

- 2.3 The scoring process used may appear challenging at first appearance but as you progress through the scoring mechanism there is a large degree of common scoring and self replication. The scoring tool is also self-calculating and will provide a percentage improvement score at its conclusion.

Following the initial assessment, the process to be followed will be one of maintenance and update of the scoring tool.

### 3. Scoring Tool Guidance

- 3.1 The majority of the technical and specific protective security standards or advice is provided in **Annex B**.

These have been sourced from CPNI, NaCTSO, ACPO and Police documents and policies. The user should refer to these when completing the questionnaire as an aid. Consideration of any security improvement measures should be proportionate to the site operation and not all the measures may necessarily have to be implemented or achieve the specific technical standard – **“Something is better than nothing”** .

- 3.2 Where there is no specific officially produced guidance, the help and explanations in **Annex A** have been produced to help steer you to an appropriate answer. These have been developed based on good practice and experience and are solely illustrative in nature and are meant to encourage wider thinking and eventual engagement. Please note that some of the headings are relevant across all attack methods, but need to be considered in the context of each attack type. The examples are not prescriptive or exhaustive and you should use your experience, training and local good practice to determine criteria eligibility.

“Up To Scores”

- 3.3 With the scoring process, some mitigations are highlighted as “up to Scores“. These are highlighted with a yellow background i.e. 24. This is the maximum score a measure can achieve if all areas are covered or processes are undertaken. Where a site has implemented some of the measure, but not all, credit should be given for this and a percentage of the total can be given. Experience and good judgement should be exercised in the allocation of these scores.

Example: **Training:** Operation Fairway (Total achievable score 4) – If Only Security Staff have received the Fairway input then a full score should not be given. If all staff (or significant majority coverage) have received the input then a full score can be given.