 155:10 142:4 161:19 168:6 170:3 million (4) 50:16 111:6,11 121:21 maybe (7) 63:23 85:3 113:1 mind (8) 30:9 38:23 80:14 114:23 167:2 185:22 86:20 112:14 127:3 178:5 184:22 mindset (2) 53:18 54:7 minimum (3) 181:23 189:18.20 minister (12) 8:9 11:1,3,8 24:6.17 33:8 38:10 39:19 15:17 86:14.25 104:24 44:23 50:25 57:5 67:22.23 126:3.8 132:13.19 70:15,17 78:12 87:12 ministerial (6) 53:2 63:2 100:25 107:6,6 115:2 85:9 92:24 100:16 133:11 124:18 129:5 133:21 134:4 ministers (35) 11:4 15:17 59:4.9.13 60:5 61:3 75:8.9 86:14,15 94:14 95:5 115:12 116:6 123:12.15 125:20 129:17 130:22 131:20.22 132:2.4.8 133:3,6,7,19 138:5,25 139:8,24 141:6 174:21 ministries (1) 19:13 ministry (4) 18:12,18,20,21 minor (1) 64:19 minute (1) 81:18 minutes (3) 116:20,23 127:15 miss (1) 170:16 missed (1) 8:21 missing (1) 69:10

125.6

196:2 204:6

179:18 193:15 194:24

mitigating (9) 6:16 14:11 33:2 64:12,14 65:21 67:3 75:16 107:22 mitigation (4) 57:3 108:15 182:16 189:11 mitigations (8) 31:22 43:9 70:6 74:25 111:19 120:3,6 mixed (1) 189:19 model (25) 41:20 46:20 47:1.22 89:3 118:8.11.14.17.24 119:12.14.18 167:11,23,24,24,25 175:14 200:8,11 201:1 203:19 modules (2) 178:22,22 moment (27) 10:18 28:4 51:4 58:8 61:20 79:6.8 85:24 88:8 90:6 94:11 95:15,25 97:2,3 127:20 132:17 136:8 140:13,13 143:16 154:2 158:23 189:12 198:20 202:16 205:12 moments (3) 8:15 86:7 151-12 monday (4) 205:14,24 206:4,10 mondays (1) 191:12 money (1) 122:4 monitored (1) 104:3 months (9) 29:21 43:23 44:2 59:14 96:12 122:25 129:23 139-14 18 more (97) 2:21 3:4 5:5.7 10:13,20 12:9 16:10 20:5 21:19 22:1,11 24:2,11 26:3,10 28:5,19 30:4 32:7 39:17,19 40:25 42:21 44:16 46:22 47:4 48:1 49:13 57:17 62:12 63:15.23 72:12 78:18.19 84:20 85:3 91:23 95:18 97:5 99:25 100:1 108:11,14,15,18 110:25 111:21,22 112:15,20,21 117:11,13 119:15,24 121:14,22 122:10 127:2 130:17 135:16 139:6 142:20 143:6 152:8 155:3.21.22 157:10.21 162:7 168:15 171:22 172:21 173:16 176:9 177:6 182:18 183:4,7 184:15,16,20 188:18 189:21 190:5,11 192:22,24,25 196:11 197:21 201:2 202:21 203:5 moreover (3) 41:9 92:19 95:9 morning (4) 1:5 147:17 157:19 162:9 most (17) 20:9,10 22:9,20,23 25:3 41:6 45:5 84:6 89:14 90-21 93-23 95-9 163-7 168:19 169:3 203:23 mott (1) 19:8 move (16) 18:20 27:17.20.21.24 75:18 95:25 106:13 112:13 119:5 130:18 133:24 134:6 142:4 196:24 203:19 moved (3) 51:17 95:1 198:13 moving (7) 40:13 51:19 120:11,19,20 144:12 147:11 ms (4) 127:6.20 150:23.25 much (47) 1:16 22:8 32:18 55:12 61:7 85:8 96:21,21 98:2 103:12 105:7,11 106:1 113:5 117:3 misunderstood (2) 34:15 126:7.24 128:2 135:16 mitigate (7) 135:10 145:11 138:13 142:6 149:15

167:5.6 171:16 173:3 176-23 182-2 18 184:3.15.16 189:21 193:14 202:15.15.21.22 206:6 multidimensional (1) 155:23 multilayered (1) 21:2 multiple (4) 138:3 183:20 186:15 190:20 murdered (1) 152:21 murderers (1) 148:7 murderous (1) 150:2 murray (14) 55:13 60:14 79:22 93:5 94:16 106:5 122:19 128:9,22 130:10 135:19 137:23 141:6 177:7 murrays (4) 134:11 136:17 138:1 175:7 music (2) 26:13 166:18 must (9) 35:17 54:10 76:22 81:2 83:3 109:22 111:24 130:23 168:10 mustnt (2) 111:24 130:24 myself (5) 16:6 17:15 43:20 86:25 157:25 mystery (1) 90:18

n (1) 207:1 nactso (38) 30:20,24 31:17 34:20 37:24 48:9 57:7 109:9 111:20 114:5 118:4 158:8,9 159:1,2,3,18,18 160:3.5.15.23 163:7 165:10.11.14.17 166:4 168:21 169:14 171:20 172:9,19,24 173:2,17 178:11 199:19 204:5.8.21 204:17

nactsos (2) 165:19 172:23 nailed (1) 28:18 name (2) 1:24 152:15 namely (11) 9:3 30:6 35:5 39:13 50:1 61:9 66:1 76:20 101:11 103:23 167:25 names (1) 56:10 narrow (1) 200:3 nation (1) 140:6 national (54) 7:7 8:8,9 10:22 11:4 12:9 14:24.25 15:2.5.6 17:15 22:20 30:19 31:18 38:1 43:15 98:14.20.24 99:1.18.21 100:2,11 101:4,8,25 102:3 117:23 144:25 145:4 153:6.18.19 157:2.3.11.12 158:6 159:12.21 160:14 161:5,6 164:9,18 165:15.17 169:13.19 nationality (1) 147:8 nationally (8) 100:13 146:13 153:13 173:14,19,21,22 nature (9) 26:11 31:9 47:21 114:8 115:11 146:3 162:25 174:18 190:8 nctnha (1) 165:12 near (2) 73:11 186:6 necessarily (14) 26:20 41:25 43:2 63:14 70:12 97:7 132:10 136:14 146:16 172:9 182:1 184:6.8 185:4 ecessary (8) 9:7 83:13 115:22 129:18 149:22 170:14 187:1 191:11 need (50) 14:14 17:11 22:9 30:8 33:22.22.24 34:2 44:8 53:16 56:15 66:16 71:13,15 72:10 80:11 90:3 101:25 102:6 110:25 112-20 114-13 115-18 23 116:10 122:20 129:19 139:20 151:7 154:18 157:10 163:8 173:5,6 177:17,22 178:23,24 181:19 183:21 185:6.12

98:20 99:11,22 100:2,17

150:22 151:4 152:13.23

158:15 162:1 166:3,7

192-18 193-9 197-2 24 201:1 203:7 204:25 205:3 needed (14) 14:9 21:6 47:22 72:19 74:24 91:8 96:18 120:3.6 130:13 143:24 147:22 148:18 159:5 needs (21) 39:3 57:19 74:19 90:13 93:11 94:9 95:8,12 111:12 112:6,9 115:14,15 122:21 140:23 154:19 176:8 177:20 23 197:7 198:6 negative (1) 74:14 neil (2) 157:24 170:6 nervous (1) 180:19 network (9) 30:24 99:9 165:24 168:3 169:6,7 171:1 173:18.20 neutral (1) 164:3 never (7) 28:17 54:19 84:3 180:12 181:3,4 202:6 nevertheless (3) 34:23 110:18 204:22 next (26) 1:12 3:23 6:1,9 18:5 20:20 21:13 25:18 38:9 41:19 58:15,17,20 73:10 84:16 105:12 113:1 139:21 151:8 16 156:4 166:8 173:16 178:9 195:13 205:20 nhs (1) 17:1 nick (1) 128:12 night (1) 142:19 nightclub (1) 199:1 noes (1) 182:20 nonapplication (1) 119:13 none (2) 94:18 138:9 nor (1) 183:24 norm (1) 112:2 normal (2) 107:6 124:11 normally (5) 112:4 121:20 133:4 140:22,23 northern (3) 72:20 80:7 86:16 notable (1) 204:19 note (2) 74:1 141:20 noted (4) 133:14 136:13 nothing (9) 34:7 42:9 61:5 82:8,14 108:18 119:8 122:4 146:10 notice (1) 116:19 november (5) 1:1 73:25 139:8 154:13 206:10 npcc (2) 117:23 165:12 nsc (3) 10:23,25 12:17 nss (1) 15:7 nssig (7) 12:10,16,16,17 13:6,18 17:10 nuclear (3) 16:4,24 202:7 number (22) 31:14 33:11 49:7 78:15.15 81:20 104:17 108:12 110:8,10 133:20 154:14,25 155:3 161:2 167:10 168:11 174-19 175-17 176-15 177:8 204:4 numbers (3) 97:1,7 144:10 nursery (1) 51:13 0

o2 (1) 89:7 objectives (6) 11:4 13:10 22:13 76:18,19 102:4 observation (1) 164:22 observations (3) 84:12 134:14 135:18 observe (4) 90:24 96:2 170:18 172:18 observed (1) 94:5 obvious (4) 59:13 110:13,13 111:21 obviously (22) 39:7,19 48:10 90:2 116:21 141:23 145:16 158:14.23 162:9 167:17 officer (3) 3:17 65:2,12 officers (2) 117:25 169:10 offices (3) 13:22 20:24 21:21 official (1) 11:15 officials (3) 15:17 85:11 86:9 often (3) 103:19 171:20 189-13 okav (23) 8:23 77:9 78:10,14,23 80:10 81:12,19 107:17 112:23 120:11 122:2 124:3.7.17 125:22 127:18 128:2 132:20 136:13 142:2 188:19 202:3 old (1) 76:2 olympics (1) 200:16 once (3) 63:17 107:20 187:5 onerous (3) 55:8 67:21,22 ones (8) 20:10,10 43:14 51:21 61:25 115:6 159:8 191:24 onesizefitsall (3) 89:3 121:10 171:9 onetoone (3) 37:20 45:18 97:24 ongoing (3) 44:25 95:10 148:10 onionlayering (1) 188:24 online (14) 37:24 53:12 58:5 92:6 135:14,21 136:1,8,12,23,23 137:1,3,5 open (1) 19:4 openair (1) 26:22 operate (4) 30:2.3 85:2 197:1 operated (1) 30:4 operates (1) 78:24 operating (2) 82:20 155:23 operation (6) 57:10 122:4 155:12 173:7 187:17 189:7 operational (22) 6:2 8:1 14:15 28:14 30:5 42:22 65:6 100:1 111:8,13 112:21 143:6 144:21 156:22 157:15 158:13 160:7 170:19 171:13 187:14 188:6 196:20 operationalise (3) 160:1 183:24 187:13 operationalising (1) 186:3 operationally (2) 22:10 188:1 operations (5) 43:25 153:3,5,17 173:4 operator (17) 106:19 107:20 108:8 114:21 122:2 175:11 187:8.9.11 192:19 193:13.14.17.24.194:19 195:24 196:1

170:4 171:5 173:3 175:7

189:16.19 196:25 204:23

180:16 186:16 187:5

ocallaghan (1) 205:24

occasion (2) 21:13 196:21

occasions (5) 35:16 60:24

occur (5) 95:13 100:2 104:14

98:24 155:5 184:23

occurred (6) 9:12 29:24

100:3.10.18 134:16

135:7 192:17

occurs (1) 186:12

oconnor (1) 105:16

october (1) 155:19

offence (2) 86:1,5

offenders (1) 18:22

offering (1) 152:19

office (40) 2:2.6.3:14

11:6,20 13:21 14:18,21

15:4,10 18:5 21:1 28:7,25

65:4,5,9,12,13 68:19 69:19

72:15,22 77:3 85:11 86:9

104-15 19 109-7 156-15 25

96:7 97:5 103:23

158-8 174-25

29:12 30:20 34:18 64:22

offences (4) 76:20,23 77:7

operators (19) 32:12,20 33:6 38-12 86-23 89-14 91-1 94:21 95:3 107:13.15 109:23 110:4 174:7.9 179:21 183:6 187:16 193:19 opinion (1) 133:1 opportunity (8) 41:10 73:12 77:22 79:21 113:25 140:17 141:13 167:17 opposed (1) 200:12 ontimistic (1) 127:13 ontions (4) 12:18 59:3 123:19 203:15 oral (1) 7:12 order (14) 14:11 28:9 36:17 47:11 56:2 61:10 76:20 85:11 154:22 162:2 172:24 180-9 183-19 198-16 organisation (4) 43:18 99:5 158:9 164:18 organisational (2) 88:21 102:22 organisations (12) 53:14 88:25 89:6,8 92:12 102:14 111:10 137:19 138-20 21 22 164-7 organised (2) 8:3 202:21 organisers (1) 38:12 origin (1) 154:9 osct (42) 2:4,6,15 3:8 7:16.19.20 8:11 11:20.22 12:17 23:11,12,20 24:15 29:15,19 30:10 31:4,5,12 34-17 38-8 43-24 44-23 48:6 49:13 98:14 99:3 104:19 118:4 134:21 139:10 156:15,18 157:1,1 159:23 161:2 163:8 197:10 201:10 others (13) 20:13 40:25 80:4 81:18 106:4 122:19 146:20 150:10 170:16 182:9 190:11.16 197:16 otherwise (4) 1:16 44:9 186:14 193:15 ought (6) 16:17 35:11 57:24 86:2 176:8 197:17 ours (1) 161:9 ourselves (3) 113:14 161:25 162:19 outcome (7) 63:4 70:9 74:15 90:11.14 93:16 97:10 outcomes (2) 2:22 75:12 outlines (1) 74:5 outlining (1) 25:13 outrages (1) 77:16 outside (4) 56:24 79:3 112:18 199:1 ovenready (2) 123:21 124:6 over (15) 1:17 33:18 42:17.17 67:18 72:15 73:21 87:7 109:11 112:8 161:8,16 170:13,25 191:7 overall (5) 5:1 11:10 42:3 73:6 80:5 overarching (1) 18:14 overseas (5) 4:9 18:7,8,11 163:12 oversee (3) 29:8 165:14.19 overseeing (1) 23:12 overseen (2) 11:15 48:5 oversees (1) 11:1 oversight (3) 11:10 12:1 15:7 overspeaking (1) 133:13 overtaken (1) 44:2 overview (3) 3:23 19:10 20:23 owing (1) 118:18 own (10) 13:9 17:6,7 24:19 57:8 71:25 97:22 99:12 101:6 170:24

vned (10) 25:22 51:17

66:8.11 68:19 69:7.19

owner (11) 7:21 11:16 34:6

72:22 107:2 173:22

38:21 54:1 106:19 107:20 108-8 114-21 122-2 193-12 owners (21) 31:6 32:12,20 33:5 38:12 45:19 48:12 70:11 86:23 89:14 91:1 94:21 95:3 107:13 109:23 110:3 115:21 173:24 174:7,8 179:21 wnership (4) 39:24 69:8 72:15 107:15 owns (1) 27:19 155:24 176:25 pages (2) 12:11.25 13:25 20:21 22:12 24:13 32:4 36:2,4 44:19 47:18 48:20 60:9 73:22 74:5

p (3) 8:12,14 13:20 pace (5) 96:15 149:2 154:7 paid (1) 61:25 palatable (1) 119:16 pals (3) 28:4 49:8 147:11 paragraph (34) 3:25 8:22 80:13 90:24 96:2 98:4 114:14 118:2 119:6 158:24 160:2,22 164:22 166:8 168:21 170:15,18 171:12 173:18 200:5 205:9 paragraphs (2) 32:5 73:16 parallel (1) 156:25 parallels (1) 197:19 parameters (1) 164:24 paraphrasing (1) 106:14 park (1) 163:3 parker (1) 155:18 parks (1) 26:19 parliament (4) 87:11 93:13 124:25 125:2 parliamentary (10) 88:3 92:7 93:13 124:17 125:2 135:13.23 136:11.20 153:15 part (43) 2:6,15 18:15,23 25:4 44:6,15 51:9 54:11 58:5 72:3 78:2,11 86:6 90:22 97:6 98:25 99:3 102:5 131:17 134:23,25 138:18 139:4 147:12 153:6.20 161:17 162:8 165:11.14 169:17 179:1 180:11.13 185:7 190:2 191:5 193:10 194:1 198:19 199:19 200:9 participant (1) 138:18 participants (1) 5:3 participate (1) 178:24 participating (1) 166:4 particular (40) 11:6 12:7 18:1 29:2 35:11 38:23 39:20 41:5 42:16 45:3 46:18 65:24 66:8,9 92:10 98:10 106:17 121:2 126:15 147:7 154:3 160:11 162:20 166:10 167:19 168:10 174:20 175:21 176:16 181:2 184:9.11 186:1,20,21 187:23 188:3 196:21,22 202:11 particularly (5) 113:1 143:23 149:8 190:18 205:1 parties (3) 36:6 85:14 88:14 partner (5) 156:18 161:4,8 164:20 166:7 partners (23) 6:2 8:1 14:15 24:4,9,19 26:5 28:6,14 30:6 31:3 32:10 42:22 60:17 86:10 94:24 158:12 160:23 161:2,7 166:12 175:15 203:11 partnership (4) 53:22 91:1 99:4 162:13

parts (11) 3:13 5:5,5 10:17

178:21 181:1 183:3

pass (1) 83:2

31:17 93:10 123:13 156:6

passage (2) 60:9 126:15 passed (1) 74:1 passionate (1) 60:18 pathway (1) 178:13 patience (1) 135:24 patrol (2) 64:24 65:2 patrolling (2) 39:16 65:2 pause (5) 1:15 73:19,23 105:17 205:18 paying (1) 50:16 pbied (2) 63:24 148:8 nc (1) 64:21 penalties (1) 91:6 pending (1) 139:24 pensions (2) 66:12 72:17 people (71) 5:16,18 6:14 16:14,14 33:19 36:14 39:25 40:5 44:9 48:1.16.18 54-18 55-6 8 56-20 21 67-8 75:3 78:18.19 84:6 88:6 89:5,8 91:14 97:13 103:10 107:14 111:8,11 116:7 121:6.6.21.22 125:23 126:22 127:2,2 134:16 135:7 136:6,7,19,19 137:3 138:15 140:6 141:3 146:15 152-22 161-22 163-24 177:19 185:1 25 186:20 188:17 191:17,20 193:2,3 194:5,8 195:7 198:1,3 202:14 203:1 peoples (1) 206:7 per (1) 111:6 perfectly (5) 59:13 80:17 125:6 130:23 172:15 performing (1) 146:7 perhaps (12) 83:25 100:23 114:24 121:14 123:23 129:2 143:3 146:24 147:8 177:12 178:16 181:10 peril (1) 194:14 perimeter (3) 77:20 188:21.22 period (8) 3:7 87:8,16 95:22 134:15 155:5.15 177:1 periods (1) 17:25 permitting (1) 28:23 person (6) 38:21 39:4 40:7 52:5 182:19 193:5 personal (3) 145:6 160:12 177:5 personally (3) 94:15 141:23 149:25 personnel (1) 155:10 persons (1) 51:23 perspective (1) 204:8 persuade (2) 54:17 121:2 persuaded (1) 64:22 peruse (1) 141:14 petition (3) 129:20 130:3,7 pfd (6) 44:5.8.15.21 46:2.17 phase (3) 58:13.15 84:16 phrase (3) 123:23 162:4 201:23 physical (4) 32:3 104:1 108:6 118-18 pick (1) 170:16 picking (2) 113:6 186:20 picture (10) 9:8.15.22 10:13 91:17 102:2 171:3.9 172:7 196:14 piece (2) 60:1 200:21 pieces (3) 65:23 66:8 75:4 pillar (1) 169:17 pillars (1) 7:25 place (56) 23:17 25:7 26:4.8.20 27:15.19.19.25 28:13 35:6 40:14 41:13,17,22 42:4 44:18 46:9 63:23 77:18 94:11 95:9,12 98:22 112:16 115:7 129:25 130:8 135:14 136:12.20 137:2 142:15 146:6 147:19 154:10 164:1 165:4 168:3 170:4

173:4,14 179:18 181:14

184:24 185:5.5 186:10.12.16 187:3 191:4 194:4.9.20 201:20 placed (2) 101:5 156:8 places (96) 3:2.4.10 17:8 20:5,18 21:14,25 22:25 23:24 24:14,15,18 25:10,13,18 26:12,16,18 27:4 29:8,10,23 30:21 32:9,12 33:6,12,16 36:17 37:11 38:11 40:12.18.24 41:20.24 42:1.5.12.19 43:1.2.4 44:17 46:5.20.23 47:1,8,22 48:12,22 49:15 53:24 54:2 64:15 74:18 106:17,22 111:5 115:22 118:3,11 128:23 141:3 142:10 143:9 147:25 148:2.7 159:10.19 160:12.19 166:5,9,11,17,22 167:5,8,9,22 169:1,3 174:7 198:20.21 199:18 200:8 201:19 203:6,21 204:1,4 plainly (3) 58:19 96:14 156:2 plan (18) 77:12 82:22 97:16 113-9 114-22 149-17 179:20 180:7 15 183:25 186:25 187:6,8,14 192:3,6,10 201:20 planned (1) 138:10 planners (1) 36:8 planning (3) 58:22 96:25 101:10 plans (9) 23:20 33:2 87:4 98:22 99:12.14 101:6 114:25 179:10 plant (1) 83:24 platform (16) 53:12,13,20,23 55:6 58:5,6,11,18 97:16,17,23 102:22 103:4,6 193:22 platforms (1) 97:19 plausible (2) 32:16,21 play (6) 11:7 15:13.25 44:6,15 162:8 plays (1) 161:18 please (44) 1:7 4:13 5:10,14 6:1,11,25 10:25 12:16 14:20 16:2,13,21 17:17 31:16 36:25 48:15 61:14 70:4 73:14.15 76:9 102:16 103:2 105:20 117:17 120:13 123:2 131:25 137:11 144:24 146:3 151:17,19 152:14 157:12 160:22 170:13 173:16 175:20 178:18 179:13 191:13 195:2 plenty (1) 170:15 plots (5) 42:10 154:7,11,14,23 pm (7) 128:4,6 151:13,15 195:9,11 206:8 points (5) 9:3 106:11 115:24 182-21 22 police (43) 3:17 26:1 30:12:24 31:5.12 32:6 33:5 36:5 37:11.22 38:8 43:16 47:15 65:8.14 68:2 77:24 78:2 79:4 94:24 101:3 117:23,25 119:23 128:15 143:5 152:17.25 153:12 157:2.11 160:10 169:10,11,20,22,25 170:11 171:24 172:8 174:3 205:24 policies (1) 157:18 policing (49) 6:7 12:2 30:6 32:10 34:18 37:10 43:15 45:12 46:13 60:25 65:6,6,7 99:9 107:2,6,8 152:20 153:4,6,9 154:4 155:2,15 156:5.19.21 157:4.5.13.16.23.159:25 162:6 163:7 164:2 20 25 165:4,11 169:19 170:5

171:17 172:4.5 176:22.24 177:15 16 policings (3) 99:5 158:10 163:18 policy (43) 4:25 8:13 9:19 14:24 17:20 23:14.15.18.19 24:18 28:13 29:13,13 44:17 62:16,18,19 63:5 64:22 65:4.5.13 79:11 98:23 106:16 109:7.10.21 117:20.23 120:4.4 143:8 148:14 156:18 159:14.23 160:1,7 166:4,7 167:9 197:8 political (1) 133:20 pool (1) 53:23 portal (1) 55:4 portion (1) 35:23 pose (2) 87:6 105:20 posed (2) 18:22 99:20 position (19) 73:3 80:21 87:22 88:9 92:22 96:13 102:16 107:18 118:5 119:11 130:12 131:16 150:18 155:13,18 172:22 196-19 201-10 205-14 positions (1) 100:16 positive (5) 63:3 93:6 119:9 141:9,10 possibilities (1) 75:21 possibility (2) 76:25 77:16 possible (13) 25:15 78:25 83:6 92:4,23 96:5,22 114:2 125.7 126.22 134.7 137.8 162:1 possibly (1) 142:11 post (1) 2:8 post2014 (1) 120:4 post2017 (1) 147:17 postconsultation (1) 134:6 postexercising (1) 103:4 posture (7) 162:3 180:20 183:22 184:13.15.16 185:10 potential (3) 18:2 65:19 88:17 potentially (4) 5:5 40:19 92:12 122:3 pounds (1) 192:19 power (2) 74:7 81:25 powerful (1) 61:1 powers (4) 21:21 75:22 76:5,18 practicable (5) 88:20 194:24 196:2,3,10 practical (4) 88:7 92:9 101:7 202:3 practicalities (1) 91:17 practice (4) 64:23 89:23 143:19 169:14 practices (1) 60:20 pre2017 (2) 148:13,24 preceded (1) 167:25 preceding (1) 30:1 preconsultation (4) 86:13 96:11 137:15,20 precursor (1) 6:19 precursors (2) 21:10 23:16 predecessor (1) 44:13 predetermine (1) 123:13 predicated (1) 163:18 predictable (1) 204:19 predominantly (3) 3:20 9:17 159:6 preengagement (1) 138:6 preface (2) 80:18,20 prefer (1) 64:3 preferred (2) 197:12,14 premise (1) 39:1 premises (8) 19:21 36:13 56:1 74:17 76:13 79:12,13 190-23 preparation (1) 83:19 prepare (32) 2:1 3:8

4:14,18,20 6:25 7:2

13:11.12 16:3 17:15 29:19 32-25 96-15 98-13 153:20.22 158:2.4.6.15 164:25 165:15.18.20 166:6 170:19 171:13 172:25 173:2,11 174:11 prepared (5) 84:3 123:25 126:10 147:6 180:8 preparedness (9) 24:21 42:18 49:5 59:6 88:22 89:16 98:21 135:11 173:12 preparing (4) 7:2,7 86:12 124:11 present (4) 38:15 76:10 96:25 134:10 presented (3) 6:19 150:12 195:25 presently (1) 84:11 press (3) 58:16 150:3,19 pressed (1) 174:18 pressured (1) 141:16 pressures (1) 178:4 presumably (3) 9:24 19:12 51:25 presumes (2) 130:6,20 pretty (6) 110:18 123:4,8 167-6 172-6 176-23 prevent (15) 4:14.15 5:14,15,15,18,24 16:25 19:14 23:5 36:18 56:2 79:3 134:24 158:2 prevention (3) 44:10 48:11 59:23 previous (2) 102:6 201:1 previously (3) 50:13,20 99:23 primarily (4) 99:17 167:16 198:25 200:12 primary (16) 53:1 57:19,22 65:17 72:21 75:7,11 82:15 83:8,16,20 87:10 126:18 176:16 177:17 178:1 prime (5) 8:9 11:1,3 15:17 104:24 principal (1) 57:23 principally (3) 5:6 39:7 127:25 principle (3) 34:10 147:4,7 principles (2) 101:25 108:1 prior (5) 2:10 3:14 99:17,20 120:2 priorities (2) 102:1 177:3 prioritisation (6) 33:25 110:18 118:16 143:5 168:6 200:15 prioritise (6) 33:22,24 165:3,6 201:2 203:12 prioritised (7) 41:2,5,13,17 42:1 171:8 204:5 prioritises (1) 167:14 prioritising (4) 41:23 167:9 202:17 204:6 priority (8) 17:13 102:3 143:4,20 144:6,11,11 167:21 prisoners (1) 19:3 prisons (2) 18:21,22 privacy (1) 56:7 private (18) 2:20,23 3:19 24:4 25:23 27:7 31:6 69:8 106:17 107:4 113:7 114:4,18 119:14 166:1 172:17 173:24 176:2 privately (2) 107:1,1 prize (1) 177:25 proactive (4) 63:7 148:23 149:8 204:3 probably (8) 6:23 35:25 58:20 112:12 124:15 139:16 142:5 197:20 problem (19) 1:20 35:11 54:13 56:3 61:11 64:17 93:18,19 108:3,5 111:21 112:17 113:7.9 114:9 125:17 133:7 136:5 152:5 problems (5) 77:15 108:11

procedure (1) 131:14 procedures (1) 99:12 proceed (1) 87:8 proceedings (2) 1:3 35:3 process (24) 5:23 52:3 62:5 71:16 74:20 83:10 88:10 105:9 129:10 131:3 135:6 136:2,18 137:21 167:18 178:20,21 179:1,2 185:13 192:14 193:10 203:22 204-9 processes (3) 32:1 139:2 170:25 produce (1) 158:18 produced (1) 186:3 produces (1) 119:12 production (1) 168:22 productively (1) 134:5 products (1) 46:3 professional (4) 134:9 169:14 188:15 191:5 professionals (3) 184:12 186:5 187:17 profile (2) 60:16 204:22 programme (14) 5:17 24:15,17,22 32:9 45:12 46:7 58:21 100:6 116:2 156:18 190:2 198:17 21 programmes (2) 29:9 89:21 progress (1) 96:7 progressed (1) 177:4 prominence (1) 204:22 promote (1) 76:18 promoting (1) 79:23 proper (3) 112:8 131:24 205:20 properly (4) 76:3 93:14 124:25 131:21 proportion (1) 55:25 proportionality (4) 52:14 89:11 91:8 111:4 proportionate (18) 47:4 49:4 55:7.9.20.21 56:5 57:5.13 91:15 119:7 122:10 149:23 192:8.9.13 193:24 203:8 proportionately (2) 111:6 171:1 proposal (1) 124:14 proposals (3) 86:20 123:5 129:9 proposed (10) 27:16,24 42:23 49:3.4 51:3 112:7 116:2 124:8 192:4 proposing (1) 140:21 proposition (1) 177:18 prosecute (1) 194:13 protect (92) 2:1 3:8 4:14,18,20 5:6 6:10,12,20 7:9 8:16 13:11,12 16:3 17:11,13,15 20:22,24 21:2.16 23:9.13.14.17.19.21 25:25 29:13,16,17,18 35:12 43:24 46:22 54:25 59:10,12 69:4 70:20 75:3 85-12 87-4 91-7 93-6 94:17,22,25 96:20 97:4 109:5 111:7.10 115:11 120:21 121:22 131:18 133:25 135:1 153:19.21 157:1 158:1,4,6,14,18 160:16 161:10 164:25 165:15,18,20 166:6 169:17 170:7.19 171:13.16 172:23 175:6 176:2,17 177:24 publicfacing (3) 158:10,16 178:2 195:23 196:25 198:21 201:11 203:6.6.10 publicity (1) 63:21

90:15 112:1 115:12 119:19 135:10 153:15.16 161:4 164:9.14 174:21.25 protections (1) 79:3 protective (58) 24:21 31:2,7,14,20,21,24 32:3 36:9 41:10 42:18 45:22 49:5 56:18 57:4 59:6 88:21 115:22 119:4,22 120:18,20 134:23.25 153:11.16 158:13 159:4 162:2.10 164:11 166:2 168:18.24 169:16 173:6.25 175:9.24 176:4 177:10 178:1 179:5,17,22 180:9,12,20 183:14 185:8,10 186:22 187:13 188:24 190:14 191:21 193:2 196:14 protects (1) 22:13 protocol (1) 64:23 proved (1) 92:4 provide (31) 3:3 12:1 31:21 32:11 36:5,8,16,22 43:23 45:17,20 49:4 53:13 63:4 69:16 71:18 72:10 91:15 102:12 103:8 111:2 115:8 117-12 132-6 133-1 164-4 12 172-24 174-5 15 189:2 provided (13) 30:23 31:10 45:2 46:13 57:6 67:1 69:3 95:7 111:23 124:12 126:9 163:19 175:12 providers (2) 3:3 37:16 provides (5) 14:21,24 31:19 77:5 179:20 providing (13) 19:6 72:13 95:1 102:21 104:6 115:12 120:18 125:17 147:2 174:21 183:15 189:7 198:19 provision (5) 37:23 58:3 69:24 106:16 171:16 provisional (1) 86:2 ps (1) 158:1 psia (12) 179:8,13,14,24 183:14,22 184:17 186:9,24 187:5 189:17 196:15 pspsg (4) 24:20 42:18 43:3,18 pub (1) 79:2 public (96) 3:4 9:16 10:16 14:4 15:19 19:18 25:14.22

26:9,18 28:22 31:6

39:2 43:4 45:20 46:22

58:19 59:17 60:16,19

62:23 63:6.22 64:5

67:11.14 68:21 74:18

86:22 87:6,12,12,14

88:9,17 90:11,15

75:12 76:11.20.23 80:6

91:7,11,12,13 92:1 93:20

94:13.22.25 95:7.8.12

96:19 106:22 107:1,19

108:8.12.20 115:6.21

125:11 126:5 134:20

146:5,6 155:11 166:2

172:17 173:24 183:19

publication (2) 159:11,20

publicly (40) 3:10 5:7

49:8,14,21 50:4

51:11,16,21 55:2 57:25

59:7 67:2.5 69:5 70:19

72:1 75:14 93:20 107:15

110:9 122:15 123:14

144:12 146:22 155:20

198:24

166:3

protected (4) 16:15 35:18.20

21:14,18,25 24:23 25:10

39:2 48:18,21 53:18,24

93:20 95:1 97:4 141:3

protection (24) 14:2 16:5

18:8.10 23:16 28:15 31:18

32:3 38:1 51:15 70:25 80:5

protecting (15) 6:15

115:7

52:7 53:4,18,25 57:13,25

28:4.22 35:3,6,12,18 37:17 38:13 48:23 49:6 50:9,23 51:1,14 67:12.22 140:14 141:3 142:11 145:6 6:13,17 17:3,21 20:4 22:3 24:9,23 28:1 32:23 48:23 101:4.9.13.15.17.20.24 102:4.8.10.15.24 103:1,12,22 104:1,3,6,9,12,14,17,19,21,24 105:1,4,24 106:1,11,22 107:1.7.9.11.17.25

167-7 177-19 197-1 publish (1) 25:12 published (6) 9:3 10:7,9,10 25:9 32:23 pubs (3) 25:21 26:14 166:19 purely (5) 63:7 70:11 72:8 106:22 164:3 purpose (7) 4:15,18 12:16 20:23 50:18 57:23 168:17 purposes (1) 165:22 pursue (8) 4:14,15 6:1,2 112:14 134:24 158:2 173:9 nush (2) 75:4 77:19 pushed (1) 142:24 pushing (2) 115:10 188:21 puts (1) 172:9 putting (7) 52:20 64:4

133:1,5 134:8,14 72:4,20 107:4 115:2 134:7 144:5.7.9.15.17.23 Q q (619) 149:25 152:17,23 153:2,5,8,11,18,21 2:1,4,6,8,10,13,15,17,19,25 3:7,14,17,19,23 4:7,11,13,15,18,22 5:3.10.20.23 6:1.6.9.17.22 7:9.18 8:3.15.22.25 9:2,6,10,20,24 164-16 18 22 10:2,6,9,11,18,25 11:6.12.15.18.20.22 165:8,14,19,22 12:1,4,7,11,16 13:13,21,25 168:6,9,13,21 14:6,8,11,18,20 15:3,10,13,19,24 171:11,16,20,22 16:2,10,16 17:5,17,25 18:5.12.18.20.25 19:8.10 21:1.5.8.11.13.16.18.21.24 22:9,18,23 23:4,9,11,20,23 178:3,9,13,18 24:1,6,12,17 25:9,12,18 26:3,7,25 27:3,7,10 179:4,8,10,13,23 29:2.5.12.15.18.21.23 30:1,7,14,19,24 31:1.4.9.14.25 32:4.16.19.24 33:2,5,8,10,13,16,19,21 34:1 35:10,22 36:2,8,12,16,22 37:9,21 205:8 gaeda (1) 202:20 38:1,4,7,15,22 39:7 qualification (1) 179:3 40:2,7,10,15,17,21,24 41:2.5.9.12.15.19 171:12 174:6 42:2.9.15.23 quarter (2) 195:3,5 43:3.6.8.12.15.18.21.23 quarterly (2) 189:16,25 44:4,15,19,25 45:9,15,23 46:2,7,12,17,21,25 47:3,7,11,14,17,25 48:5,8,13,15,20,25 49:3.7.10.13.17.20.23 50:1,3,14,18 51:3,21,25 52:3.23 53:3.7.10.12 55:20 57:22 58:3.8.16.24 59:9,12,17 60:9 62:2,4,9,14 63:6,10 191:14 193:11 65:1,11,16,23 66:1.3.5.7.11.14.16.19.21.25 103:16 68:5.9.12.15.18.21.24 69:2.11.21 70:1.4.8.14.17.22.24.71:9 72:5,25 73:3 74:13 75:6,13,18 85:14,16,18,21,24 86:7,18,22 87:6,24 185:20 207:4,5,6,9 88:5.13.17.20.24 89:2.13.20.23 90:2.6.24 quiet (1) 143:15 91:4.16.21 92:1,4,6,9,16,19,22,25 93:17 94:2,5,8 95:7,18,21,25 96:19 98:9,13,17,19 99:4,8,11,14,17,20 100:2,4,6,9,18,23

108:5.11.14.17.25 109:3.6.15.17.21.25 110:6.12.17.23 111:16 112:6.11 114:4.8.13.17 115:13.18 116:1.9.17.19 117:8,15 118:7,11 119:5,11,25 120:11,22 121:10 122:2,10,12,18,24 123:20 124:1,3,7,13,17 125:5,11,14,17,22 126:5.12 128:14.17.20 129:6.9.13.16.130:5.16 131:9.22 132:3.5.10 135:3,13,18 136:10,14 137:9,21 138:6,11,23 139:5,12 140:11,19 141:9.21 142:18.24 143:8 145:2.9.12.25 146:10.24 154:10,18,25 155:5,9,13 156:1,4,21,24 157:10 158:8,17,20,22 159:16 160:2,5,15,21 161:12,19 162-4 6 25 163-6 14 18 166:1,4,8,14,16 167:2,24 169:3.7.10.16 170:7.10.13 172:8,17,21 173:2,16,23 174-2 5 12 17 175-17 176:6.14.19 177:12.18.23 180:2,5,7,14,23 185:16 186:23 187:3,9,15 189:12 190:7 191:2 196:18,24 197:5,14 198:5,9,13 199:3,5,8,12,16,18,23,25 200:5 201:6.9.14.18 203:14.18.25 204:10.15 quality (4) 32:13 169:15 question (36) 9:20 37:6 72:7,8 74:3 84:16 87:6 99:20 100:22,24 113:2 121:19 123:3 125:21 134:8 136:10,13,21 138:12 139:21 143:25 144:2 145:3.22 146:2 148:20 150:10 152:18.24 176:19 184:2 188:13.15 190:7 questioning (2) 56:13 98:3 questions (38) 1:22 69:13,17 105:9.11.13.14.20.21 106:3,5 121:11 123:1,4,9 127:3.8.9.20 128:7 130:17 133:10 134:9 137:6 141:22.24 149:25 150:24 151:2 152:12 175:17 183:6 quickly (2) 134:6 155:22 quite (30) 1:19 24:12,25 27:15 38:16 39:5 51:20 52:17 54:15 55:22 57:9 63:2 67:17 81:23 106:19 116:20 121:19 129:7 138:20 140:12 142:14 143:18 161:24 181:11 182:13 185:16 195:12,16 205:1 206:4 quoting (1) 114:13

R

radicalisation (4) 5:21,23 16:15 19:5 radicalised (1) 5:16 radiological (1) 16:24 radius (1) 191:4 raid (1) 79:2 raids (1) 79:4 raise (2) 60:15 72:18 raised (2) 62:5 117:15 raising (2) 130:1,10 ram (2) 79:2,3 ran (1) 60:25 random (1) 90:19 randomly (1) 48:1 range (14) 24:3 36:2 48:8 49:2 60:17 68:21 88:24 90:17,20 114:15,17,18 115:2 204:12 ranges (1) 162:15 ranging (1) 178:23 rapidly (1) 155:23 rate (3) 73:10 164:8 199:5 rather (10) 13:4 37:19 45:17 48:17 62:12 97:20,24 115:16 116:11 137:2 re (1) 53:23 reach (5) 20:18 70:14,17 86.7 88.8 reached (5) 48:13 57:16 86:2,4 200:16 reacting (3) 9:20 150:5,12 reaction (1) 27:22 reactive (4) 63:7 148:22 149:7,15 read (17) 22:13 26:7 28:4.7 60:9 72:25 73:16.17.20.21 74:4 118:13 128:17,17 142:14 155:19 166:16 readily (1) 193:21 reading (2) 119:2,16 ready (12) 58:14 123:25 124:2,3,4,5 125:15 138:24 139:5,6,13 140:9 real (2) 52:10 161:16 realisation (1) 122:19 realise (2) 36:3 116:10 reality (2) 120:14,17 really (28) 5:13 13:2 20:7 28:11 34:6 38:20 40:3 54:10 60:3 83:15,20 91:10 92:2 107:12 115:13 117:16 120:7 123:21 125:2 146:23 147:20 149:1 153:23 157:14 164:15 177:9 186:2 189:21 realm (1) 26:18 realms (1) 67:11 ream (1) 150:8 reason (17) 34:22 35:24 75:23 81:7 90:16 92:6 131:6.10.15 133:17.25 138:13 144:2 172:12.15 180:17 192:10 reasonable (8) 95:19 108:25 115:8 116:7 194:18,20 196:10.12 reasonably (6) 88:20 95:13 194:24 196:1.3.9 reasons (7) 81:1 82:3 95:8 120:20 133:20 134:1 138:3 reassure (2) 142:5 144:1 reassured (1) 143:22 reassuring (1) 144:17 recall (2) 62:9.11 receive (3) 98:6 191:2 205:8 received (4) 84:13 129:22 179:4 189:13 recent (1) 17:25 recently (1) 117:18 recipients (1) 34:4 recognisable (1) 204:17 recognise (4) 46:18 121:12 167-7 174-14 recognised (6) 46:19,21 47:20 57:17 118:24 120:5 recognises (2) 109:22 121:16

recognising (1) 178:3 recognition (3) 159:4 161:17 172:4 recommend (1) 203:18 recommendation (3) 84:21 115:20 188:25 recommendations (18) 35:2 39:20 44:16 48:10 59:25 102:12,14 103:5,6,7 126:6.14 137:25 148:17 157:20 181:5 189:2 192:8 recommending (1) 74:6 reconnaissance (3) 36:20 185:4 189:5 recover (1) 36:18 recovery (1) 14:12 rectangle (1) 156:12 red (1) 158:8 reduce (16) 4:8,15,18,20 5:1 13:22 14:12 20:25 22:18,25 32:24 118:25 119:15 149:23,24 174:10 reduced (4) 118:22 132:22 200:18 201:16 reduces (1) 134:24 reducing (3) 14:8 21:5 135-11 reduction (2) 82:14 118:18 refer (2) 114:14 166:10 reference (2) 123:22 156:10 referred (5) 15:10 77:6 117:20 124:17 125:24 referring (3) 19:8 64:24 74:9 refers (2) 118:2 119:3 refining (1) 60:3 reflect (13) 9:10 47:23 48:16,25 56:9 83:14,14 111:25 171:2,2 172:6 184:3 197:20 reflected (3) 10:12 128:10 183:12 reflection (1) 177:5 reflects (3) 28:12 49:1 76:6 refresh (12) 46:19 47:1.8.19 48:5.6.7.8.13 57:17 62:5 122:15 refresher (1) 191:2 refurbished (1) 36:14 refurbishments (1) 36:10 regard (7) 23:11 35:4 70:13 80:23 83:16 114:5 203:25 regarded (2) 71:21,24 regarding (2) 44:25 89:17 regime (5) 67:18,18 76:10 91:24 97:10 region (2) 170:24 174:3 regional (3) 45:13 165:20 172:14 regionally (2) 173:19,21 regions (6) 36:5 101:20 170:23 171:7 172:2.7 regularly (1) 38:5 regulated (4) 17:24 66:14 69:24 177:6 regulation (5) 82:1,7 83:10 177:9.10 regulatory (2) 162:11 198:1 reinforcing (1) 116:4 reinsurer (1) 53:23 related (1) 12:4 relates (2) 163:11 187:25 relating (4) 20:11 69:23 169:20 185:20 relation (25) 7:15 8:17 10:19 15:15 17:14,18 21:10 30:21 35:4 39:16 58:10 60:11 66:19.21 67:5 69:13 77:4 98:6 111:3 118:15 119:4,21 142:9 191:11 198:19 relationship (5) 16:8 19:13,25 189:22 190:5 relationships (2) 156:14 162:13 released (1) 18:23

114:8 117:4 125:22

relevance (1) 12:8

relevant (11) 13:10,18 14:16 22:23 23:23 24:9 76:19 86:15 103:14 181:2.6 reliance (3) 112:11 118:18 190:25 reliant (1) 114:5 relies (1) 114:9 religion (1) 147:8 rely (1) 164:7 ain (11) 41:17 43:18 75:6 108:1 110:3 127:6 169:18.24 170:1.8 203:8 remains (3) 18:2 193:16 203:20 remarks (2) 128:11 156:1 remember (4) 34:2 37:4 182:24 201:23 remind (1) 96:1 remit (2) 33:7 160:16 remote (1) 56:2 repeat (2) 130:7 152:24 repeating (1) 156:7 repetition (1) 1:16 replace (2) 49:15 75:25 report (15) 44:10,11,15 46:2,17 115:24 153:8 198-23 201-10 18 203:4 14 14 16 18 reports (7) 21:9 43:20 44:2 48:11 59:23 138:1 165:12 represent (7) 93:14 106:2,4 126:7 128:8 131:5 162:20 representation (1) 87:3 representations (1) 77:24 representatives (5) 43:12 48:2 138:8 157:8 164:1 represented (2) 104:19 146:10 represents (1) 28:11 require (20) 31:7 53:19 55:15,16 65:20 70:12 78:18 85:14 92:25 97:13 103:19 114:4 161:1 173:25 191:25 192:5 193:14 194:22.23 205:21 required (2) 60:2 75:15 requirement (15) 59:5 67:2 82:19 87:10 111:22 112:7 118:23 131:16 135:13,15 136:12,21 162:12 191:17 196:6 requirements (9) 80:15 88:4 92:7 110:25 111:18 124:17,20 135:23 168:16 requires (4) 115:15 183:4 184:15 191:20 requiring (6) 88:14 192:5 193:2,12 195:24 196:1 rescored (1) 181:22 research (1) 131:12 reserved (1) 72:23 resilience (3) 2:11 14:3 22:18 resource (4) 67:23,25 111:12 171:5 resources (15) 53:7 68:3 71:19.20 74:23 75:2 78:19 121:15,24 122:8 165:5,6 171:1 202:11 203:12 resourcing (1) 79:18 respect (2) 171:16 189:14 respective (1) 102:14 respond (7) 15:18 16:23 36:19 56:12 89:24 98:22 149:3 responded (1) 129:23 responders (1) 104:10 responding (2) 100:14 148:11 response (32) 4:21 7:2,4,24 9:7,11 12:4 14:23 27:23 44:6,16 57:4,12 62:14,22 95:6 98:25 99:1 100:1 101:6 103:17.19 104:3.7 124:24 130:5.5 133:16

100:12 114:15 115:3
responsibilities (11) 11:13
13:14 53:15 67:7 89:24
110:3 115:23
153:11,14,18,19
responsibility (33) 4:3 6:14
11:9 13:7 17:3 19:18
30:5,11 38:7,8,9,10,12
40:7,8 51:7 55:1 70:10
73:7 106:16,19,22
107:4,12,20 108:7

73:7 106:16,19,22 107:4,12,20 108:7 109:10,12,19 113:11 121:17 169:18 170:7 responsible (27) 7:20 11:16 23:12 24:4 25:24 30:24 31:1 32:12 36:6 39:3,12,24,24 40:4 43:19 57:24 67:2 75:14 99:8 102:13 107:22 108:2 158:11 160:6,15 169:14

170:1
rest (1) 206:5
restaurant (3) 56:19,22,24
restaurants (2) 26:13 166:19
restrict (1) 152:8
restricted (1) 82:5
restrictions (1) 137:11
rests (1) 107:21
result (7) 10:6 41:2 62:6
97:1 137:22,23 159:6
resulted (1) 155:10
results (1) 168:10
retail (3) 50:5 51:18 56:12
retail (2) 56:11 97:21

retail (3) 50:5 51:18 56:12 retailer (2) 56:11 97:21 retest (1) 102:6 retrised (2) 128:14;20 retrospectively (1) 70:21 return (12) 16:11,17 19:1 65:16 100:23 102:15 105:8 163:6 189:13 191:9,13 195:17 reveal (1) 132:18

revealed (1) 180.8 revealing (2) 52:10 64:9 revelation (1) 148:6 review (9) 10:2 118:12 138:2 198:24 199:20 200:7,17 201:5 204:2 reviewed (3) 38:5 83:12 93:3

reviewed (3) 38:5 83:12 93:5 reviewing (2) 46:20 47:3 reviews (4) 138:1 148:17.18 164:5 revised (2) 46:3 114:14 rightly (1) 113:10 rise (3) 151:11 176:7.19 risk (33) 4:8 16:15 22:18.20

26:10 56:2 57:3 64:2 88:18 89:18 94:2,4 109:3 135:6,9,10 143:2 145:10 146:22 176:6,14 184:24 193:13,15 194:23,24 195:24 196:2,5 200:12,12 201:23 202:7

risks (10) 18:22 107:23 108:17 121:14,14,15,18 122:6 148:11 186:11 river (1) 101:13 road (1) 162:22 robust (3) 91:16 184:15,16 role (30) 2:17 11:7 13:6 14:18,20 15:13,25 16:2,11,12 17:17 21:16 23:11 24-9 30:9 19 35:20

16:2,11,12 17:17 21:16
23:11 24:9 30:9,19 35:20
38:7,8 44:12 62:16 73:5
153:8 159:25 161:25 165:9
184:1 187:14,15 188:12
roles (3) 13:13 89:23 165:19
roll (1) 58:14
room (9) 1:13 15:19

roll (1) 58:14 room (9) 1:13 15:19 39:8,10,13 40:5 41:16 84:4 108:6 rooms (2) 15:11 103:24 rose (1) 155:4 rough (1) 127:11 roughly (2) 171:3 178:20

round (6) 54:18 83:11 110:1

139:3 140:1,1 roussos (4) 62:2,9 106:3 117:16 route (2) 69:3 92:2 royalty (1) 153:15 run (1) 178:14 running (2) 113:8 165:17

sadly (1) 9:12 safe (3) 35:6 177:19 192:18 safer (1) 91:12 safety (22) 40:4 48:22 51:23 56:15 57:25 60:20 66:1,11,14,25 67:17 68:6,7,15 73:6 76:21,23 116:6 136:8 194:7,12 197:11 salman (1) 150:13

salman (1) 150:13 same (15) 17:16 40:22 44:17 55:12 71:11 75:5 108:2 140:14 150:17 181:7 183:1 185:19 190:13 194:7 205:5 sanction (4) 123:6 197:18.18.25

sandwiches (1) 177:8 satisfactory (1) 83:23 saunders (145) 1:9,20 12:20,23 13:2 19:12,17,21,23 20:9,13 25:1 27:13 34:2,9,14,22

37:2 44:8,11 52:17 54:12,15,23 55:21,25 57:12 61:15 63:13 64:2.6.16 75:19 76:16 77:2,9,23 78:2,5,10,14,17,23 79:13,17,21 80:4,10,12,25 81:6,14,17,20,23 82:18 83:2,22 84:5,14,18,25 85:7 96:24 97:12:25 112:14:23 113:1.18.21 116:25 117:2 121:20 123:22 127:1,11,16,18,21 128:2 129:19 130:25 132:17 133:15 137:10 139:19,22 140:20,25 142:2 143:16 145:18 146:1 147:6 148:20,25 149:6,13 150:22 151:3.10.19.23 152:6.11 163:22 181:8 182:2.7.22 183:5.12 184:2.6 185:18 187:19,22,25 188:6,8,19

163:22 181:8 182:2,7,22
183:5,12 184:2,6 185:18
187:19,22,25 188:6,8,19
189:24 190:3 191:14,23
192:3,12,17,24 193:9
194:11 195:3,6,14 200:1
201:22 202:1,3,20 203:3
204:25 205:15,25 206:3
save (1) 14:12
saves (2) 1:16 13:3
saw (3) 72:17 191:18,18
saying (21) 25:2 54:16 62:11
64:9 65:13 71:17 72:10
76:1 80:14 83:2 84:20 21

saw (3) 72:17 191:18,18 saying (21) 25:2 54:16 62:11 64:9 65:13 71:17 72:10 76:1 80:14 83:2 84:20,21 91:21 96:21 113:16 125:2,3 148:9 182:8 188:1 191:16 scale (2) 31:10 155:24 scenarios (1) 186:20

schei (2) 31:10 133:24 scheduled (1) 73:24 scheme (7) 30:17 120:5 175:21 176:7,14 177:14 193:16 schools (3) 17:4 26:16 166:21

scope (4) 28:10 88:10,14 92:12 score (12) 179:19 180:2,4,5,14,18,19,24 181:8,19,22 182:17 scored (2) 181:17 189:17

scored (2) 181:17 189:17 scores (1) 180:3 scoring (5) 181:13,18,24 183:12 185:22 scotland (3) 72:20 80:7 screen (7) 56:20 73:14 120:12 154:18 156:8,12 165:8 screening (2) 3:5 31:23

screening (2) 3:5 31:23 scrutiny (1) 93:13 search (6) 56:20 183:1,2 184:16,16 185:23 searching (6) 31:25 183:13 184:3 185:20,20 186:1

184:3 185:20,20 186:1 second (4) 1:9 64:18 71:3 151:5 secondary (3) 71:7 81:25

83:20 secondees (1) 101:1 secondly (3) 91:21 93:23 197:5

secretariat (1) 15:7 secretaries (1) 11:12 secretary (11) 11:6,8,22 71:6,15 74:7 81:25 94:15 126:4 133:23 141:7 section (20) 66:21,23

68:21,24 69:3 74:7 77:4,7 80:18 81:24 82:10,12 83:4,5,5,6 98:13 126:12 136:19 169:22

sector (13) 2:20,23 3:20 24:4 50:6 51:18 53:22 55:10 161:9 162:15 166:2 172:17 176:2

sectoral (1) 45:13 sectors (6) 22:19 50:5 53:7 92:11 162:20 168:25 secure (3) 3:4 108:24 181:11 security (156) 2:2,10,20,21

8:8,10 10:23 11:5 12:10 14:3,24,25 15:2,5,7 16:9 17:15,20,23,23 20:3 22:5 23:19,24 24:21 30:20 31:2,7,15,20,22,24 32:2,3 36:9 37:16 39:12,23,24 40:5 41:10 42:18 45:22 49:5 51:1,8,23 56:17,18 57:4,9,9 59:6 60:20,25 70:9 74:15 75:12 86:14,25 87:2,3 88:21 90:11,13

96:9,10 108:6 109:13 111:8 112:2,5 115:8,22 116:8 117:13 119:4,22 120:15,19 121:5 128:23 134:23 135:1 137:17,19 138:8 145:6,6,6 146:6 147:2 153:12,16 156:15 158:13 159:4,5,12,21 160:12 161:6 162:3,10

160:12 161:6 162:5,10 164:2,11,19 165:4,23 166:2 168:18,24 169:5,16 173:7,25 175:10,12,24 176:4 177:10 178:1 179:5,17,22

180:9,12,13,20,25,25 181:10 183:14,17,22,25 184:11,15,24 185:3,8,10 186:4,11,22 187:13,17 188:24 189:7 190:14,20 191:21 193:2 196:14,21

198:24 see (27) 8:12 11:12 27:17,21 30:14 35:1 42:7 56:4 57:12,16 58:16 60:1 61:10 83:11 105:22 118:1 123:3 125:21 143:19 156:13,24

158:4,5,23 180:17 190:17 203:12 seeing (3) 72:11 146:22 148:12

148:12 seek (7) 4:7 32:7 37:22 127:24 163:2 178:14 204:3 seeking (2) 188:10 190:14 seem (4) 59:17 94:11 108:22

seems (1) 85:1 seen (13) 39:5 77:15 105:4 117:18 141:5 155:24 161:7,16 180:12 198:3,4 202:23,24 seismic (2) 177:25 198:4 selection (1) 20:9 selfevident (3) 18:18 40:18.21

40:18,21 seminal (1) 150:7 send (3) 112:2 141:19 191:25 sending (5) 139:25 140:2,7 141:12,22

senior (8) 7:20 11:3,16 15:17,17 37:13 100:16 165:15 sense (6) 95:14.15 111:25

137:1 180:25 181:10 sensible (1) 172:15 sensitive (3) 22:10,11 42:9 sent (3) 140:14 141:17 189:25

sentence (1) 22:8 sentences (4) 5:13 6:1,9 7:1 separate (5) 7:25 107:2,3 109:18 183:16

separately (1) 4:25 september (7) 123:25 124:4 138:25 139:5,7,7,14 seggent (1) 160:9

sergeant (1) 160:9 series (2) 7:22 99:24 servant (2) 134:20 142:1 servants (2) 132:1 133:3 service (10) 53:14 110:19 133:5,6,8 135:4 148:24 152:17.25 153:12

servicelike (1) 24:25 services (11) 7:4 14:22 99:11 101:2 103:3,9 113:8 154:3 156:21 164:2 171:17

session (1) 191:10 sessions (1) 46:5 set (17) 4:7 22:12 44:25 80:18,21 81:2 82:22 101:25 102:24 109:25 129:7 148:7 164:10,24

189:24 190:4 192:3 sets (5) 18:14 80:20 98:23 154:21 163:14 setting (1) 160:7 seven (1) 106:2

several (1) 100:2 several (3) 8:23 56:11 75:10 severe (5) 94:6 144:25 145:4,13 155:7 shall (1) 49:22 shape (1) 162:2 share (3) 161:23 162:1 173:8

shared (2) 177:14 180:18 sharing (2) 102:20 103:9 sharkey (1) 73:1 shaun (7) 1:6,8,25 159:14 161:3 193:22 207:3 shelf (1) 107:11 sherman (2) 98:10 101:11

sherman (2) 98:10 101:11 shes (10) 61:4,6 73:4,9,10,24 113:2 128:10 129:4 146:10 shift (6) 48:18,21 148:19 177:25 198:4 202:11

shop (3) 51:18 56:16 162:17shopper (1) 90:19shopping (3) 25:20 26:14 166:20shops (1) 51:13

short (12) 13:15 58:25 60:9 61:17,22 74:1 75:6 88:1 151:14 191:9,9 195:10 shorten (1) 28:8 shortened (1) 28:18

shorter (1) 98:2 shortly (4) 24:2 61:13 171:23 172:21 should (49) 1:13 4:22 12:11

25:24 40:4,7 49:15 51:25 52:1,5 57:18 58:14 62:10 75:15 79:3 81:9 85:12,25 86:8 94:22 96:19 98:5 138:11,15 145:4,7,10,22 146:5,11,11 147:1,1 175:9 180:7,12 181:15,23 183:24 187:3,8,9 188:12,21 190:9 191:13 193:7 195:23 204:3 shouldnt (4) 56:17 62:10 138:16 180:17 show (1) 60:25 showsec (2) 138:7,14 sia (1) 87:3 sic (1) 79:13

side (5) 93:6 119:13 161:10 173:10,11 signatures (1) 129:22 significant (14) 17:21 36:10 46:12 49:1 52:7 53:19,21 54:7 71:14 89:7 143:2

54:7 71:14 89:7 143:2 155:16 198:2 202:9 significantly (5) 170:20 171:14 190:11,12 202:25 signs (1) 46:8

similar (4) 50:15 80:3 90:17 197:11 simple (11) 5:11 74:3 88:6

129:2,3,8,9 157:21 174:5 177:18 205:3 simpler (1) 143:1 simplicity (3) 129:1 202:24

203:2 since (22) 2:8 8:20,24 26:3 28:6 41:19 42:7,10 45:24 46:2 75:10 85:10 94:23 100:9,18 101:20 102:8 139:14 149:2 161:12

176:20 202:20 sincere (1) 152:19 single (10) 15:8 17:9 30:22 64:23,23 65:2 77:6 134:18,18 143:14

134:18,18 143:14
sir (189) 1:5,9,18,20 3:25
12:20,22,23 13:2
19:12,17,21,23 20:9,13
25:1 27:13 29:6
34:2,9,13,14,22,24 37:2
44:8,11 52:17 54:12,15,23
55:7,21,25 57:12,15 58:25
61:13,15,19 63:13
64:2,4,6,16 75:18,19 76:16
77:2,8,9,23
78:2,5,10,14,17,23
79:11,13,17,21
80:4,10,12,25

81:6,14,17,20,23 82:18 83:2,14,22 84:2,5,9,10,11,14,15,18,25 85:4.7 96:24 97:3.12.25 105:18 112:14.22.23 113:1.18.21 116:25 117:2 121:20 123:22 127:1,7,11,16,18,20,21,22 128:2 129:19 130:25 132:17 133:15 137:10 139:19,22 140:20,25 142:2 143:16 145:18 146:1 147:6 148:20.25 149:6.13 150:21.22.23.25 151:3.7.10.16.19.23 152:6,11,13,18 163:22 181:8 182:2,7,18,22

181:8 182:2,7,18,22
183:5,12 184:2,6 185:18
187:19,22,25 188:6,8,19
189:24 190:1,3
191:8,14,20,23
192:3,12,17,24 193:9
194:11 195:1,3,5,6,14
200:1 201:22 202:1,3,20
203:3 204:25
205:12,15,19,25 206:3
sit (7) 13:18,19 41:16 52:14

sit (7) 13:18,19 41:16 52:1 55:1 151:19 157:17 site (57) 26:11 32:11 35:8,10,13 50:16 55:10 118:15 130:7 142:16 167:18 175:10 178:25 179:16,21 180:2,10,13,15,18,24 181:2,6,11 183:15,23 184:18 185:6,8 186:5,14 187:3,8,9,11,16,20,23

190:5,8,10 191:19,24

193:12 195:24 196:1,7,8,9,10,12,14 205:4 sites (34) 31:6 48:1,22 50:12,12,14,15 54:2 160:13 167:12,14,21 173:24 179:24 181:7 190:12,15,18,18,20,22 200:18 201:2,15,19 204:7,12,15,15,16,16,20,21 205:8 sits (7) 98:13,20 109:9,18 157:9,14 158:3 sitting (2) 35:3 157:24

sitting (2) 35:3 157:24 situation (7) 3:12 27:4 94:10,18 125:9 191:11 192:15 six (7) 179:16,18 180:1

181:23 189:18 190:4 196:15 size (3) 55:10 112:3,8 slightly (3) 180:19 189:12

slightly (3) 180:19 189:12 198:16 slow (3) 118:17 150:5,11 slowly (1) 49:23

small (5) 53:25 54:1 89:5 110:10 193:25 smaller (4) 99:25 100:7,13

204:4 smallmedium (1) 162:15 smg (4) 39:13 105:16

smg (4) 39:13 105:16 138:7,14 social (1) 16:20 society (1) 154:2 soldier (1) 3:15

solution (5) 3:3 37:16 69:16 108:25 109:4 somebody (3) 108:2 194:9 202:13

somehow (1) 54:20 someone (15) 11:16 39:3 40:4 50:23,24 51:15,22,25 52:24 56:21 77:13 78:23 93:17 128:12 198:10

something (42) 24:20 35:5,12 37:11 39:17 42:16 46:18 54:10,21 55:2,8,15,17 56:24 57:4 61:8 62:12 63:14 77:20 80:2,11 83:13 97:2 102:21 112:6,23 117:8 119:7,20 135:22 147:9 170:16 182:4,7 184:14 185:22 192:5 194:4 12:15 195:20

197:9 sometimes (3) 117:10,11 146:20 somewhere (2) 57:8 77:13

soon (6) 28:24 92:23

95:12,19 96:5 178:7 sooner (1) 80:8 sophisticated (1) 57:9 sort (16) 56:8 63:22 78:18 97:17 99:2 164:3 167:4 177:25 178:23 180:16 183:7 184:10 186:18 188:23 200:16 202:17 sorts (1) 77:14

sound (1) 76:7 sounded (1) 83:25 sounds (1) 33:19 source (2) 104:9 164:19 sources (2) 48:8 110:16 space (6) 50:11 60:8 77:14 108:9 109:3 168:11

spaces (16) 3:10 14:4 26:19 38:17 39:23 47:8 50:9 60:19 67:2 69:7 107:1,17,18,18 110:9 198:24

speak (2) 120:9 122:25 speaking (2) 112:1 138:16 specialist (7) 30:23 43:25 153:3,5,15 159:4 171:17 specific (15) 23:1 25:6 30:2 11 31:1 48:2 17 21

168:25 178:13,15 179:18

139:15 162:7 173:12 203:7

responses (5) 44:22,23

182:13.25 183:21 specifically (6) 5:7 18:9 35:17 103:3 138:19 147:13 spectrum (2) 114:20.22 speculate (2) 94:1 149:11 speculation (2) 121:25 150:15 speech (1) 61:2 speeches (1) 202:25 spell (1) 113:23 spend (2) 56:1 75:19 spent (1) 3:19 sphere (1) 80:9 split (3) 4:11 49:21 50:4 spoke (3) 60:24 61:1 133:23 spoken (1) 94:15 sport (2) 19:16 69:23 sporting (1) 26:22 sports (3) 25:20 26:12 166:18 spot (1) 182:23 spotting (1) 46:8 spread (2) 110:13,19 spreadsheet (2) 179:15 182:19 square (1) 156:11 squares (1) 26:19 sro (6) 11:18.23 12:7 13:12 23:9 43:24 stab (1) 202:14 stade (1) 148:1 stadia (4) 25:20 26:12 106:17 166:18 stadium (2) 122:3 186:17 staff (13) 36:17 46:4 56:12.23 88:18 89:20.23 92:19 160:4,10 169:11 185:11,13 stage (13) 24:6 27:14 32:8 61:13 63:14,15 64:3,20 73:13 92:2 158:25 191:8 195:1 stages (3) 25:9 34:3 179:23 stakeholder (2) 37:10 137:18 stakeholders (12) 24:22 32:11 42:21 45:1 47:15 96:8,12,16 97:6 108:12 131:17 136:5 stance (1) 132:15 stand (3) 41:16 168:6 193:7 standard (5) 118:25 119:3.12.15 169:13 standards (4) 118:23 160:7 164:11,12 standoff (3) 118:20 189:1,2 starkly (1) 60:7 start (5) 95:16 106:11 119:11 125:11 152:19 started (5) 82:20 96:7 137:13,16 159:24 starting (4) 58:13 150:9 161:12.14 starts (1) 84:9 stated (3) 155:20 203:4 204:3 statement (46) 4:1 8:22 12:12,25 13:25 14:13 15:3 20:21 21:24 22:12 24:13 32:4 40:11 44:20 47:17 48:20 60:10.23 73:1 84:2 86:18 89:13 90:24 96:3 98:4 114:14 117:20 125:24 126:21 130:14.15.19.21 135:19 154:20 155:9 156:10 158:22 160:22 164:23 166:9 168:21 170:15 198:15 200:5 203:9 statements (1) 172:1 states (2) 159:8 166:17 statutory (1) 162:11 stay (1) 93:24 stayed (1) 139:25 steering (3) 24:21 42:18,20 stepped (2) 159:13,22 stens (11) 25:24 32:24 62:5

193:15 194:24 196:2 197:2 141:4 172:25 173:2 188:17 stewards (1) 188:11 190:24 still (21) 33:9 40:17 44:3,18 supported (4) 85:16 102:20 71:13 72:11 78:20 87:23 165:16 178:11 100:2 107:19 108:2.3 supporter (1) 177:17 118:8 120:12 123:10 supporting (2) 11:22 190:21 139:14,15,20,20 141:16 supports (1) 15:5 198:20 suppose (6) 38:10 42:2 stop (9) 54:7 79:25 82:8,15 93:17 170:22 176:9 180:23 135:2 143:16 144:12 sure (21) 13:3 52:24 54:15 167:20 205:12 74:2 95:11 119:2 120:12 stopped (1) 64:13 128:10 132:15 137:9 store (2) 56:13 148:5 151:23 152:3 159:16 163:5 straight (2) 131:2 200:2 166:10 184:19 197:12,14 strand (10) 5:6 6:20,25 7:2 202:5 204:25 206:2 20:22 23:13 160:17 169:17 surprised (2) 145:13,14 170:8 172:23 survey (2) 113:9 138:2 strands (9) 4:11,13,22 5:12 surveys (2) 48:11 179:1 7:15.18 13:8 17:16 158:15 suspect (1) 149:6 strategic (12) 7:22 8:10 suspected (2) 22:15 159:8 10:13 12:10 13:22 30:4,10 suspicious (3) 21:8 46:8 45:6 99:2 100:1,16 157:22 56:21 suter (1) 205:14 strategically (1) 98:20 strategy (18) 3:24 4:5 8:20 swiftly (2) 106:13 110:7 11:2 12:19 15:25 17:9,12 sworn (4) 1:7 151:17,18 18:17,24 29:9 47:9 53:3 207:8 122:15 16 153:20 symbolic (1) 204:17 159-12-21 sympathise (2) 62:15 63:1 street (2) 51:12 67:15 system (32) 4:24,25 6:2 streets (5) 26:14 64:15 7:20,23,24 8:13 33:25 67:11 69:7 166:20 49:18 95:1 109:9,11 110:6 strengthening (1) 14:2 111:23 114:6.8 117:5 strict (1) 168:16 119:21 128:1 134:23,25 strong (1) 112:9 148:13 158:16 160:25 stronger (1) 202:16 163-3 165-5 174-20 24 strongly (1) 177:4 175:3.11.19 185:17 struck (1) 128:24 systematic (2) 8:2,3 structure (7) 8:17 10:18 systemised (1) 10:14 156:4 158:3,5,25 163:7 systems (1) 108:6 struggling (1) 114:24 student (1) 16:18 style (2) 62:20 63:18

subboard (1) 201:11

subdivided (1) 204:20

subject (10) 90:3 100:4

116:21,21 133:1 139:12

143:10 151:2 178:14

subjective (1) 183:4

subjects (1) 163:16

subsidiary (1) 127:9

subsequently (1) 199:2

substances (2) 23:18 160:13

substantial (2) 55:15 58:5

substantially (1) 195:16

suddenly (3) 16:18 117:8

sufficient (2) 113:16 132:12

sufficiently (2) 50:21 148:22

136:25 140:19 141:1 150:4

suggest (9) 81:6 133:17

171:11 184:23 193:12

suggested (2) 39:11 43:3

suggestion (4) 12:24 34:9

suitable (4) 69:6 187:23

summarised (1) 155:18

superintendent (2)

supervisors (1) 82:13

suppliers (1) 2:20

supply (2) 82:4,6

supplemented (1) 100:6

support (22) 7:5 14:14,25

101:21,21 132:6 137:7

15:1 16:5 18:7 31:20 54:5

55:6 57:6 68:3 74:24 83:21

128:14.20

summarise (2) 155:13 167:3

summary (7) 10:11 16:2,11

24:6 103:1 105:1 168:2

summer (3) 47:7 60:3 87:19

110:17 132:11

136:17.18

188:3,19

suggesting (4) 83:23 84:20

success (1) 118:17

202:11

successful (1) 91:23

tabletop (3) 99:25 100:15 186:19 tackling (1) 170:2 tactical (1) 180:16 tactics (1) 65:7 tailored (3) 53:7 168:24 172:6 taken (23) 25:25 42:17 66:25 69:2 83:13 92:23 96:4 101:4 116:9.16.18 128:3 130:1,9,11,13 132:11 145:23 146:11 147:2 176:10 186:9 197:2 takes (8) 46:9 109:11 170:4 173:14 178:20 179:16 191:4 198:6 takeup (2) 114:18.25 taking (10) 34:22 59:24 105:18 131:5 145:19 158:11 183:23 184:24 186:10 12 talk (15) 12:20 13:4 22:3 24:7.10 38:23 39:1 78:9 83:18 117:11 144:10 161:24 172:1 178:16 188:23 talked (4) 159:15 161:21 177:7 193:22 talking (13) 33:9 39:14,17 56:11 79:14 84:19 106:18 110:23 115:5 169:7 174:25 190:18 193:17 target (6) 18:1,2 25:15 142:11 154:8 203:23 targeted (6) 36:16,22 37:24 45:2 49:2 168:23 targeting (1) 48:1 targets (4) 42:6,13 128:24 146-13 tasking (1) 169:15 tasks (1) 110:2 team (18) 24:18 28:13 29:14 44:17 61:10,21 65:23

90:17 98:7.13.15.17.19

23:8.25 25:17 27:6.9

28:3.11.29:1.11.20.25

32:15,18,22 33:4,20 34:8

threat (79) 5:2 6:18

30:13.25 31:13

99:4 101:1 103:13 173:13 35:9.15.20 37:25 38:14 190-21 40:23 41:1.11 42:7.14 teams (9) 23:15 62:16 94:13 43:11 45:8.14 46:1.6.9.15 100:14 102:4 134:20 47:10.13 49:9.16 52:2.17 160:8.10.18 53:2.6 54:12.12 57:5 59:12 technical (1) 164:10 62:8 63:9 64:9 65:13,15,25 techniques (1) 32:1 66:15 67:16 71:24 81:4 technologies (3) 3:3,4,5 82:22 85:20 86:12,18,21 technology (2) 31:20 54:6 88:23 90:1,5,22 teeth (2) 85:3 198:7 92:3.5.21.24 94:7 96:23 telling (6) 1:23 10:22 28:22 98:16 101:7.19 103:12.25 63:22 152:14 201:9 105:6 107:3.24 108:20 109:5.15.20 110:12 temperer (1) 155:12 templates (1) 57:6 111:14,14,25 113:10,18 tempo (1) 155:15 114:23 115:13,14 117:8 temporary (2) 26:21 43:10 118:5,10 120:7 121:19 tend (1) 146:14 123:17 124:2 130:25 tends (1) 173:11 131:1.8.15 133:9.14 term (7) 31:14 49:7,14,15 136:24 138:9 142:2 143:25 119:3 148:8 196:3 144:17.23 145:16 148:8 terms (35) 5:11,11 10:11 149:22 150:15 152:4 154:24 155:8,12,17 156:23 17:8 32:19 37:9 56:5 101:24 107:22 108:7 109:7 157:6.19.21 159:25 160:20 110:6 112:11 142:25 143:8 163:17 164:21 145:5 154:7 158:4 161:24 165:1,13,16,21,25 168:17 162:9 167:10 172:8,17 169:5,12 170:12 171:21 174-5 185-6 23 23 186-3 172:20 173:1 174:1 8 16 187-20 194-1 2 197-23 175:12 176:14 179:12 202:4,16,17 180:11 181:1 182:19 terrible (1) 176:20 183:16,25 184:1,10,14 terror (2) 22:20 145:19 185:22.23.24 186:1.2.19 terrorise (1) 146:15 187:7.11.14.16 188:7.12 terrorism (38) 4:9 5:2,17,19 189:21 190:2 191:6,10 6:3 8:2 10:17 11:10 12:19 192:7,19 193:6,10 13:23 16:23 20:1 30:19 194-4 11 196-23 198-4 12 53:23.25 55:23 68:9 199:11.15 200:10 76:19,25 79:7 81:10 83:3 201:13,17,21 204:14 94:2,4 145:22 147:18 205:7,11 149:14 159:6 160:24.25 theatres (2) 26:16 166:21 161:18 163:10,12 169:17 theme (1) 161:16 themes (1) 102:4 170:2 191:22 195:25 200:24 themselves (3) 92:13,19 168:22 terrorist (61) 7:3,5,6,8 10:3 14:3.11 15:15.21 18:22 thereafter (1) 105:1 19:3 20:25 23:5 25:15 thered (1) 114:24 therefore (27) 2:25 17:5,7 26:10 32:16,21,25 34:5 35:6 37:14 47:21,23 53:19 72:3 92:16 94:2 96:4 100:9 54:8,9,18 55:3 56:25 57:1 108:14 109:18 110:23 63:7,19,25 65:21 67:3 70:6 119:14 121:15 122:10,20 75:15 77:7,16 78:6 88:14 126:6 143:4 146:20,24 93:23 100:19 128:24 147:10 160:17 168:7 171:7 134:19 135:2 142:11 145:8 181:18 182:5.11 190:25 147:21 149:19 154:1.10.21 theres (46) 8:8 20:3 24:18 155:21 168:20,25 174:9,11 29:16 34:7,22 42:9 54:8,9 178:6 179:25 193:13 77:6 80:20 82:8,14 83:9 terroristrelated (1) 163:15 95:5 100:9 106:7,22 terrorists (8) 18:2 22:15 107:2.19 108:2.2.18 25:22 42:6,13 150:12 109:10 110:8,10,25 111:22 167:12 203:23 113:25 121:25 138:3 test (1) 3:3 149:13.15.16 167:5 175:3 testing (4) 98:25.25 180:3.4 185:9 186:2 173:13.14 189:3.20.21 191:4 194:18 thank (44) 1:16,21 13:5 198:9 61:7,15,19 73:24 85:7,8 theyre (19) 44:18 52:1 56:22 72:2 77:20,23 82:23 97:25 103:12 105:7.11.14.15 106:1 106:12 107:18 110:2 113:5 117:2 120:11 125:5 114:19 120:15,24 122:7 126:24 127:21 128:2 131:2 164:5,11,14 171:7 188:10 132:21 140:25 144:23 thevve (1) 190:24 146:1 147:16 150:21.22.25 thing (1) 63:16 151:4,22 152:11,13,23 thinking (7) 63:20 78:21 190:3 193:1 195:8 203:3 123:18 177:1 186:21 205:17 206:3.6 197:10 198:16 thanked (1) 130:1 thinks (2) 54:19 125:8 thanking (1) 130:10 thinly (2) 110:14,19 thanks (1) 117:3 third (4) 79:13,17 203:16,18 thats (221) 2:9.16 3:22 4:17 thirdly (1) 94:2 thoroughfares (2) 43:1 67:11 9:9.13.18 10:1 11:14.19.25 though (2) 107:21 150:20 12:3,7 13:6,11,24,25 14:10,13 15:12 18:18 thought (8) 41:6,9 50:19 19:20,22 20:14 63:16 99:23 133:7 136:3 21:10,10,15,16,23 22:7 186:11

9:8.15.17.22 10:13 22:4.6.7 32:22 34:5 45:21 46:16 47:21,23,25 48:9,16 53:4 54:8.9.18 55:3 62:19.20.23 63:5.8 64:10,10,14,15 70:6 83:3 94:5 95:10 102:2 134:24 135:12 138:2 144:25 145:2,4,12 146:12,19 147:13 148:11.15 149:4.16.24 154:9 155:7 159:5 161:18 163:14.19 171:2 172:7 178:6 179:18 185:11 191:21 193:17,18 194:2 200:12,13,23 202:2,6,9,17,19,21 203:13 204:7 threats (19) 4:16 32:17,21 37:14 62:24 64:7 65:21 67:3 75:15 81:10 88:14 89:17 120:4 161:6 163:15 164:18 165:6 170:2 174:9 three (5) 65:23 71:24 72:9 160:8,18 threshold (3) 57:11 89:10 111:11 thresholds (1) 52:13 through (54) 15:6 17:10,10,13 20:2 24:2 27:17 30:17 31:23 32:22 37:11,23 39:5 46:5 47:5 49:10 50:17 53:22 57:6.7 60:7 67:16 74:19 75:4 88:18 90:7 97:15,22 103:6 22 104:19 106:13 107:25 109:9 115:1 118:11 119:6 135:1 156:11 162:17 169:5 171:17,20 177:4 178:1 179:16.20 185:14 188:23 197:10,17 201:15 203:15,22 throw (1) 75:24 thursday (3) 1:1 73:10 205:21 ticketed (1) 106:17 ticking (3) 71:22 113:15,16 tier (19) 167:14,14,14 168:13 190:19,19,22 191:24 204:15,15,15,16,20,20,24 205:4,4,8,8 tiered (1) 204:12 tiering (4) 33:25 95:1 167:18 204:9 tiers (2) 168:11 205:1 tiger (2) 199:1,1 tightening (1) 94:20 time (53) 1:9,10 9:3,19 13:3 19:12,12 29:24 33:14,24 34:6 41:12 44:12 54:17 56:4 59:17.20 60:6 64:10.13 74:1 75:19 87:16 88:1 102:1 116:19 117:5 118:8 126:15 127:12 128:3 130:15,21 131:5 133:19 134-5 22 139-25 144:3,7,18,24 145:14 151:5 155:17 163:4 177:1 178:16 195:20 198:14 200:22.25 203:10 timeliness (1) 21:8 times (9) 26:21 31:14 49:8 51:15 75:10 142:21 176:15 185:6 189:18 timetable (1) 58:9 tiny (1) 162:16 today (12) 5:5 6:18 7:9 9:18 22:23 23:23 30:15 123:1 151:24 152:7 155:21 203:20 toes (1) 106:8 together (11) 2:21 5:1 7:23 thoughts (2) 87:5 126:17 10:17 71:24 72:21 121:16 157:18 158:11 163:25 thousand (1) 56:11 thousands (1) 129:22 164:3

told (11) 15:6 35:10 52:19 103:22 106:14 112:19 135:22 137:12 147:17 169:16 201:14 tolerance (1) 63:3 tomorrow (1) 206:5 too (13) 22:8 64:2 67:20,22 109:3 127:17 128:22 148:22 149:7 150:5,11 173:3 183:5 took (3) 42:17 132:13 154:10 tool (19) 179:5.6.8.11.13.14.24 180:2,8 181:2,6 184:17 185:2 186:9,13,13,24 187:1 196:15 tools (1) 53:10 topic (8) 4:2 58:25 96:1 98:1 102:19 189:12 195:19 196:24 topics (2) 98:2 105:8 total (1) 154:11 touched (5) 40:10 106:12 110:7 161:3 198:18 touching (1) 107:17 tourists (1) 18:10 towards (4) 120:19,21 126-12 134-7 town (3) 69:6 89:9 97:21 towns (1) 50:10 tracker (1) 105:5 tracking (1) 13:9 trade (2) 87:2 96:10 traditionally (1) 51:19 tragedy (1) 134:19 tragic (3) 134:15 150:9 194:21 trained (2) 178:10,11 training (25) 36:16,23 46:3,7 53:7,16 54:5 56:15,17 58:4 89:20 98:10 99:8 169:15 178:9,13,17 179:4,4,10 186:19 188:14 189:13 190:2 191:2 tranche (1) 136:19 transaction (1) 21:8 transcribers (1) 116:22 transformational (1) 176:3 transport (13) 14:6 17:17,21,22 21:18,25 22:19 26:17 43:16 65:8.10 166:23 205:24 tread (1) 106:8 treat (1) 4:24 treated (2) 7:25 143:13 tremendously (1) 52:12 tributes (1) 61:24 tricky (1) 27:15 truly (1) 127:25 trusts (1) 17:1 try (6) 18:16 82:23 135:3 149:23 195:18 198:13 trying (18) 5:24 24:24 27:18 39:22 45:16 54:17 67:19 74:14 79:24 80:1 89:12 90:10 107:25 114:3 117:12 121:6 126:20 130:18 turn (16) 20:20 24:12 41:19 59:2 61:8 64:18 66:7 98:1 115:18 153:21 165:9 166:8 171:22 172:21 173:16 198:17 turning (2) 20:22 168:21 turns (1) 158:13 twice (3) 181:22,24 189:17 type (8) 2:25 64:12 78:7 79:2 90:19 158:18 183:18 184:12 types (5) 50:8 89:24 148:11 154:15 186:16 п

Opus 2 Official Court Reporters

115:8 129:7 147:19 174:10

uk (29) 7:21 17:19

toilets (1) 177:8

18:8,9,10,21 72:23 78:16

87:2 93:10 103:8.18 114:2

119:22 148:12 154:2 155:7

159-8 163-12 168-20 170:20 171:6.10 176:3 190:20 200:24 204:6,8,18 uks (5) 3:24 14:4 21:14.18.25 ultimate (1) 38:10 ultimately (7) 38:11 68:15 74:6 91:24 170:7 195:23 198:6 um (1) 124:15 unconnected (1) 102:17 underestimating (1) 39:25 undergone (1) 52:4 underlines (1) 95:11 underlying (1) 133:25 underneath (3) 130:7 157:24 158:3 underpinned (2) 52:25 103:22 underrated (1) 80:15 understand (53) 14:14 17:20 22:9 34:23,25 38:17 51:20 52:13 56:21 64:16 70:15 71:16 74:22 79:6 81:17 84:24 85:4 87:5 91:8 93:15 94:21 95:9 96:19 100:12 15 106:9 122:13 124-13 130-16 131-3 134-8 135:3,18 136:24 137:13,21 141:24 142:8 144:15 146:16 152:4 165:2 175:7 177:13 178:4 182:3 185:16,18 187:10 193:9 195:14 196:19 197:21 understanding (10) 9:21 38:20 39:2 89:10.10.11 90:10 147:18 186:13 190:1 understate (1) 177:13 understood (6) 45:15 57:22 123:7 163:14 184:19 194:19 undertake (3) 169:13 171:8 199:19 undertaken (10) 36:3 37:9 58:10 65:19 86:9.10.19 87:7 94:9 135:7 undertaking (3) 66:22 85:5 164:6 unfortunately (2) 87:19 148:9 unhappy (1) 94:11 unintended (1) 93:8 unit (3) 2:19 99:6.8 united (6) 4:4,8 154:12 156:5 159:8 169:8 universal (1) 172:6 universities (2) 26:16 166:22 university (1) 16:17 unless (7) 1:20 83:2 93:13 136:4 140:8 172:11 193:14 unlikely (2) 93:23 151:25 unprecedented (2) 154:7 155:16 unsatisfactory (1) 35:4 unsurprisingly (1) 160:17 until (11) 29:7 47:12 59:18 61:13 87:20 96:14 105:2 116:9 159:11,20 206:9 updated (7) 4:1 10:6 12:12 24:13 38:5 47:22 98:4 updates (1) 43:23 upload (1) 103:5 upon (18) 28:24 57:24 66:17 67:1 68:24 99:17 106:12 110:7 114:9 127:25,25 128:10 135:24 142:24 146:5 164:7 190:8 198:18 urgency (1) 126:16 used (19) 3:9 23:7 26:4 28:5 31:14 49:7 65:20 75:23 76:5 83:4 128:24 136:24 162:4 168:9 174:12,13 189:9 199:1.10 useful (1) 28:8 users (2) 164:12 204:19 using (11) 12:14 39:21 40:17

75:21 83:4 97:18 111:16 134:5 143:9 202:18.18 usually (1) 104:1 utilise (1) 186:18 utilised (1) 61:11 utilises (1) 163:7 utility (1) 70:1 utterly (1) 85:1

131:23 132:11 144:1

200:19

watch (1) 1:13

watched (1) 38:18

watershed (1) 154:2

19:23 30:2,15 33:23

88:2 89:13 91:6 94:25

107:4 113:22 115:1,21

123:2 124:11 130:15

157:22 162:17 164:3

176:6 180:25 189:9

76:2 91:19 102:10

weapon (2) 202:18,18

113:4,5,19,22 117:3

121:23 123:23 126:23

weapons (1) 154:17

127:7 207:5

130:20

206:6

wed (1) 13:13

wednesday (1) 73:25

weeks (5) 43:21 44:3

95:17,21 140:24

relcome (1) 73:13

wellmeant (1) 128:11

west (2) 118:12 198:23

56:1 97:9 109:1 123:8

182:9 195:6 198:1,5

whenever (2) 37:3 51:21

whereas (4) 108:1 144:12

whichever (2) 1:14 91:6

135:6 141:1 172:22

whole (16) 13:17 72:23

whod (1) 78:5

whoever (1) 146:24

wherever (3) 35:19 48:17,18

whilst (7) 4:24 41:15.23 93:4

145:18 165:1

200:13 202:12

went (1) 48:2

195:13 205:20 206:5

wealth (2) 150:6,7

194:7,22 197:7 204:18

value (2) 60:17 193:14 variables (1) 186:15 varied (1) 64:8 varies (6) 170:20 171:5,6,8,13 184:17 variety (2) 49:10 96:8 various (16) 8:6 9:11 13:19 25:9 60:24 137:17 138:1 141:6 154:22 156:13.13 157:17 166:6 187:18 188:16 199:13 vary (3) 26:21 27:1 185:21 vbied (1) 148:8 vector (1) 194:2 vehicle (6) 31:22 90:6 118:19 182:16 189:11 202:18 vehicleborne (1) 198:25 vehicles (1) 154:17 venue (9) 110:24 111:18 113:8 143:13,14 147:3 176:8,11 184:11 venues (9) 26:13 60:19 106:17 112:2,8 121:12,13 166:18 185:12 version (2) 10:6,8 vetting (1) 185:13 via (5) 58:4.4.5 87:25 170:5 victims (3) 7:5 61:2,25 viewed (1) 42:3 viewing (1) 35:3 views (6) 32:8 91:2 116:22 163:2 177:13,14 village (1) 97:21 villages (1) 50:10 violent (1) 82:14 vips (2) 6:15 23:17 virtually (1) 142:21 virtue (3) 25:15 26:11 142:12 vision (1) 10:13 visit (5) 97:24 189:14,16 190:9 191:24 visited (2) 184:21 196:7 visiting (1) 97:20 visitor (2) 26:15 166:20 vitally (1) 90:25 vocal (1) 60:18 voice (1) 74:2 voluntarily (1) 60:19 voluntary (16) 34:3,11,16,21,23 35:7 109:17 114:8,9 115:11 117:4 119:13,18 120:5,15,24 vulnerabilities (7) 4:19,20 6:12,15 121:21 149:23 204:7 vulnerability (23) 20:25 22:25 32:25 111:7 118:18 119:19 147:9 167:25 174:10.24 179:25 199:6,13,20 200:9,11,13,19 201:16.16.25 202:8 204:11 vulnerable (7) 5:21 19:4 23:1 40:12,19,22 168:25

97:9,16 99:1,2 103:8 114:2 119:22 151:21 160:25 179:21 185:14,17 190:20 200:9 wholly (2) 102:17 114:5 whom (5) 45:1 85:12 169:18 178:9 187:3 whos (1) 107:22 whose (1) 187:15 wide (4) 60:16 68:21 87:13 vait (1) 62:17 waiting (4) 139:14,15,17 widely (1) 204:18 151:20 wider (2) 24:3 95:2 wake (1) 47:20 wielding (1) 202:13 wales (1) 71:13 willingly (1) 134:10 walking (1) 51:12 willingness (1) 118:22 warnings (1) 163:15

vasnt (16) 13:2 41:24 44:12 winchester (2) 98:11 101:15 50:24 72:6 84:1 130:4.14 wish (2) 60:22 146:15 wishes (1) 5:12 145:20 159:11.20 192:11 witness (26) 1:6.12.17 4:1 8:22 12:12 13:25 19:6 32:4 40:11 73:1 84:17 98:4 113:1 127:4,4 151:7,8,9,16 154:20 156:9 158:22 way (57) 4:23 9:14 15:3 17:2 160:21 198:15 200:5 witnesses (2) 136:15 191:12 34:10.15 37:18.22 44:19 omans (1) 128:25 45:10 47:17 52:20 55:7 wonder (1) 185:21 58:23 72:5.24 76:2 85:25 wont (20) 28:7 54:8 56:10 64:6 78:18 79:25 82:2 97:14 100:20,25 102:10,18 113:15 120:16 124:23,24 126:23 140:17 149:19 150:19 156:7 188:8 192:17 140:14 143:1,13 145:9,10 195:6.22 work (62) 2:20,25 3:2,13 5:1 170:24 171:12 173:8 175:5 6:2 14:17 15:5 18:10 20:24 23:13,20 24:15,17 32:6,9 36:3 37:9 43:3 52:7 58:9 ways (6) 19:2 30:16 36:18 59:21.22 60:15 61:6 62:16 65:19 66:1,11,12,22 67:1 68:15 72:16 82:2 85:19 86:9,18 87:7 96:15 17 19 21 99:4 weatherby (13) 105:18,21,22 116:12 138:2 148:10 149:2,2 161:1,9 163:25 164:8 165:19 171:7 172:23 173:2,8,15 174:18 203:11 website (3) 97:17 129:21 206:7 workarounds (1) 126:1 worked (2) 85:11 193:9 working (16) 3:20 8:1 10:15 week (6) 55:13 64:11 94:6 24:3.18 29:8 86:24 91:14 96:16 134:21 158:7 weekend (3) 191:10 205:13 162:13,19 166:5 199:19 workplace (1) 68:7 works (9) 14:22 24:22 90:25 143:19 162:23,24 179:20 185:21,23 world (2) 9:25 146:19 werent (3) 43:2 70:22 150:6 worry (1) 25:1 worse (1) 5:24 worship (2) 26:17 166:22 westminster (4) 44:4,21 80:2 worth (1) 81:14 weve (23) 38:18 40:10 49:7 wouldbe (1) 93:23 57:16 58:24 84:25 86:24 wouldnt (21) 30:7 51:14 89:4 93:15 94:25 103:13 67:13 68:4 77:21 88:3 108:11 109:17 112:17.18 93:12 113:23 120:10 125:4 120:17 135:13 138:8 149:3 132:8.11 134:14 136:9 165:2 167:1 181:8 184:6 183:6 195:15 198:4 202:24 185:13 188:13 202:10 whatever (10) 35:24 55:9 write (1) 139:3 writing (3) 43:23 132:22,24 whats (9) 28:9 73:20 108:23 wrong (2) 83:4 122:4 116:7 130:25 140:7 142:6 wrongly (1) 113:10

> y (3) 183:3 196:8,8 year (20) 25:18 44:1 50:17 58:12,15,17,20 60:3 100:3,19 102:1 111:6,11 148:16 150:7 154:13 181:22.24 189:17 191:4 years (14) 2:17 3:15.17.19 30:1 59:14 62:21 67:19 122:24,25 161:8,17 170:25 178:21 yeses (1) 182:20 yesno (1) 182:17 yesterday (1) 84:13 yet (10) 78:22 86:4 90:21 94:8 123:6 127:10 138:7 139:4,23 151:20 youd (8) 60:22 74:1 100:23 109:25,25 138:13 149:6

x (7) 176:9,10 183:3 192:19

196:8.8 207:1

youll (14) 12:13 28:7 34:25 35:15 37:21 38:4 100:24 115:19 119:17 131:3 133:15 134:8 135:18 141:23 young (1) 16:14 youre (64) 5:3,6 6:9 20:10 28:22 33:21 39:14 40:2,2 49:24 51:19 54:16 55:12 56:1.6 63:21 64:20 67:13 68-5 69-14 72-6 78-17 79:14.20 82:15 84:11.15 88:5 91:21 93:21 96:20 105:8 115:5 121:6 123:4 129:16 132:18 137:6 138:15 139:14,15 140:21 141:23 143:17,20 147:6 148:9 153:24 158:23 169:7 181-20 183-18 184-8 185-9 186:14 187:25 191:23 192:22 194:3 196:19 198:5 199:9 200:2 201:9 yourself (1) 73:16 youve (45) 3:8 9:2 12:12 17:25 21:1 25:2 37:21 48:20 52:19 56:19 61:9.23.23 74:4 82:3 86:18 88-1 95-7 100-6 103-22 106:14 110:7 117:18 121:21 122:2 124:17 125:14,23 128:10,17 131:6 138:6 141:5 143:20 145:18 151:20,23 156:6 161:2 162:4,25 182:4 186:24 198-18 201-12

z (2) 196:8,8 zero (1) 181:17 zone (2) 55:10 108:23 zones (3) 50:9 54:2 108:21 1 (16) 50:16 57:19 111:6,11 121:21 140:23 154:20 167:14 178:23 190:19

204:15,16 205:4,8 207:3,4

10 (6) 3:15 8:22 62:21

100 (2) 181:4.4

104:17 133:22 178:22

101 (1) 114:14 105 (2) 44:19 207:5 107 (1) 47:18 109 (1) 48:20 **11 (2)** 118:2 160:4 **1112 (1)** 61:16 112 (1) 60:9 1130 (1) 61:14 1132 (1) 61:18 113c (1) 80:13 119 (3) 90:24 96:2 128:4 12 (1) 1:1 **128 (1)** 207:6 **13 (4)** 3:17,19 154:11 160:22 138 (1) 98:4 14 (1) 164:22 14000odd (1) 142:20 **15 (2)** 116:20,23 **151 (1)** 207:8 152 (1) 207:9 15yearold (1) 183:10 16 (2) 20:21 206:10 17 (4) 68:21,24 69:3 155:4 18 (4) 44:2 73:25 166:8 203:25 **182 (3)** 77:4,7 80:18 **19 (3)** 13:25 83:6 204:1 **1974 (2)** 66:1 148:4 1992 (2) 152:17,25 **1996 (1)** 169:22 1998 (2) 66:3 68:19

19a (2) 74:7 81:24

2 (20) 2:17 29:21 59:14 66:21 122:24 129:23 139:14.18 140:24 156:9 167:14 178:21.23 190:19.22 204:15.20.24 205:4,8 20 (4) 62:21 168:21 200:18 201:14 200 (8) 33:14,16,19 110:10,15,22 169:5 174:2 2000 (1) 9:17 2001 (2) 159:7.9 2002 (2) 159:3.18 2003 (6) 8:20,25 66:5 69:12 199:18,24 2005 (1) 159:18 2006 (1) 82:14

201:11,18 203:4,14

2014 (11) 47:22 117:21

199:12 201:7 202:25

2017 (54) 10:2,12 27:23

30:15 31:5 32:19 33:9

47:20 59:24 62:7 94:23

102:4,8,17 117:8 129:24

130:11 137:25 142:7,13

143:9 144:6 147:5,21

154:2,11,12,25 155:19

160:2 161:12 176:20.24

2018 (8) 10:10 26:3 28:6

29:7 42:15,24 128:22

2019 (6) 2:8 45:25 47:7 61:1

2020 (7) 1:1 59:3.18 60:11

22 (9) 40:3 118:11 129:24

130:11 137:22 142:20

147:21 154:12 169:22

2200 (2) 74:22 78:16

23 (2) 104:22 118:13

220000 (1) 79:13

247 (2) 144:9.19

253 (1) 151:13

27 (1) 170:18

28 (1) 154:13

29 (2) 24:12 105:2

2a (2) 204:20 205:5

2b (2) 204:21 205:5

2year (1) 179:2

85:10 116:9 206:10

148:15 149:6,10,12

150:5,7,10 153:23

177:5 191:2

101:20 122:16

21 (2) 82:12 83:5

215 (1) 128:6

154:25

99:17,21 100:9,18

40-15 41-2 19 20 42-2 8 10

203:20

2015 (1) 102:24

2016 (1) 176:23

118-7 25 120-2 166-13 14

6 (5) 43:21,23 44:3 95:17,21 2007 (1) 199:1 60 (1) 171:3 2008 (6) 118:12,14 **600000 (1)** 33:18 159:13.22 198:22 199:12 600000odd (1) 79:15 2009 (1) 9:4 65 (1) 200:5 2010 (1) 25:12 650000 (2) 33:12 79:16 **2011 (2)** 9:4 25:18 2012 (1) 29:6 2013 (8) 199:22,25 200:4,6

72 (1) 205:9

423 (1) 195:11

440 (1) 206:8

445 (1) 195:19

45 (1) 201:19

46 (1) 98:4

48 (1) 20:21

49 (1) 22:12

5 (3) 30:1 182:5,9

500 (1) 195:6

51 (2) 12:11,25

52 (2) 12:11,25

8 (1) 6:23 **87 (1)** 24:13

9 (3) 3:25 59:14 122:25 **911 (1)** 159:7 930 (4) 1:2 104:21 206:4.9 942 (1) 1:4 95 (3) 32:4,5 36:4 96 (2) 32:5 36:2 9month (1) 87:8

3 (7) 10:8,11 29:21 66:23 167:14 178:24 204:15 30 (4) 89:5 91:10 127:15 158-24 304 (1) 151:15 31 (3) 29:7 73:16 160:2 **32 (2)** 74:5 173:18 33 (1) 40:11 **35 (1)** 122:25 **36 (3)** 73:16,22 119:5 3week (1) 178:19

4 (3) 10:2 161:8.17 **405 (1)** 195:9