

12. The aggregate score for the October 2014 assessment at row 371, column I, should be recorded as 567, this being the same score achieved in August 2014. Due to the short period of time between the two assessment visits there had been little time for additional changes or developments at the Arena.
13. Having reviewed the October 2014 composite scores within column G, rows 9 to 360 of PSIA [INQ001541] I have identified where the errors occur. The first relates to the assessment criteria for **Operationally sensitive** Within attack type 1.0 – Non Penetrative Vehicle attack, the assessment criteria **Operationally sensitive** **Operationally sensitive** is assessed at row 70. A score of **os** is recorded in column G which is incorrect and should have been recorded as **os** This assessment criteria is present within attack type 2.0 – Penetrative Vehicle Attack at row 143, attack type 3.0 – PBIED (Suicide) Attack at row 207 and attack type 4.0 – Placed IED Attack at row 278. The score of **os** has been automatically replicated in all of these sections due to the programming of the NaCTSO PSIA assessment tool. This has the result of increasing the aggregate score by 8 points. This incorrect point score award has continued on all subsequent PSIA assessments to date but will be rectified on the next submission to NaCTSO.
14. For clarity I confirm that **Operationally sensitive** should be **os** and not **os** across each section. This remains the position to date as Arena representatives have not yet attended the relevant seminar around **Operationally sensitive** which had been scheduled to take place on 20th March 2020, but was cancelled due to Covid 19. Had they attended they would then have been in a position to implement this product and evidence that to me, then giving them the additional score of **os** in each of the 4 relevant attack types.
15. The second error relates to the assessment criteria of **Operationally Sensitive** **Operationally Sensitive** Within attack type 1.0 – Non Penetrative Vehicle attack, the assessment criteria **Operationally Sensitive** is assessed at row 46. A score of **os** is recorded in column G which is incorrect and should have been recorded as **os** This assessment criteria is present within attack type 2.0 – Penetrative Vehicle Attack at row 119 where the score of **os** has been replicated. This has the result of increasing the aggregate score by **os** points. This incorrect point score award has continued on all subsequent PSIA assessments to date but will be rectified on the next submission to NaCTSO.