OPUS₂

Manchester Arena Inquiry

Day 71

March 8, 2021

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: +44 (0)20 3008 5900

Email: transcripts@opus2.com

Website: https://www.opus2.com

1 Monday, 8 March 2021 2. (9.58 am) MR DE LA POER: Sir, can I just indicate the shape of today before we begin with the gentleman who is in the witness 4 5 box. We're anticipating hearing from three witnesses 6 from the Greater Manchester Fire and Rescue Service: 7 Mr Nolan, who will be first, then a Ms Turner, and 8 finally a Mr Gray this afternoon. But we'll begin, 9 please, with Mr Nolan. 10 MR JON NOLAN (affirmed) 11

Questions from MR DE LA POER

- MR DE LA POER: Can we begin, please, with you stating your 12 13 full name?
- A. Jonathan Paul Nolan. 14
- 15 Q. Mr Nolan, you currently hold the rank of station 16 manager, is that right?
- 17
- Q. And that is within the Greater Manchester Fire and 18 19 Rescue Service?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Before we come to your rank on the night of 22 May, 2.2 let's deal with your role at the moment. Do you work 23 within the Contingency Planning Unit?
- 2.4 A. I do, yes.
- 2.5 Q. Just give us an outline, please, briefly, of how that

- 1 differs or what the responsibilities are as compared to 2 your role as watch manager.
- 3 A. Okay. In the Contingency Planning Unit I'm a station
- 4 manager and I have certain references which include
- 5 business continuity and airport liaison officer. Beyond
- 6 that, there's miscellaneous activities I do as on
- 7 request, like risk management things. I also turn out
- 8 as a flexi duty officer operationally to operational
- 9 incidents. How that differs from a watch manager is as 10 the watch manager, I was in charge of a specific watch
- 11 on a station with quite prescribed tasks.
- 12 Q. We'll come and look at those tasks in a moment. Is it
- 13 right that in your role as a Contingency Planning Unit
- 14 station manager, you authored a report in relation to
- 15 Exercise Largo, which is an exercise which took place
- 16 after the Manchester Arena attack?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And we will, at the conclusion of your evidence, just
- 19 look briefly at what you said in that report, but
- that is to come. 20
- 2.1 Let's now take you back to the start of your career,
- 2.2 which was over 27 years ago; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes
- 24 Q. And have you always worked for the Greater Manchester
- 25 Fire and Rescue Service?

- 1
- 2 Q. So having started all those years ago, did you progress 3 to the rank of watch manager?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When did you become a watch manager, please?
- 6 A. I think I became a permanent watch manager after about 20 years' service, it would have been about... Sorry,
- 8 because I think I did lots of temporary positions --
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Don't worry, we'll find out. It's
- 10 a matter of record, no doubt.
- 11
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It's not a memory test. 12
- MR DE LA POER: Absolutely not. 13
- Is it right to say that you'd had a number of years 14
- 15 of experience as a watch manager as at 2 May 2017?
- 16
- 17 Q. That's perhaps sufficient for our purposes. Were you,
- 18 on 22 May, in charge of Blue Watch?
- 19
- 20 Q. You were at a particular fire station, which we are not
- 21 going to identify between us, but did that fire station
- 22 include a specialist response team capability?
- 23 A. Yes. it did.
- 2.4 Q. We're going to need to look together at what the
- 2.5 specialist response team is, please.

- 1 Were you trained to participate in the specialist
- 2 response team?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. When had you joined the specialist response team
- 5 approximately?
- 6 A. I originally came to the station as a crew manager and
- 7 did some training then, so that would have been not long 8
- after $\,--\,$ not the initial team set-up, so maybe 2014,
- 9 I would think
- 10 Q. So again, you'd had a number of years', three, by the
- 11 sound of it, experience as a member of that team?
- 12
- 13 Q. Were you, on 22 May, effectively the leader of that team
- 14 for your fire station in your role as watch manager?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. The training that you'd had up until 22 May, had you
- 17 received specialist training for your role as a member
 - of the specialist response team?
- 19

18

- 20 Q. Had that training started before you joined the team or
- 21 was it once you joined the team that you received the
- 2.2 training?
- 23 A. Once you join that station, you then pick up on the
- 24 training and -- through input from people on the watch
- 25 or on actual training days with the actual trainers.

2

- 1 Q. We're going to look at the detail of a small amount of 2 that training in a moment, but I'd just like to ask you 3 a general question about it. As at 22 May of 2017, did 4 you feel that your training was adequate to equip you 5 for dealing with an Operation Plato marauding firearms terrorist incident? 6
- A. At the time I thought it was reasonably sufficient . I don't think it would have dealt with the chaos around 8 9 it . It probably is a bit, looking ... At the time we 10 probably thought it was a bit too formalised and didn't 11 deal with the potential chaos that may ensue.
- 12 Q. Let's just have a look at a part of that training now 13 and we'll come back to the answer you've given. I don't want to leave that altogether. Let's have a look at 14 15 some of the detail.

 $\{INQ039928/1\}$. We are not going to look at all of your training, I just want to look at the most recent and just explore with you the nature of it. Can you see -- about seven lines down in the far right-hand column, we see the date of 26 April 2017?

A. Yes. 2.1

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

- 2.2 Q. We can see that that date repeats over a number of rows, 2.3 approximately 10 to 15 rows, where clearly you have 2.4 undertaken a number of modules of training on that day.
- 25

5

- Q. If we just read into the record a couple of them to get the flavour of them.
- We can see the first one is explaining the terms hot, warm and cold zones. And the second, a reference to the limit of exploitation, which the inquiry has already received a substantial body of evidence in relation to. Can you agree, if we read down there, all of those modules are specific, aren't they, to your
- 9 SRT role?
- 11 Q. And they are, each of them, different aspects of that 12 role were you to be deployed to an Operation Plato-style 13 incident?
- A. Yes 14
- 15 Q. So help us with this is training. Was that online 16 modules that you undertook or did you attend some sort 17 of classroom-based or practical training?
- 18 A. That could either have been classroom training with my 19 watch, going through it. Sometimes we did it in the 2.0 evening, so when we were doing maintenance equipment, 21 we'd talk through that. The actual specific date. 2.2 I wouldn't know if that was a formal training session 2.3 delivered by one of the trainers or whether it was me as 2.4 the watch manager or the crew manager delivering that
- 25 training at watch level.

- 1 Q. We can check that, but you plainly did deliver some 2
 - training in your role as watch manager. Did you feel
- 3 equipped to deliver that training to those you were 4 working with?
- A. Yes. Yes. With regards the elements there, yes. 5
- 6 Because it's mainly donning equipment, how to use the 7 equipment, the roles and $\,$ responsibilities $\,$ -- $\,$ yes.
- Q. Was there a formal programme of training which required 8
- 9 you to undertake it at particular intervals of time or
- 10 was it very much when you found time to undertake it
- 11 that you took that opportunity?
- 12 A. There is a pre-prescribed programme of training.
 - I couldn't tell you exactly how often it was for this
- 14 particular type of training, but some things are every
- 15 2 months, some things quarterly -- I think every quarter
- of the year $--\sin$ -monthly or annual. But for this 16
- 17 particular type of training I don't know.
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So for most people would this be
- 19 a refresher training essentially because it all looks
- 2.0 fairly basic stuff?
- 2.1 A. Yes. It would be refresher --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I don't mean to be rude, but --22
- 2.3 A. Yes.

13

- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So when explaining the terms, hot, warm,
- 25 cold zones, most of your team would already know that

- 1 except people who had arrived very recently?
- 2. A. Yes, I'd be very surprised if they didn't know.
- 3 MR DE LA POER: Looking at the top of {INQ039928/3} for the
- chairman's reference, you do speak about training
- 5 exercises that you undertook.
- 6 A. Yes
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{So}\;\mathsf{before}\;\mathsf{22}\;\mathsf{May}\;\mathsf{2017},\;\mathsf{had}\;\mathsf{you}\;\mathsf{undertaken}\;\mathsf{any}\;\mathsf{practical}\;$ 7
- 8 exercises in relation to the SRT response to an
- 9 Operation Plato declaration?
- 10 A. Yes

2.4

- 11 Q. What were the frequency of those practical training
- 12 exercises, was it one or two, or were they regular
- 13
- 14 A. I think at the time they were about every year. We did
- 15 get some extra ones drop in, but they may have just been
- 16 with the HART team. We did some bespoke first aid ones
- 17 with the HART team at their venue. But that's -- yeah,
- 18 probably once a year.
- 19 Q. We've heard different types of training exercises, some
- 2.0 are termed tabletop, some are more live action with
- 21 actors or people playing a role. What type of training
- 2.2 exercises were these that you were undertaking?
- 23 The ones I did were more the training exercises, not the
 - actual -- those named exercises where they come with
- 25 a name. Mine were like the training ones where we went

- 1 down to the police firearms and we did the training 2
- 3 Q. So just help me to understand that a little bit better. 4 You would go down to the police firearms in the example 5 you have given?
- A. Yes 6

17

- 7 Q. And would they give you a presentation or would it be a discussion or how would that training operate? 8
- 9 A. We'd do a presentation on zoning, equipment that we 10 carry, that GMP specialists carry and what HART carry. 11 And then we would later on, probably in the afternoon, 12 there'd be an exercise run by the NILOs -- basically 13 a scenario would be given and the NILO officers and ambulance team leaders and GMP firearms -- not always --14 15 there wasn't always a tactical firearms officer there 16 but generally someone from the training team took that
- 18 Q. You indicate in your statement that there wasn't 19 generally an incident commander present.
- 20 A. No. Generally speaking, it was the NILOs who were 2.1 giving tactical advice and they would deploy us as the 2.2 specialist response team.
- 2.3 Q. And in your view, would the training that you'd done 2.4 have benefited from having a separate incident commander
- role present or was it adequate for the NILOs to play

9

1 that role?

understanding?

- 2 A. In one respect it's adequate for the NILOs to play that 3 role, but the way we run an incident, we always have an incident commander, so it would have been beneficial,
- 5 I would say, to have a non-NILO incident commander or another incident commander there. 6
- 7 Q. I'm just going to come back now to the answer you gave earlier about perhaps the training lacking giving you 8 9 the necessary understanding of the chaos of a live 10 incident. The sort of exercises that you've described 11 sound, and tell me if I've misunderstood, quite 12 classroom-based, not an attempt to recreate an actual 13 situation . Do you think that if you'd had access to 14 such training that would have improved your
- 15 16 A. I would say more training is always beneficial. The 17 training that we did was a bit of classroom and then 18 practical, especially the stuff we did at GMP, and there 19 was -- I suppose in the initial stages of an incident 2.0 it is chaotic, no matter what type of incident. That 21 type of training we had was more reminiscent of an 2.2 incident that's almost been stabilised and then
- 23 deployed. So I do think a bit more training towards the 2.4 start of an incident would have benefited.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Before you go on, and it may be you're

10

- coming to this, but we have heard quite a lot of an
 - exercise called Winchester Accord, which was a live
- 3 exercise, which took place approximately a year before
- 4 the actual incident at the arena. Were you already at
- that time part of the SRT? 5
- 6 A. Yes, I was.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Did you take part in Winchester Accord? 7
- 8 A. No, I was off duty for that exercise.
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But your team did take part in it?
- 10 A. One of the other watches at the station would have taken 11
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 13 MR DE LA POER: What I would like to do now, please.
- 14 Mr Nolan, is look at a little more detail at the
- 15 categories of additional training that you had received
- 16 as a member of the SRT.
- 17
- 18 Q. I'll make clear in a moment what I mean by that. Let's 19 do this by reference to the vehicles. An SRT vehicle is
- 20 different from a fire appliance, isn't it?
- 21 A. Yes.

2

- 22 Q. It has a number of additional aspects as well as its 2.3 firefighting capacity; is that right?
- 2.4 It doesn't have any firefighting capacity.
- 25 Q. None at all?

11

- 1 A. None at all. The vehicle where I was based in -- for
 - a while there was a vehicle based with one of the other
- 3 teams, which was to all intents and purposes a fire engine, but at the time ours was just a van.
- 5 Q. All right. The design of that vehicle makes it suitable
- 6 for going into areas where there may be firearms; 7
- is that right?
- 8 A. Yes, it does have some ballistic protection.
- 9 Q. Let's look at the equipment, but before we do, can
- 10 I just give this warning. My expectation is that going
- 11 into this level of detail may be upsetting for some
- 12 people, so I just make clear what we're going to do
- 13 before we do it so people can make a decision about how
- they arrange themselves for this period of your 15 evidence.

14

2.2

24

25

- 16 We're going to look at the specifics of the 17 equipment that was on an SRT vehicle and we're going to
- 18 explore the training that you had had in order to be
- 19 able to use that equipment. All right? So I'll just
- 2.0 give a moment for people to understand that. It's
- 21 difficult to estimate precisely how long this will take.
 - but I would have thought it will occupy the next 5 to
- 23 10 minutes.

(Pause)

We will begin perhaps with the less controversial

- 1 aspects of this, in some people's minds at least.
- 2 Firstly, personal protective equipment. Within that SRT
- 3 vehicle are there ballistic jackets and ballistic plates
- 4 which will give some protection to those who put them
- 5 on?
- 6 A. Yes.
- $7\,$ Q. So is there an expectation that when you operate one of
- 8 these vehicles, those who are leaving it don that
- 9 equipment so they have that protection?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Had you received training in the capabilities of that
- 12 equipment?
- 13 A. Yes
- Q. Next, first aid kits. This is where I suspect somedistress may be caused.
- Tell us, please, whether these were basic first aid kits or whether they had additional elements over and
- 18 above that which would normally be carried by a fire
- 19 appliance?
- $20\,$ $\,$ A. It was additional. There was some basic stuff, but
- there was more blast dressings and blood clotting type
- 22 dressings.
- $23\,$ $\,$ Q. It's not a memory test. I'm going to take you through
- 24 the items that you identify in your witness statement.
- Sir, I'm looking at page 2, the second paragraph.

- 1 You indicate that it contained haemostatic
- 2 dressings. What do you understand a haemostatic
- 3 dressing to be for?
- $4\,$ A. It will aid blood clotting in the event of a large blood
- 5 **loss** .
- 6 Q. Chest seals?
- 7 A. A puncture wound to a chest, it would help with that.
- 8 Q. An airway?
- 9 A. Airway, again, they're carried in standard first aid
- 10 kits as well, but an airway can be inserted into
- 11 a casualty's mouth to maintain their airway.
- 12 Q. Tourniquet?
- 13 A. Tourniquet, in the event of a massive bleed in one of
- 14 the limbs, a tourniquet can be tightened around that
- limb to reduce the catastrophic bleeding.
- $16\,$ $\,$ Q. You have just made a hand gesture there with a twisting
- 17 motion.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. We've already heard some evidence from
- 20 a Brigadier Hodgetts about tourniquets. Is this
- 21 a tourniquet which includes a lever that allows you to
- get a mechanical advantage in terms of the tension?
- 23 A. Yes
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. And would it be just one tourniquet or a number that
- 25 were carried within this kit?

14

- 1 A. We would have access to a number.
- 2 Q. Scissors, which probably speaks for themselves in terms
- 3 of being a useful item to have.
- 4 A. Of course, yes.
- 5 Q. To cut away clothing or adjust dressings?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. A whistle.
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. And then a pen for writing on a tourniquet.
- 10 A Yes
- 11 Q. Now, help us to understand why a pen would be necessary.
- 12 A. It's just to indicate the time when the tourniquet went
- on. I think at the time of that training, it was quite
- 14 vital that the tourniquets were not kept on for
- a massively long period, so the time of application was
- $16\,$ $\,$ a benefit as the casualty was passed down -- was passed
- 17 along the medical care.
- 18 Q. Information useful to those who might come into contact
- with a patient after the tourniquet had been applied?
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. You specifically said then "at the time of the training
- that that was important"; do you understand that that
- 23 training has changed since?
- 24 A. I believe so. Although they would still indicate when
- 25 the tourniquet was put on, I think there's less

1.

- $1 \qquad \text{ apprehension to the amount of time they are left on for } \\$
- 2 at the moment.
- 3 Q. So a number of items of first aid equipment, which will
- 4 be particularly useful when responding to a terrorist
- 5 attack?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7 Q. In terms of your knowledge of that equipment, you've
- 8 obviously been able to speak to what they do. Had you
- 9 received training in their use?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was it your understanding that if you were deployed to
- those sorts of incidents that you would be expected to
- call upon that training and become involved in giving
- 14 first aid with that equipment?
- 15 A. Yes
- 16 Q. So that we're clear about it, this wasn't training that
- you might need to use, it was training that you were
- expected to use if you were in that sort of incident?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. In your statement you use this phrase about the
- 21 equipment:
- 22 "It can be topped up when NWAS arrive."
- 23 A. Yes
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. Just help us to understand what you mean by that top—up
- 25 process.

- 1 A. We carry a limited amount of those dressings and when
- 2 NWAS turn up with their HART team, they have access to
- 3 far more. So we carry rucksacks, which we can top up
- 4 with those blast bandages, et cetera, and take back into
- 5 the incident so we wouldn't run short.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: HART teams are your equivalent, as it 6
- 7 were, with the Ambulance Service?
- 8 A. Yes, that's their specialist response team for marauding terrorism --9
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Do you train specifically with them as
- 11 to the roles you'll take?
- A. Yes. 12
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So presumably on dealing with medical 13
- issues the HART team would take the lead, as it were? 14
- 15 A. Verv much so.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Give you direction? 16
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you would perhaps take the lead on
- 19 the safety of the premises, things like that, or --
- 20 A. Yes. The ambulance would always -- well, the HART team
- 2.1 would always take the lead on what care and triage and
- 22 we would assist them in that process. The safety side
- 2.3 of things we would be looking at to keep us safe.
- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And do you rehearse this together?you do
- 25 the exercises train you how to work together in

- 1 cooperation?
- 2 A. Yes, very much so.
- 3 MR DE LA POER: For example, the top-up process. Had you
- had training exercises with HART before, anticipating
- 5 that you would run out of the equipment that you were
- 6 carrying and how you would then or where you would
- 7 access further equipment so you could carry on treating
- 8 casualties?
- 9 A. Yes
- 10 Q. In answer to the chairman's questions you have indicated
- 11 that you will operate under HART. In the event that you
- 12 arrived at a scene before there were any HART paramedics
- 13 available, they had yet to arrive, did your training
- 14 envisage you simply waiting for them to arrive or did
- 15 your training mandate that you became involved in the
- 16 treatment up to the period that they took over?
- 17 A. The training generally reflected we were all in
- 18 attendance, but if you're referring to the current joint
- 19 operating principles at the time, the absence of one 2.0 service shouldn't stop you attending an incident, and
- 21 that was always -- I would have thought that was always
- 2.2 mentioned at every training session. But yes, we always
- 2.3 did seem to train as we were all there, all three
- 2.4 services
- 2.5 Q. So we're clear about it, obviously you were on duty on

- the night of the 22nd. Had it been the case that you
- 2 had been deployed to the arena and arrived before there
- were any HART paramedics on site, would you have 4 commenced treatment with your team or would you have
- 5 held back waiting for them to arrive?
- A. We would have commenced treatment. 6
- 7 Q. Obviously you've mentioned HART. In the event that
- 8 there was an NWAS paramedic who wasn't HART-trained
- 9 there, would you place yourself under them or is that
- 10 a -- the line of authority?
- 11 A. Yes.

3

- 12 Q. You would still do so?
- 13 A. Yes, NWAS paramedics take the lead for all casualty
- 14 care. In the event there's a limited amount of them,
- 15 we would do what we could under our training. We have
- 16 trauma technicians as well which have a bit more
- 17 enhanced training with regards first aid. So we would
- 18 either go under their direction or preferably an NWAS.
- Q. So trauma technicians. The way you've described it 19
- 20 doesn't sound as if you were a trauma technician.
- 2.1 A. As a watch manager, no. I was previously, as
- 22 a firefighter and a crew manager, a trauma technician,
- 2.3 but not as a watch manager.
- 2.4 Q. You'd had that enhanced level of training?
- 25

19

- 1 Q. In terms of your team, and we are going to come in a
- 2 moment to the five of you who were on duty in your team,
- 3 would you have had a trauma technician amongst those
- 5 A. Yes, there was one on that night, yes.
- 6 Q. And of course you who'd had the training, although you
- 7 were no longer in that role?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. Let's turn now to JESIP on the subject of training. You
 - say in your witness statement that the first
- 11 JESIP-specific training that you'd had took place on
- 12 4 October 2018; is that right?
- 13

10

18

- 14 Q. That's described in your training log as a "tactical
- 15 refresher".
- 16 A. Mm-hm.
- Q. We don't need to bring it up. That rather suggests that 17
 - it followed up from an earlier piece of training.
- 19 In fact, had you not had an earlier piece of
- 2.0 training that that was a refresher for?
- 21 A. Reading back through my statement I would say that
- 2.2 I have a quite a few other training sessions which did
- 23 involve JESIP, incident command training, but I think
- 2.4 that was the first specific JESIP workshop that I'd been
- 25 on. I can't recall the actual training itself, but

18

- 1 I would have done JESIP throughout my career as a junior 2 officer .
- 3 Q. We need to understand the limits of what you say when
- 4 you say that was your first JESIP training in your
- 5 training. What you mean is that was your first
- 6 free-standing training solely focused on JESIP, it
- 7 wasn't the first time you were introduced to it?
- 8 A. No
- 9 Q. And you were in fact a trained incident commander at the 10 time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- $12\,$ $\,$ Q. And you mentioned already that you'd had some JESIP
- $13 \hspace{1cm} \text{training as part of that.} \hspace{0.2cm} \text{As at 22 May, do you think} \\$
- you had a clear understanding of JESIP?
- $15\,$ $\,$ A. I'd say so, yes. I think most incidents that you attend
- as a crew and watch manager, you always do some sorts of
- ${\sf 17} \qquad {\sf JESIP} \; -- \; {\sf multi-agency} \; {\sf working} \; {\sf with} \; {\sf the} \; {\sf other} \; {\sf agencies}.$
- The formal words around JESIP is, you know, it's what --
- 19 it's always done, but it does formalise it.
- $20\,$ $\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So if there were more than one emergency
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{service at an incident, you would inevitably cooperate} \\$
- 22 with each other with how you did it?
- 23 A. Yes. I would always approach -- if we were there first,
- 24 I would always approach, as the incident commander,
- oncoming services, give them a brief, and likewise if

- 1 I wasn't first there, I would approach a representative
- 2 from either NWAS or Greater Manchester Police and speak
- 3 to them and that's when you get that joint understanding
- 4 of what's expected.
- 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Did you have any specific training or
- did you understand the need for JESIP principles to be
- 7 applied before you'd all arrived? Or was it really
- 8 focused on what you did when you got to the scene?
- $9\,$ $\,$ A. I would say that we did have some specific training
- 10 looking back now, yes.
- 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 12 MR DE LA POER: Would your role have expected you to have
- liaised with anyone from a different service before you arrived at the scene or was your rank and role simply
- arrived at the scene or was your rank and role simply
- engaging in JESIP once you arrived?
- 16 A. Once we arrived —— you're talking incidents in general?
- $17\,$ $\,$ Q. Sorry, that was a very poor question. What I'm really
- trying to get to the bottom of, in terms of the
- chairman's question, is that you clearly did have an
- 20 understanding of the need under JESIP to liaise
- $21\,$ beforehand. I'm enquiring as to whether your role would
- have required you to engage in that liaison or whether it was your expectation that others would do so.
- it was your expectation that others would do so.
 A. I would liaise as the incident commander initially, yes.
- Q. Would that be at the scene or before you arrive?

22

- 1 A. At the scene, yes.
- 2 Q. So before you arrive it is for others to have that
- 3 inter-agency liaison, is it?
- 4 A. Yes. We just get a turnout sheet and we proceed to the
 - incident. Whether North West Fire Control gave us any
- further information en route, nobody else would have,
- 7 you know.

5

- 8 Q. Your expectation is that would have happened before you get there?
- 10 A. In some cases, yes.
- $11\,$ $\,$ Q. You say in some cases; why not in every case that has
- 12 a multi-agency response?
- 13 A. They would just be informing them and they might get to
- an incident and then request another service. We may go
- $15\,$ $\,$ to a house fire, which is no persons, but then there's
- somebody suffering the effects of smoke. Then we would
- 17 request additional resources.
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Would it be important to you, as you're
- $19 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{heading towards an incident, like something's happened} \\$
- 20 at the arena, which would involve multi-agencies, for
- you to know in advance who were actually already on
- scene so particularly for you, would it be important for
- $23\,$ you to know before you got there that HART were on the
- 2.4 scene?
- 25 A. I would say, going towards an incident like the arena,

2

- 1 it would be good to know if HART and Greater Manchester
- Police were on scene.
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You'd need to know particularly who you
- 4 need to coordinate with, presumably.
- 5 A. Yes
- $\,\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And particularly you need to coordinate
- 7 with HART.
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So knowing where you're going to go
- 10 there and find them, must be quite important?
- 11 A. Yes, we would generally get told to go to either a
- rendezvous point or the forward control point and pick
- 13 that up. But the NILO would be -- on an incident like
- 14 that would probably be doing that liaison on behalf of
- 15 the crews.
- $16 \quad {\sf SIR \ JOHN \ SAUNDERS:} \ {\sf Right.} \ {\sf Sorry, \ I'll \ stop \ interrupting}$
- 17 now
- 18 MR DE LA POER: Not at all, sir.
- 19 Before I come to 22 May, and I deliberately omitted
- $20\,$ this because of its capacity to be upsetting, there was
- one piece of equipment on the SRT that we haven't yet mentioned so I'm just coming back to that. As I say,
- 23 I didn't want to deal with it as part of the piece
- because I understand it can be particularly distressing .
- We're going to now have a look at some images of some

5

7

12

13

- 1 Skeds. Before we bring those up, can I make clear that
- 2 there is a person on the Sked; that's right, isn't it?
- 3 A. It is
- 4 Q. That's not an injured casualty, is it?
- 5 A. I think it's me.
- Q. All right. It's for demonstration purposes only? 6
- 7
- Q. But nonetheless it is capable of being distressing , $\mbox{\em I}{}'\mbox{\em m}$ 8
- 9 sure. Can you please just describe, before we look at
- 10 those images, what a Sked is?
- 11 A. A Sked is like a rolled -up piece of plastic with some
- 12 buckles, which basically is designed to place a casualty
- 13 in to move out, to assist you with quite a rapid
- 14 evacuation, either as a group carrying or basically
- 15 sliding across a floor, so fewer people can do the
- 16 evacuation
- 17 Q. So it can be dragged?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. But it can also be carried?
- 20
- 2.1 Q. So were you dealing with a scenario with flights of
- 22 stairs, could it still be serviceable in that situation?
- 2.3 A. Yes.
- 2.4 Q. You just need more people?
- 2.5 A. Just more people, yes.

25

- 1 Q. We're going to look at two images now. I'll pause for
- a moment so people can make arrangements. I suspect 2
- 3 this will take no more than a minute.
- The first image is $\{INQ030851/1\}$. Is that the Sked
- 5 rolled up?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. How many such items does an SRT carry? 7
- 8 A. Generally, five.
- 9 Q. Had you and your crewmates in the SRT all received
- 10 training in their use?
- 11 A. Yes, we all received training and had done practical
- 12 training with them, yes.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{For\;the\;non-SRT}$ trained firefighters, would they have an 13
- understanding from their training as to how to use these 14
- 15 items or was that confined to the SRT?
- 16 A. I think it was just confined to the SRT. It wouldn't be
- 17 insurmountable for them to be able to use one. But they
- 18 had no formal training or awareness that I'm aware of.
- 19 Q. Are they in fact quite straightforward to use or is 2.0 there a lot of complexity to them?
- 2.1 A. I would say they are straightforward to use.
- 2.2 Q. So they are the sort of thing that somebody under a
- 23 brief instruction at the scene might readily pick up and

26

- 2.4 be able to action?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Next we're going to see the image of it rolled out. 2 {INQ030852/1}.

3 So we can see a number of straps on there. Does

- 4 that assist the person who's dragging it? A. Yes. There's a strap at the front, which you can see
- under the lady's left foot; that is for dragging. But 6
 - the actual Sked itself would be folded around the person
- and straps would come across their body to hold them in 8
- 9 place. There's a rear strap to assist with directional
- 10 pulling as well. The bit near my feet would be fastened
- 11 and curled up so you wouldn't slide out.
 - Q. I'm now going to turn to 22 May.
 - On 22 May, you were on duty; is that right?
- 14
- 15 Q. And you were on duty in the capacity you had at that
- 16 time, as you've told us, as watch manager of Blue Watch?
- 17
- 18 Q. We're not going to identify the fire station location.
- 19 But the fire station that you were working out of that
- 20 night had an SRT capability, didn't it?
- 2.1
- 2.2 Q. That meant that at that fire station there was one of
- 2.3 the SRT vehicles that you have described?
- 2.4

3

25 Q. We won't go into the detail of it, but that wasn't the

27

- 1 only place in Manchester that had an SRT capability?
- 2 A. No. There were two other stations attached to the
 - capability.
- Q. Speaking about yours, your own station and the
- 5 capability, how many members of staff were on duty with
- 6 you that night?
- 7 A. Five, including myself.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ So a total of five . In your statement you indicate that 8
- 9 on shift there would be four, five or eight.
- 10
- Q. What did you understand determined the number of people 11
- 12 who would be on duty?
- A. There was quite a bit of flexible resourcing going on 13
- 14 due to lack of numbers reducing some fire cover. So at
- 15 OS at the time there's either — on that shift
- 16 there was four personnel. Quite often at that time
- 17 there were five personnel, which was the expected level
- 18 of one --
- 19 MR DE LA POER: Would you just pause for a moment? Sir,
- 2.0 would you forgive me?
- 21 (Pause)
- 2.2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If you can avoid mentioning the name of
- 23 the station.
- 24 A. Sorry.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It's very easy to do, don't worry. 2.5

- 1 MR DE LA POER: We will take steps to fix that. Please
- 2 don't be disconcerted by that.
- I'm sure in the meantime we can carry on and that
- adjustment can be made.
 So there were five of you in total. You've
- 6 described flexible resourcing. Is that a euphemism?
- $7\,$ A. I think at the time it was called flexible resource --
- 8 yeah.
- 9 Q. Sometimes there would be as many as eight?
- 10 A. Yes, if we had two fire engines on, there'd be four on 11 each.
- 12 Q. In terms of your SRT capability, did it make any
- substantial difference to the resources that you could
- deploy in terms of vehicles if there were five or eight of you?
- 16 A. If there was five we would just take the vehicle itself .
- 17 Extra personnel we could either they could take
- a fire engine. But the SRT team as a whole was made up of the three different stations that were attached to
- of the three different stations that were attached to that response.
- 21 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: There's an SRT vehicle or there's the
- 22 TRU vehicle?
- $23\,$ $\,$ A. There's two SRT vehicles. One is based at the station
- 24 OS
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Did you have a TRU vehicle in yours?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 3 MR DE LA POER: Perhaps we can just deal with that, sir,
- $4\,$ $\,$ just in terms of the TRU. The TRU stands for technical
- 5 response unit?
- 6 A. Yes.
- $7\,$ Q. That has a different capability to the SRT; is that
- 8 right?9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it the sort of unit, in your understanding from an
- 11 SRT point of view, that would be useful at an
- 12 Operation Plato incident?
- 13 A. Yes, because they have the same training we have, plus
- 14 they had enhanced training around shoring up buildings,
- 15 rope work, confined space working.
- 16 Q. So when we hear about TRU teams, we should think of them
- as having the same capability that you had?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19~ Q. So they are in addition to the SRT resource -- I'm
- 20 sorry, there are a lot of acronyms there -- the
- 21 specialist response team resource.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So five of you on duty that night. I think that you had
- $24 \hspace{10mm} \text{been deployed to a different incident before you heard} \\$

30

25 about the arena attack.

1 A. Yes. that's correct.

- 2 Q. We don't need to know too much about that. Was that
- 3 an SRT-type incident or was it a standard fire appliance
- 4 type incident?
- $5 \quad \text{A. It was standard fire appliance, an RTC-type incident.} \\$
- 6 Q. RTC is road traffic collision?
- 7 A. Yes, sorry.
- 8 Q. Does that mean you were away from your station on
 - a standard fire appliance?
- 10 A. Yes.

9

- 11 Q. So at the time that you went to the incident you didn't
- have any of your specialist PPE or first aid equipment?
- 13 A. No. That's all back at the station and on the vehicle.
- 14 Q. On the vehicle which is at the station?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How far from the station approximately were you when you
- 17 first heard about the arena attack?
- 18 A. Approximately a mile.
- 19 Q. And approximately what time was that?
- 20 A. I'd have to refer to my statement.
 - (Pause)
- 22 Q. I think you gave a time of 22.44.
- 23 A. Yes.

21

- 24 Q. I'm looking at page 4, third paragraph.
- 25 A. Yes.

31

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. Obviously the statement was given closer to the time,
- 2 I think it was given in 2019, so would that be the
 - correct time?
- 4 A. Yes

3

- 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think you were able to check
- a document, weren't you, to get such a precise time?
- 7 A. Yes, we found out just probably a little bit before
- 8 because there were some GMP officers at the scene, they
- 9 had to leave the scene because something —
- 10 MR DE LA POER: At your road traffic collision scene?
- 11 A. Yes, so far as I recall. They left the scene saying
- something's happened in Manchester, they've got to go.
- $13\,$ Q. So they, having told you that an incident had occurred
- $14\,$ at an approximate time of 22.44 hours, what did you do
- 15 next?
- 16 A. I think I got my crew manager to contact North West Fire
- Control to speak to the NILO because at that time
- we were aware that something had happened at the arena
- 19 but had limited information. So I got him to contact
- the North West Fire Control, to speak to the NILO, find out if they wanted us to go back to the station, and
- 22 deploy as an SRT vehicle.
- 23 Q. Can I just understand what you said there. You said
- 24 contact North West Fire Control to speak to the NILO?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Was it your expectation that the NILO would be at
- 2 North West Fire Control or was North West Fire Control
- 3 merely a means by which you should find out who the NILO
- 4 was and who you needed to speak to?
- 5 A. The latter.
- Q. So the purpose of your crew manager's call to North West 6
- 7 Fire Control was effectively to say: who's the NILO,
- 8 what's their telephone number so that I can speak to
- 9 them?
- 10 A. Yes, or they would put us through direct.
- 11 Q. Or they would transfer your call. Did you understand
- 12 that you were able to speak to the NILO or your crew 13
- A. No, he wasn't, they weren't answering. Whether it was 14
- 15 just ringing -- whether he was on another call or not, 16
- I don't know
- 17 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: In normal circumstances, the nearest
- 18 fire appliance to an incident will go there?
- 19
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Once there, the officer in charge of 20
- 2.1 that particular appliance will become the incident
- 2.2 commander?
- 2.3 A. Yes, initially, yes.
- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It may be taken over by other people
- 25 later on. But it wouldn't be a NILO who would be in

33

- 1 charge of the incident or summoning up people, so why
- 2 were you looking for a NILO?
- 3 A. Because we were... The expectation was or is that the
- NILO may or should receive some information from the
- 5 Greater Manchester Police force duty officer
- 6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. I may have just missed this. You
- 7 were given information that a bomb had gone off in
- 8 Manchester?
- 9 A. Bv --
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: The other fire crew.
- 11 A. By GMP. I don't know whether they were traffic officers
- 12 or what that had attended the incident there.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Whoever was there 13
- A. Yes 14
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you knew it was a bomb so therefore 15
- 16 you were looking for a NILO to contact rather than the
- 17 incident commander?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR DE LA POER: Knowing it was a bomb you would know that
- 2.0 your team in particular may be in the minds of the
- 21 commanders to be deployed?
- 2.2 A. I would have expected it, yes.
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I just missed that bit of evidence, not
- 2.4 the information.
- 2.5 MR DE LA POER: I think it was my omission in terms of

1 adducing it, sir. That was my fault, certainly not the 2 witness's

3 So your crew manager can't speak to the NILO. What 4 do you decide your team needed to do?

- 5 A. At that incident, there was a group manager there, Group
- Manager Pete Buckley, I believe, and I asked him could 6
 - he contact the duty NILO of the night because he would
- have his number stored in his phone, I would presume, 8
- 9 like most flexi duty officers do. He managed to get
- 10 through and gave us the go-ahead to leave the incident.
- 11 There was already another crew at that incident because 12 it was a large diesel spillage, so it's getting
- 13 permission to leave the incident in their hands and go
- 14 back to the station to don our SRT response gear.
- 15 Q. I think within about 15 minutes of you learning that
- 16 a bomb had gone off in Manchester, you were back at your
- 17 station?
- 18 A. I believe it was around that time.
- 19 Q. You say in your statement:
- 20 "As we were kitting up, the station turnout alarm
- 2.1 went off. This log is timestamped at 23.02."
- 22 A. Yes
- 2.3 Q. To the best of your recollection, were you kitted and
- 2.4 ready to go at the point that that alarm went off or
- 2.5 were you still in the process of preparing yourself?

35

- A. We were still in the process of preparing ourselves, 1
- 2 very close to leaving, I believe. We were told by
- 3 Pete Buckley to attend Philips Park Fire Station and
- I think the turnout sheet confirmed that as an RVP.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ We know that that's where you went. 5
- 6 A. Yes

8

- 7 Q. And again remembering that we are not adducing the exact
 - location of this, but in terms of time under blue lights
- 9 from the station you were at, what would you estimate
- 10 the period of time it would take from leaving the
- 11 fire station you were at to arriving at
- 12 Manchester Victoria had you driven in that direction?
- 13 A. I would say at that time of night, with very little
- 14 traffic on the road, I would say we could be there
- 15 within 12 to 15 minutes. I would sav.
- 16 Q. We're working slightly with estimates here, or we are
- 17 very much working with estimates. You said at
- 18 23.02 hours you were nearly ready to leave; that's when
- 19 the station alarm went off. About how much longer would
- 2.0 you estimate it took you to prepare?
- 2.1 A. About 1 or 2 minutes after that. I believe.
- 2.2 Q. So by 23.05 you were ready to leave your station,
- 23 equipped in the SRT vehicle?
- 24 A. Yes
- 25 Q. And your expectation was that by 11.20 at the latest,

34

March 8, 2021 Manchester Arena Inquiry Day 71

2

- 1 taking your 12 to 15-minute estimate, you could have
- 2 been at Manchester Victoria, had that been the direction
- 3 you'd been deployed in?
- 4 A. I'd say it's potentially doable, yes.
- 5 Q. As it was, you went to Philips Park?
- 6 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And you went there because you'd been directed to do so?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. And from whom had had that direction come?
- A. It had come from Group Manager Pete Buckley and the NILO 10 11 of the night, which I believe was Andy Berry, and also
- 12 the turnout sheet from North West Fire Control
- 13 Q. That's a document that's generated that you can have on
- 14 screen, is that right?
- 15 A. Yes, it's a printout. It comes through to the station 16
- 17 Q. It's that document that directs where you're going to go 18 in writing?
- 19
- Q. You indicate that you arrived at Philips Park at 20
- 2.1 approximately 23.22 hours and say you were ready for
- 2.2 deployment at 23.25 hours. Just explain to us what that
- 2.3 3-minute gap means in practical terms.
- 2.4 A. It would have been finishing off putting all our gear
- 25 on, and basically informing the NILOs that were there

- 1 that we are ready to commit if needed.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{So}\;\mathsf{had}\;\mathsf{you}\;\mathsf{not}\;\mathsf{put}\;\mathsf{all}\;\mathsf{of}\;\mathsf{that}\;\mathsf{equipment}\;\mathsf{on}\;\mathsf{before}\;\mathsf{you'd}\;$ 2
- 3 left because you weren't being deployed to a scene?
- A. Yes, we were going to an RVP.
- 5 Q. So had you been directly deployed to the scene, would
- you have put that PPE on before you left? 6
- 7 A. Um... There's potential because — the ballistic vests
- are kept in the rear of that van, so it depends if it's
- 9 safe to do so, putting them on en route to the incident.
- 10 Q. I understand. In any event, at 23.25, you're at
- 11 Philips Park Fire Station ready to deploy. Were there
- 12 any NILOs present at the time that you arrived that you 13 recall?
- A. Yes, Carlos Meakin and Ben Levy. 14
- 15 Q. Did you speak to them?
- 16 A. Yes

8

- Q. And did you tell them -- firstly, were they together? 17
- 18 A. I think Carlos was there for (inaudible: distorted) time 19 after.
- 2.0 Q. What about Mr Berry?
- 2.1 A. He arrived some time after. Quite a few minutes after,
- 2.2
- 23 Q. So Mr Meakin first. Did you tell Mr Meakin that you
- 24 were ready to deploy?
- 2.5 A. Yes, we pulled up on the forecourt of the station at the

- 1 RVP and started getting dressed, and not long afterwards
 - the second SRT vehicle arrived, and I think I informed
- 3 him that we had ten responders ready to go.
- 4 Q. What response did you receive when you told Group
- 5 Manager Meakin that you were ready to go? 6
- A. Nothing happened, we didn't move. From what I can 7 recall, we were waiting for further information to
- 8 proceed close to the incident.
- 9 Q. So whatever was said, it didn't include an instruction 10 to go?
- 11 A. No. I think it was at that point that I also
- 12 discovered, to my surprise, that Manchester Central and
- 13 Philips Park Fire Station crews were at that station as
- 14 well. So it dawned on me that there was potentially
- 15 nobody at the incident at that point.
- 16 Q. Did you say anything to anyone in a senior position
- 17 about that or did you keep that observation to yourself
- 18 at that stage?

2.1

3

- 19 A. I can't recall
- 20 Q. How many conversations were there over the ensuing
 - minutes between you and the NILOs?
- 2.2 A. Once I told them we were ready, I may have approached
- 2.3 them once or twice just to say, "Are we moving?", just
- 2.4 so I could brief the crews what we were going to do.
- 25 The expectation was to move forward because I think some

39

- 1 people were following it on social media at that point
- 2. and becoming aware that an actual -- the incident is as
 - bad as it first seemed.
- Q. Approximately how long were you stationed at or
- positioned at Philips Park before you moved? 5
- 6 A. I don't recall the exact time. I couldn't state \dots 5
- 7 to 10 minutes, maybe longer, I don't know.
- 8 Q. And during that period, how were you feeling about the
- 9 situation vou were in?
- 10 A. I think at that point we were apprehensive about being
- 11 deployed to the incident because we thought we were
- 12 going to go, but getting frustrated that we weren't
- 13 actually moving. Then the decision came to actually
- move to a closer rendezvous point and I never 15 anticipated that we would go there: I anticipated
- 16 we would end up going straight to Victoria Station.
- 17 Q. You thought that instruction would change en route?
- 18 A. Yes

14

- 19 Q. And the second rendezvous point that you were deployed
- 2.0 to was Manchester Central Fire Station; is that right?
- 21 A. Yes
- 2.2 Q. Are you able to estimate for us approximately what time
- 23 vour SRT vehicle arrived there?
- 2.4 A. I wouldn't have that down, no, sorry.
- 2.5 Q. We'll see if we can find another way to gauge that

40

- 1 period and it may be that there are other sources of 2 evidence that we can call upon.
- 3 Once you were at Manchester Central, did you speak 4 to any of the NILOs again?
- 5 A. Yes, I would have spoken to Ben Levy. That's who I had my main conversations with. 6
- 7 Q. What were you saying to Mr Levy?
- 8 A. I was asking, are we going to get deployed, and he was 9 trying to get, it felt like, approval to get deployed,
- 10 but my frustration was mounting at that point, as was
- 11 the crews' around us. But I was aware that we had to
- 12 maintain being professional. But yeah, we were
- 13 definitely pushing and I did sense from Ben Levy that
- 14 he was also pushing to get information to go.
- 15 Q. From whom did you understand that Mr Levy was seeking
- 16 approval?
- 17 A. It seemed to me that he was on the phone to the command
- 18 support room at the time and that's who I think a lot of
- 19 his conversations were with. At the front of
- 2.0 Manchester Central, the three NILOs were having
- 21 a discussion. I think at one point Andy Berry actually
- 22 went forward to the incident itself . I think he left
- the rendezvous point to go towards Victoria Station. 2.3
- 2.4 Q. Do you feel that you conveyed to Mr Levy your 25 willingness and readiness to go to the incident in your

- 1 conversations?
- 2. A. Yes
- 3 Q. And did you hear him speaking (inaudible: distorted) seeking authorisation from?
- 5 A. Yes. At some point in the evening he was saying that,
- 6 and I think this was when the decision was made to
- 7 deploy the three fire crews, were you aware of their
- 8 enhanced training, and the decision was made to send
- 9 a normal specialist response — sorry, a normal 10
- 11 Q. Can I just understand that? The conversation that 12 you have just described. There was a reference to SRT's
- 13 enhanced training?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Who mentioned that?
- A. I would have mentioned it to Ben, because I was saying,
- 17 "Are they aware of our extra capability?", because it
- 18 seemed to me that the fact that they weren't deploying
- 19 us and, whether this is an assumption, they seemed
- 2.0 unaware of our capability and the specialist training
- 21 we'd performed.
- 2.2 Q. What did Mr Levy say about his own awareness of your
- 23
- 24 A. Group Manager Levy had been on numerous training
- 25 sessions with us, so he would have been well aware of

- our specialist capabilities .
- 2 Q. Did he say anything about what he understood others'
- 3 awareness of your specialist capability was?
- 4 A. Say that again, sorry?
- 5 Q. Did he say anything about his understanding of what
- other people thought about your specialist capability? 6
- 7
- 8 Q. He didn't?
- 9 A. No
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you're saying other people who
- 11 weren't sending you, you assumed, are they aware of what
- 12 we've got and the answer came back from Levy?
- 13 A. Well, I don't know that. I was just voicing that to
- 14 him -- whether it got a response, I don't know. But
- 15 any --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Or it may have just been a frustrated 16
- 17 comment by you?
- 18 A. Yes. But any Fire Service response to the incident
- 19 could potentially help. You know, non-specialist crews
- 20 would have assisted as well.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: A rhetorical question by you, perhaps? 2.1
- 22 A. Perhaps, yes.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Just this: when you're going between 2.3
- 2.4 Philips Park and Central, did you go in convoy with the
- 25 other fire engines?

1 A Yes we did

5

- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And on blue lights? 2
- 3 A. On blue lights, yes.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you'd arrived about the same time as
 - everybody else did?
- 6 A. Yes. It should be on the log when the fire appliances
- 7 arrived back at the RVP.
- 8 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 9 MR DE LA POER: You have indicated in a debrief document.
- 10 and we can look at it if you need to, that:
- 11 "The NILOs at the rendezvous point wanted/were
- 12 willing to deploy SRT to the incident."
- 13 Was that you impression based on what they were
- 14 doing and saying?
- 15 A. Verv much so. ves.
- 16 Q. So that wherever there was an unwillingness, if that's
- 17 what it was, it wasn't amongst those that were present
- 18 with you at Manchester Central?
- 19 A. No, whether they felt we couldn't deploy without
- 2.0 speaking to the force duty officer, I don't know, but
- 21 there was definitely some reluctance from somewhere
- else, not from the NILOs. I just think they wanted that
- 23 extra bit of information before they deployed us.
- 24 Q. Were you ever deployed to Manchester Arena?
- 2.5 A. No

- 1 Q. Was the other SRT vehicle ever deployed so far as you're 2 aware?
- 3 A. No, it was a specific request that came, I think, from 4 the command support room from somewhere else that normal 5 fire crews were to attend.
 - Q. Your understanding is that you're not quite sure where this has come from, but it had come to your command room, we only want normal fire appliances, and that's why you understand only normal fire appliances were
- 10 sent?

6

7

8

9

11

- 12 Q. I think you've already conveyed to us a sense of the 13 frustration you felt, but is there anything you want to 14 add to that about your experience at the time in terms 15 of your willingness and frustration?
- 16 A. No, I think it's probably the first time in my career 17 where we've not been able to help someone. Normally, we 18 get frustrated at incidents when they go wrong, but this 19 is the first time we have trained to do something and 2.0 not been allowed to do it through a procedure that's 21 failed or felt like it had failed. And that was 22 frustration from the crews around me, the normal fire
- 2.3 crews as well, who are exceptionally capable as well. 2.4 There would be trauma technicians on them vehicles as
- well. And that frustration was the worst I've ever seen

45

- 1 at an incident
- Q. At about 4 o'clock in the morning there was a debrief 2 3 conducted by Mr Harris. Tell us who Mr Harris is, 4
- 5 A. He was the assistant county fire officer at the time.
- Q. The chairman has already heard some evidence about that. 6
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think you can summarise it. 7
- 8 MR DE LA POER: Absolutely. All I was going to say is this,
- 9 that that was, on any view, a difficult meeting?
- 10
- 11 Q. I'd now like just to deal briefly with your reflections 12 on the incident to assist the chairman with where you 13 perceive things might have gone wrong. Obviously, you 14 aren't in a position to have all of the information to 15 make your judgements, but you were there as an SRT 16 capability. What is it that you think went wrong?
- A. Not being able to get that initial information from the 17 18 NILO for the force duty officer . And again, I think if 19 we would have had -- if Manchester Central would have 2.0 turned out, because they're the closest to the 21 Manchester Arena, if they would have turned out we would
- 2.2 have had an incident commander on scene, very quickly,
- 2.3 and the proper response could have been put in place.
- 2.4 Whether the -- the SRT would still be minutes behind,
- 25 tens of minutes behind normal fire appliances attending,

46

- but at least in that area we could have got six, seven,
 - eight fire engines there within 15 minutes. So I think
- 3 not having an incident commander, but not being deployed
- 4 to the scene initially for the reasons I don't know, but
- that definitely hindered our response. 5
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: My understanding is that this is the 6 7
 - only sort of incident where you wouldn't have an
- 8 incident commander, so it goes to the NILO to decide 9 what happens first.
- 10 A. Yes. The NILO would make some initial information but
- 11 generally that is intelligence led from or information
- 12 led from the force duty officer.
- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: There's normally, isn't there,
- 14 a prearranged --
- 15 A. Attendance.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. So on most or probably all 16
- 17 other incidents, that means that fire engines would go
- 18 to the scene --
- 19

3

- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: -- and you'd then have an
- 2.1 incident commander there to gather the information.
- 22 This is the only sort where you don't. Do you think
- 23 that perhaps the Fire Service isn't very good at dealing
- 2.4 with that sort of incident in that way because it's not
- 25 the normal procedure?

47

- 1 A. I think on the... If we review that night, then yes, it
- didn't go well. I think the NILO is a tactical adviser 2
 - that can make -- is empowered to make some decisions
- with the initial information to get resources to an
- 5 incident, but as far as I'm aware an incident was never
- 6 created for us, maybe not until the crews, the normal
- 7 fire crews, got sent to the incident. So we never had
- 8 an incident commander, as far as I'm aware. I was
- 9 leaning on the NILOs, generally Ben Levy, as the
- 10 incident commander, although he wasn't, but I was
- 11 leaning on him as that.
- 12 MR DE LA POER: Finally, Mr Nolan, I said I would deal with
- this. Exercise Largo. We'll just reset for a moment. 13
- This is an exercise which took place in 2019. 14
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q. Your involvement with it was by reason of being involved
- in the Contingency Planning Unit; is that right? 17
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. In your current role as station manager within that
- 2.0 unit?
- 21 A Yes

2.4

- 2.2 Q. What was the objective or Exercise Largo?
- 23 It was to test the operational response at quite
 - a strategic level . I think it was a British Transport
- 2.5 Police exercise. It was to test the command response.

3

13

- It was a tabletop exercise in effect.
- 2 Q. To test the command response?
- 3 A. Across multi-agencies.
- 4 Q. I don't propose to bring up the report that you authored 5 about this on the screen, although we can if you want me
- to. But just taking what you've said about it from that 6
- 7 report, you said that the objectives were to clarify the
- 8 MTA JOPs, that's the marauding terrorist attack joint
- 9 operating principles?
- 10 A Yes
- 11 Q. Enhance understanding of Plato?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And examine the formation of a transport cell. That
- I don't think we need to linger on particularly . Those 14
- 15 were the three objectives of participation in that?
- A Yes I believe so 16
- 17 Q. It may be that other aspects of your report can be put
- 18 to more senior officers, but firstly, did you know what
- 19 happened to the report that you created as a result of
- 20 that?
- 21 A. No, I submitted it to -- it would have been my group
- 2.2 manager at the time in contingency planning, which would
- 2.3 have been Lee Coleman. It would have gone up to the 2.4 area manager of the department and then on to,
- 25 I presume, Dave Keelan, but I never got any response to

- 1 move on the report or anything from the report. I don't
- even know if it was read at the corporate leadership 2
- 3 team.
- 4 Q. It sits, does it, in a line of management and
- 5 communication that ends with Dave Keelan?
- A. Yes. He would generally present it, I believe, to the 6 7 senior leaders.
- 8 Q. So he is, potentially at least, someone who we might ask
- 9 about what happened to that report?
- 10

19

2.0

21

2.2

25

- 11 Q. But you were never told what happened to it; is that 12 right?
- 13 A. No, and some time afterwards Station Manager Mick Lawlor 14 asked me about it, so I think it was for him, he was
- 15 looking into it as well -- you would need to clarify it
- 16 with him if you needed to, so I don't even know if it 17 was presented.
- 18 Q. He is certainly returning later, we expect.
 - There's just one aspect that I want to ask you about, perhaps to illustrate your understanding or lack of it. One of the entries, and I'll read it to you, was you wrote:

50

- 23 "GMP did not fully understand the importance of an
- 2.4 early declaration of Op Plato to other category 1
 - responders and insisted on using the active shooter.

- The active shooter declaration has the potential to get a mixed delay response from other cat 1 responders and their control rooms."
- 4 I just want to ask you what it was that you were
- 5 seeking to communicate when you wrote that. A. When I spoke to Area Manager Jim Hutton, who attended 6
- 7 that tabletop exercise, I think there was a -- speaking
- to him there was a bit of debate about the senior police 8
- 9 officer who called it an active shooter and we were
- 10 saying, are you declaring Plato because with Plato
- 11 we can put certain things in place and it would enhance
- 12 our response, we would know what we were attending to.
- An active shooter, is it a criminal activity or is it 14 a terrorist related -- whereas if we get the clarity of
- 15 an Op Plato declaration, and I think the JOPs say
- 16 declare it quickly, it can also be stood down, the
- 17 response, and... The frustration from the area manager
- 18 was that the senior police officer didn't seem to
- 19 understand the importance of the sharing of that core
- 20
- 2.1 Q. So does this all in fact go back to the idea of
- 22 a predetermined attendance having action cards so that
- 2.3 you know exactly what sort of incident you're responding
- 2.4 to and that there is a plan in place?
- 2.5

51

- 1 Q. Based upon it?
- 2. A. Yes
- 3 Q. And not using the term Operation Plato risked that you
- 4 wouldn't necessarily respond in the correct way?
- 5
- 6 Q. But as you've told us, because no one's reported back to
- 7 you, you don't know whether that information has ever
- 8 been fed back to GMP?
- 9 A. No, I don't know if my report was submitted or --
- 10 I don't know.
- 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Who selects the titles for these 12
 - operations?
- 13 A. I presume it's Greater Manchester Police or the
- 14 Home Office.
- 15 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It just seems slightly ironic, the use
- 16 of the term Largo in terms of what happened in May 2017.
- 17 To me, it means very slow, largo.
- 18 MR DE LA POER: Sir, those are all the questions I have for
- 19 this witness.

2.0

2.2

- I would invite Mr Smith now on behalf of
- 21 North West Fire Control.
 - Questions from MR SMITH
- 23 MR SMITH: Mr Nolan, is it correct that the first indication
- 2.4 you had of the occurrence of this incident was being
- 2.5 told by a police officer at the road traffic collision

- 1 that a bomb had exploded or had gone off in Manchester?
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. When you were told that, was it immediately obvious to you that the SRT capability might well be required? 4
- A. Potentially, yes. I think -- I can't remember if he 5
- used bomb or the word explosion, but with it being 6
- 7 at the Manchester Arena, it's a likely target. So
- 8 potentially we thought we may be needed.
- 9 Q. Did you also think that it could be a terrorist
- 10 incident?
- 11
- Q. So you asked, is this correct, Mr Waterhouse, who was 12 13 one of the crew on the appliance, to contact North West
- 14 Fire Control; is that correct?
- A. That's correct, yes. 15
- Q. The purpose of which was to arrange for the duty NILO to 16
- 17 be contacted and for the duty NILO to be asked whether
- 18 you had authority to return to home station and get the
- 19 SRT capability ready; is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct, yes.
- 2.1 Q. That call was made by Mr Waterhouse to North West Fire
- 22 Control at 22.42.31?
- A. Yes 2.3
- 2.4 Q. If that's the case, it follows, doesn't it, that you
- must have received the information from the police

- 1 officer shortly before that time?
- A. That's correct, yes. 2.
- 3 Q. So that allows us to try and put a more accurate timing
- on the point at which you learned of the incident, do
- 5 you agree?
- 6 A. I agree, yes.
- 7 Q. I would like to give you another time. At 23.22.16, the
- 8 SRT capability, which came from your home station, in
- 9 respect of which you were in charge, arrived at
- 10 Philips Park, according to North West Fire Control's
- 11 incident log
- 12 A. Okay, yes
- Q. The second SRT capability arrived at 23.31.12. By that 13
- time, Group Manager Meakin had arrived. He arrived at 14
- 15 23.25. Mr Levy arrived at 23.34. So was the result
- 16 that, just after 11.30 that night, there were two
- 17 specialist crews therefore available at Philips Park,
- 18 trained in casualty management from ballistic or blast
- 19 injuries?
- 2.0 A. Yes, it was classed as one crew, but ten responders.
- 2.1 Q. Did you tell the two NILOs who were there that you now
- 2.2 had a team of ten ready to deploy to the arena?
- 23
- 2.4 Q. And is the position that that is exactly what you
- 25 expected would happen?

7

9

- 2 Q. There was then a further delay, wasn't there, until
- 3 shortly before midnight, before you were deployed with
- 4 the rest of the appliances to G16, Manchester Central?
- A. Yes. We waited, I don't know how long, but yes, and 5
- then we did the 2-mile drive to Manchester Central. 6
- Q. Do you remember shortly before you left Philips Park 8 that Group Manager Ben Levy made a telephone call and
 - managed to contact the command support room?
- 10 A. I would have seen Ben Levy on the phone numerous times,
- 11 but sometimes I wouldn't know who he was on the phone
- 12 to, but ves, it's possible.
- 13 Q. But having been on the telephone, were you suddenly
- 14 informed that all of the appliances should now deploy to
- 15 Manchester Central?
- A. Yes. Whether they took that decision to move us forward 16
- 17 to a closer point ... But that's what we were informed.
- 18 yes. I don't know who made that decision, whether it
- 19 came from the command support room or Group Manager Levy
- 2.0 or one of the other NILOs.
- 2.1 Q. After you'd arrived at Manchester Central, you began, is
- 22 this correct, to develop a clear sense of frustration
- that the SRT capability was not being deployed when it 23
- 2.4 could be? Is that correct?
- 2.5 A. Yes, I had a frustration that the SRT or any Fire

- 1 Service resource wasn't being deployed.
- O. Was it your impression that this was not in any way the 2
- fault of the NILOs? 3
- A. I think at the time they were my vent of frustration
- 5 because that's where the link should come from, but they
- were struggling to get information, I believe initially 6
- 7 from Greater Manchester Police, and then there was
- 8 something potentially holding them back with some of the 9 phone calls they were making.
- 10 You did say in your statement that it was predominantly
- 11 Group Manager Levy who was pushing for the SRT crews to
- 12 attend; do you remember that?
- 13 A. Yes. And I think, because I predominantly spoke to
- 14 Group Manager Levy at the time, I think I would have
- 15 heard some of his conversations about deploying, but
- 16 obviously it's only a one-sided conversation. He would
- 17 be able to give you clarity on those full conversations,
- 18 I believe.
- 19 Q. Did you ever receive an explanation at that stage when
- 2.0 you were at Manchester Central as to why the SRT
- 21 capability was not being deployed to the arena?
- 2.2 No, not specifically . The only time that was clarified
- 23 would have been when the three fire appliances went and
- 2.4 it was requested that normal fire appliances attend the
- 25 arena and not the SRT.

- $1\,$ Q. Finally this, please. You mentioned the fact that the
- 2 NILO receives intelligence, is this correct, or
- 3 information in normal circumstances from the force duty
 4 officer?
- 5 A. Yes, I believe at the time that would have been their
- 6 normal protocol, to contact the force duty officer to 7 gain an understanding of where a forward control point
- 8 or RVP would have been as well as some situational 9 awareness from Greater Manchester Police.
- 10 Q. Is the position that that would not be shared with you?
- $11\,$ $\,$ A. I would say that some of it would have been shared. But
- $12 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{they would have got us to the RVP or the forward control} \\$
- point and then we would have had a further briefing as
- to what was required at the incident and where to go tothe incident.
- $16\,$ $\,$ Q. You've explained, haven't you, that the fact that you
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \text{were not deployed to the scene, although that was} \\$
- $18 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{a source of frustration} \, , \, \, \hbox{significantly} \, , \, \, \hbox{if standard} \,$
- 19 appliances had been deployed to the arena immediately
- $20\,$ $\,$ upon the control room learning of the incident, that
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{would have resulted in situational for the Fire and} \\$
- 22 Rescue Service; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Because there would have been at that stage, at the very
 least, standard fire appliances at or around

- 1 Victoria Station. And was your thinking that
- 2 information could then have been passed back from those
- 3 appliances to North West Fire Control to the control
- 4 room?
- 5 A. Yes, it would have been beneficial.
- 6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: (Overspeaking). Sorry, Mr Smith,
- 7 I talked across you. I was just saying they would have
- 8 had an incident commander. I do apologise.
- 9 MR SMITH: They would have had an incident commander who 10 would have been the most senior officer attached to
- 11 those appliances; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. And that (overspeaking).
- 14 A. Sorry. There's also the deploying crews to an unknown
- scene as well. There's also that as well for their
- safety, some of their safety, but for an explosion
- potentially it would have been —— yeah, I think it would
- $18 \qquad \quad \text{have been beneficial on that night, definitely} \; .$
- 19 Q. So the important point you're making is simply this,
- 20 isn't it: if there had been an immediate deployment to 21 the arena, the control room could have had situational
- the arena, the control room could have had situationa
- 22 awareness?
- 23 A. Yes
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. And as far as the incident commander on the ground is
- concerned, who was the incident commander on the night?

58

- 1 A. I don't know. There were three NILOs there and my
- $2 \qquad \qquad \text{learning is the NILOs are tactical advisers } -- \text{ normally} \\$
- 3 we have a white tabard which indicates the
- 4 incident commander, and I honestly am not aware of
- 5 anybody saying they are the incident commander.
- 6 Q. On the night?
- 7 A. On the night, sorry, yes.
- 8 MR SMITH: Thank you very much.
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 10 MR DE LA POER: Next, I'm going to turn to Mr Cooper -
 - we're 1 hour and 20 minutes in.
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Should we have a break?
- 13 MR DE LA POER: I was going to propose, unless Mr Cooper
- 14 wishes to make a start. It occurs to me it might be
- easier for him to do his questioning as a piece, but I'm
- sure he can speak for himself.
- 17 MR COOPER: Not at all. I agree with that assessment, sir.
- With your leave, I think that would be the good time for
- 19 the break.

11

- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Just one thing, and in a way it's
- a comment by you on the obvious, but that does happen.
- You were talking about the fact that if you got a fire
- engine there immediately then you could have had
- 24 incident awareness and all the rest of it. That didn't
- 25 happen and obviously we're going to find out why that

59

- didn't happen and we are discovering that. But equally,
- we do know, and you presumably knew, that ambulances
- 3 were going back and forth to the scene.
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So they had a presence there and they
- 6 could have given you incident awareness ——
- 7 A. Yes
- 8 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: -- if the actual feedback was coming.
- 9 A. I think that's why Station Manager Berry went forward to 10 try and find someone, either North West Ambulance
- 11 Service or GMP. So... But...
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. We are maybe going to hear that
- the reason why you were not sent forward was (a) because
- someone within the Ambulance Service said, "We didn't
- need your specialist equipment", and, secondly, because
- it was decided to keep you in reserve if anything else
- were to happen. Did you ever get either of those
- were to happen. Did you ever get either of those
- 18 explanations at the time?
- 19 A. Not at the time, no. I knew there was something around
- $20\,$ $\,$ us potentially -- another incident happening in Oldham,
- 21 I believe, but that turned out to be nothing. But the 22 thought of holding someone back just in case you
- know, that's what we have other resources for, mutual
- aid for, to get those resources moving. If we hold
- 25 back, I think it's probably a wrong decision if that was

1 the case. three emergency services present. 2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. 2 Q. So limit of exploitation of fire service personnel; is 3 If we have a quarter of an hour, so back at 25 to. 3 that what it means? A. Yes, it would be the furthest point into the incident 4 4 5 (11.21 am) 5 which we would go. (A short break) SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: All personnel, not just fire personnel? 6 6 7 (11.35 am) 7 A. It's fire and generally HART, we work to the same limit SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Mr Cooper, thank you. 8 8 of exploitation. 9 Questions from MR COOPER 9 MR COOPER: Thank you, sir, I meant to say in relation to 10 MR COOPER: Mr Nolan, as you probably realise, I ask 1.0 fire, HART, but not dealing with ambulance and police, questions on behalf of the bereaved families in this 11 11 but dealing with your profession; correct? 12 12 matter. A. Correct. ves. 13 I want to take you straight back, please to, 13 Q. So the only reference we seem to have there on that a document we've already seen, which includes details of 14 document to training in relation to MTFA is training 14 15 your training. Can we go back to $\{INQ039928/1\}$. 15 in relation to how far the Fire Service are prepared to 16 I want to dig a little deeper, if I may, into the 16 go: is that right? 17 17 A. It would appear that way in the titles, but some of the training you received, particularly prior to this 18 atrocity. We see on that document you were trained in 18 other training on there regarding the zoning is applicable to an MTFA incident. There's certain 19 issues relating to stretchers and Sked deployment. We 19 2.0 see for instance, two dates there: 26 April 2017 and 20 different zones used for other types of incident. 21 18 April 2017. 2.1 Q. Yes, well, maybe, but I'm asking you questions at the 22 To put the question shortly, were those two aspects 2.2 moment specifically about MTFA training and what the 2.3 23 of training particularly in relation to the quick priority was. The priority seems to be safety of 2.4 deployment of Skeds and how to extricate people from 2.4 firefighters: is that right? dangerous circumstances quickly? 25 A. It's one of the elements, yes. Yes. 63 1 A. Yes, the function of the Sked in those training 1 Q. Well, it's the prime element, isn't it? 2 scenarios is to -- one is to allow the minimum personnel 2 A. Yes, the safety of firefighters is -- yes, making us 3 to remove a casualty, and also it is a reasonably rapid 3 safe within that warm zone, yes, as safe as possible. way, depending on the floor surface, to be able to slide Q. In fact, in relation to such deployment, have you had an 5 them away, yes. So yes, to answer your question. 5 opportunity of reading the expert's report on the Q. So it'd be right to say that, tragically but 6 attitude of the Fire Service to exploitation and risk 6 7 7 coincidentally, your training on Skeds and stretchers taking in these situations? 8 8 A. I haven't read that document, no. in April, just before this atrocity, was at its best, 9 9 effectively, and ready for deployment? Q. Just to paraphrase it, it's very short, and for those --10 A. Yes. We were fully capable of using the Skeds at the 10 I'm not asking for it to be called up, it's 11 11 $\{INQ032363/1\}$. Do you agree that GMP, British Transport 12 Q. Thank you. 12 Police and NWAS risk assessments in relation to putting 13 Keeping that document on the screen, please, on 13 personnel safety secondary to the immediate risk to 14 18 April 2017, we see one reference to MTFA training. 14 protect life -- that was their priority? GMP, BTP and 15 15 I just want to examine the terminology used. It's about NWAS risk assessments put personal safety secondary to

three-quarters of the way down and it says this:

"Explain limit of exploitation in relation to an MTFA incident."

What does that mean?

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

A. So for us, it would be the furthest point within a zone which we would deploy to. So it may be, as things stood then, in the warm zone -- the warm zone may consist of an area, but our limit of exploitation may only be to a point within that area. And that would generally be decided with the joint understanding of risk between the

62

25

experts' report, it's the only reference I'm making to you, says this: "GMFRS risk assessment was different and concerned

Q. And do you accept that the next paragraph in the

21 2.2 personal safety of firefighters alone and there was no 23 risk assessment including casualties.'

Do you accept that?

A. Yes, if it's in the document, yes.

A. Yes

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.4

64

the immediate need to protect life. Do you accept that?

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Mr Cooper, do you mind if I put it 1 2 a slightly different way? 3 I don't know whether you heard the evidence of some of the other Fire Service officers that I've heard about 4 who were there on the night. We heard from them that 5

they did consider that the Fire Service reaction to 6 7 these sort of events was unduly risk averse. Do you

8 agree with that?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

A. In part, yes. I think round some of the training that we did, it was -- you know, we would be deploying into a warm zone where there is potential for it to -- for risk —— for attackers to come back. So I wouldn't sav it's overtly risk averse, but there were elements of keep the staff, Fire Service and HART team, as safe as possible while still performing a function. But I think at the time it would probably on the night seem, yeah, risk averse because we didn't get the information to proceed. We were still following that policy of still trying to get the information to get that joint understanding of risk rather than deploying as a sole

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that something that occurred to you 22 2.3 on the night, this is part of our being too risk averse, 2.4 or not really?

25 A. I think the people that wanted to deploy is the NILO

65

1 officers who I wouldn't count as risk averse at all. 2 I think they were searching for that knowledge that they 3 were deploying to a correct area. I don't know if GMP

had considered, because they were there, considered

5 contacting us either. I don't know the answer to that question. But they were trying to follow a prescribed 6

procedure of getting to the incident, which didn't

8

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay.

10 A. But could we have been more dynamic and looked at it? Potentially , yes, but it's easy \dots Me not being the 11 12 incident commander, being in their shoes is different.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you, Mr Cooper. 13

MR COOPER: I am putting it a little more systemically to you, if I may put it that way, officer, that the attitude of the Fire Service, certainly at the time of this atrocity, compared to the other emergency services perhaps, was far too risk averse. Not just on the night but systemically that was the attitude within the Fire

2.0 Service, wasn't it? 21 A. Um... On the night, I would say it has potential — 2.2 yes. But I would say that firefighters, fire officers, 23 put themselves in a lot of dangerous situations on

2.4 a daily basis, so I don't think you could say that

25 blanketly that the Fire Service is a risk averse

situation. There may be some areas where we manage the 2

risk and taking a step back from that risk is good, and

3 there's areas where we take a step back from that risk

4 and obviously fall back on policy and procedures and it

5 doesn't work as well as it should have done, which again

is the night in question. 6

7 Q. Let me be clear about this to you and any of your 8

colleagues that are listening: I make no disparaging observations about individual firefighters , who we all

10 accept do a tremendous job and are brave and courageous

11 people. I have said that to all your colleagues and I'm

12 sure everyone understands that. The questions I'm

13 putting to you are on the basis of management, culture,

14 command and control, if you will, that the attitude

15 systemically within the Fire Service, within those

16 echelons, is risk averse, isn't it?

17 A. I think when you are training to deploys crews into

18 a warm zone, then I wouldn't say it's overly risk

19 averse, no, it's a managed risk. I think there's some

2.0 elements of -- because obviously there was a political

21 element to this where people thought should we not be

22 doing that role. But from my point of view, I think

23 I wouldn't deem it as overly risk averse in what I've

witnessed, but I do understand that it could be viewed

25

67

1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Let me try and narrow this down and then

2 we'll move on because I think Mr Cooper's got what he

3 can from this part. If the decision not to deploy to

the scene at all but to be where you were in

5 Philips Park to start with was as a result of

6 a perceived risk, does that now appear to you, or would

7 have at the time, as being risk averse, that there was

8 no reason to be that far back?

9 A. Yes. I think I did question that, why choose 10

Philips Park. I've got a good knowledge of the city

11 centre, so I put Manchester Central, although it's

12 close, it's not directly in line of sight.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So if that decision was taken as 13

a result of considering the risks which might be 14

15 involved, you would regard that as being unduly risk

16 averse?

17 A. In my opinion, yes.

18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But where, once you've got to the scene,

19 where it is safe for firefighters to actually go in and

2.0 work and not run undue risk of losing their lives in the

2.1 process, as I understand it, you don't think that the

2.2 attitude generally to that was unduly risk averse?

23 No. I don't think so. no.

2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Don't just say so because I say. We

25 want your view of it.

- A. I think, yeah, in hindsight, it's -- you know... It does look like we didn't deploy to the scene through trying to get that core bit of information. Did we seek that information as well as we could have done? Potentially not. But again that is my opinion. Conversely, if you deploy to a scene without stepping outside and someone gets hurt, it's a different context. But I definitely think that with a bit more information, we could have done a lot more a lot quicker.
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okav.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

11 MR COOPER: Thank you, sir, I will move on.

> Just on the back of that, I'll put this to you, if I may. It's not just simply a matter, is it, officer, that with a bit more information you might have done better, the whole policy, so far as the Fire Service was concerned at the time, was designed to keep the Fire Service in the background, safely and securely, and perhaps other services in the foreground?

19 A. No, I disagree with that. I think the policy around 20 a marauding terrorist firearms attack was to get the 2.1 Fire Service and the Ambulance Service towards and

22 getting into the warm zone with the specialist

2.3 responders of the police in the hot zone actively -- and

2.4 basically this was designed around a firearms attack and

25 to me that was the role of the specialist response, was

- 1 to get to that warm zone, which is not an area with no 2 risk .
- 3 Q. And you never actually got there, did you?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. You referenced, in answer to the chair, that there was a political situation relating to this matter. I want 6 7 to ask you just briefly about that, if I can, because 8 there was some dispute, wasn't there, as far as MTFA 9 matters are concerned, between the Fire Brigades Union 10 and certain firefighters , the Government, and indeed 11 senior ranks within the Fire Service as to whether fire 12 people should be even performing MTFA? Is that right, 13 is that the political situation you just referred to?
- A. That's correct, yes. 14
- Q. We're going to hear from Mr Dark, and I'm not going to 15 16 trammel that route to any great degree today, but the 17 fact was, at the time of the Manchester Arena atrocity, 18 that political dispute was still at its highest, wasn't 19 it?
- 2.0 A. Yes, it had been going on for some time, but yes, 2.1 I would say --
- 2.2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Did it affect your actions on the night 23
- 2.4 A. No. I don't think so.
- MR COOPER: Do you know one way or the other whether it

70

might have affected command and control's actions at the 2 time?

3 A. I wouldn't have thought so, no.

4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Hang on. I think whichever answer you give to that, I think has a degree of speculation about 5 it, trying to look into other people's minds, so we'll 6

7 avoid that. I think.

MR COOPER: So be it, sir. 8

9 The issue, and again I' II explore this, with the 10 chair's leave, with Mr Dark tomorrow, but in short with 11 you, GMFRS were involved also with suspending using

12 MTFA, weren't they?

- 13 Correct, yes, we suspended our specialist response due 14 to, I presume, that dispute and continuity training.
- 15 Q. When was it suspended?
- 16 A I don't have the date to mind but I think it was some 17 time -- was it October 2018? I think.
- 18 Q. So just dealing with this, and I reassure the chair I'm 19 not going with you into any great depth about it, but
- 2.0 after MTFA was considered to be so important as far as
- 21 the response to the Manchester Arena atrocity in 2017
- 22 was concerned, nonetheless GMFRS suspended it shortly 2.3 afterwards?
- 2.4 Yes, they suspended it and we relied on mutual aid from

2.5 Merseyside.

71

1 Q. I will speak to Mr Dark about that in due course. I'll

2 move on, save this, something you said today, training 3 about an MTFA incident, which has now been stabilised.

What did you mean by stabilised, a word you used today?

5 I will help you with context because it's difficult when

6 you're in the witness box. You said:

7 "The training you received about an MTFA incident 8 was about responding to an MTFA incident when the scene 9 had been stabilised.'

What did you mean by stabilised?

10 11 A. So basically, all the three emergency services are in 12 a position to get the three commanders together, so the 13 NILO, the Ambulance Intervention Team leader and a tactical firearms officer. They could then get the 14 15 joint understanding of risk and make a deployment plan 16 accordingly. So it was, as opposed to having, say, one 17 of the services there, two of the services there, it was 18 always -- the training always seemed to be based around 19 having those three services present to get that full 20 understanding and a full deployment plan. So it seemed

21 to me that it felt like we were in a set place, ie

2.2 stabilised

23 Did it perhaps mean that the training that fire 2.4 personnel received in relation to MTFA incidents was

25 after the scene had been made safer?

- 1 A. It was... Well, it would give us that situational 2 awareness and to make it safer for us to go and respond 3 and retrieve casualties. But if it was still an active 4 firearms incident in there then the only people that 5 respond to that is the specialist firearms officers at the time. 6
- 7 Q. Again, as far as any training you received for MTFA 8 incidents, the training was always predicated, was 9 always linked to the scene being made safer or even safe 10 for fire officers to attend (overspeaking)?
- 11 A. Yes, definitely safer because it's always based around 12 a firearms attack. So obviously apart from a small 13 ballistic plate, we have no protection and 14 (overspeaking)
- 15 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We're talking across each other a bit. 16 Could you finish your response?
- A. So with regard the safety, apart from our ballistic 17 18 protection, to get into that warm zone it would be 19 safer, but we wouldn't necessarily have the opportunity 2.0 of having armed police officers with us at the time. 21 They may have gone forward and then that risk assessment 22 takes place, that joint understanding of risk takes 2.3 place behind that for us to save as many casualties as 2.4 we can

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. 25

73

- MR COOPER: Thank you. And if making the scene safer, 1 2 stabilised, for fire personnel to attend meant the police and the ambulance services going in first, that
- 3
- was something that was planned and accepted for, was it, 5 by the Fire Service?
- 6 A. Sorry, did you say police and Ambulance Service going in 7 first?
- 8 Q. Yes, I did.
- A. No, it was generally the police went in first . 9
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, just stop. As I understand your 11 first answer, you're talking about armed police going in 12 first .
- 13 A. Sorry, yes.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So armed police going in first. Then 14 15 when they say it's safe for other people to go in, the 16 ambulance and fire go in. I think Mr Cooper is 17 suggesting that somehow ambulance and ordinary police go 18 in and only then, if it's safe for them, do the Fire 19 Service go in. Is that right, Mr Cooper?
- MR COOPER: Sir, yes, I was exploring that. 2.0
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So now you understand what the question 2.1 2.2 is all about?

74

23 A. Thank you. I would say the armed police go in and then 2.4 the fire and HART team, the specialist response team and 25

HART them go in behind them together as a joint team.

MR COOPER: Thank you. 1

I want to go on to JESIP now. You were never

3 formally trained in JESIP, you say, ahead of

4 22 May 2017; is that right?

- A. Yes. In my statement I said that, but we would have 5 covered JESIP principles in other training areas. But 6 7 I think that was a bespoke training day.
- 8 Q. I just want to examine first what you said in your 9 statement. So in your statement, you said that you'd 10 not received any formal training in JESIP before the 11 arena atrocity; is that right?
- 12 Α That's correct, in my statement, yes.
- 13 Q. Yes. That's what your statement says. You signed that 14 and we're originally going on your statement. You tell 15
- us today that in fact your statement is not quite right 16 or you want to add to it; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes, I'd like to add to it. Reading my statement now,
- 18 I would say that my statement isn't -- could have 19
- greater clarity to it that I had done some previous
- 20 JESIP training, yes (overspeaking) included in my
- 2.1 training -- sorry?
- Q. My fault. It's difficult on this system. Your 22 2.3 statement is very, very clear indeed about your JESIP
- 2.4 training. You did read that statement after you signed
- 25 it, didn't you?

75

- 1 A Yes
- 2 Q. And you'd have seen what you said about your JESIP 3 training. It's on page 3 of your statement. It's very
- 4 clear what you say, isn't it, that you had not done any
 - before the arena?
- 6 A. Yes

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

 $\mathsf{Q}. \;\; \mathsf{That's} \; \mathsf{what} \; \mathsf{you} \; \mathsf{say}, \; \mathsf{isn't} \; \; \mathsf{it} \, ? \; \; \mathsf{There's} \; \mathsf{no} \; \; \mathsf{clarification}$ 7 8 or addition to it, it's simply you had not done any 9 JESIP training before the arena atrocity . I'll read it .

10 You sav: 11

- "In relation to multi-agency training, I have completed the joint emergency services interoperability principles, JESIP, training. I did this on 4 October 2018 and had not done this training prior to the attack on 22 May 2017. I would do at least one or two training sessions a year. These would be with the Ambulance Service and the police, but sometimes it would
- be just us and the Ambulance Service." 19 I'll read the whole paragraph in fairness to you:
- "These exercises consisted of training in relation 2.0 21 to zones, cover from ballistics, enhanced first aid.
- 2.2 which included dealing with ballistic injuries .
- 23 Following this, there would be a scenario. I would 2.4 explain zones as basically how far we can go is

25 controlled."

- 1 So your statement is pretty clear, isn't it, that 2 you had not done any JESIP training before the arena 3 attack; correct?
- 4 A. It says that, yes, but I would say that is incorrectly 5 put now.
- Q. When did you --6
- A. In relation to multi-agency training, whether that was
- the first time I did it with a group of multi-agency 8
 - partners in the room, but I've definitely done
- 10 multi-agency training as part of incident command and
- 11 regarding some of the MTFA training. So I would say 12 that my statement isn't clear.
- 13 Q. Have you told anyone before this morning that your
- 14 statement isn't clear?
- 15

- Q. Yes. you did? Who was that? 16
- A. When I spoke to the solicitors this morning about when 17
- 18 I read it again and I thought the clarity wasn't there.
- 19 Q. Is that the first time you've told anyone that your 20 statement wasn't entirely clear on this --
- 2.1 A. I think so, yes.
- 2.2 Q. So let's examine then what training you say you have
- had. So you'd had no formal JESIP training, can we at 2.3
- 2.4 least agree on that, before the Manchester atrocity?
- 25 Can we at least agree on that?

- $\mathsf{A.}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{had}\ \mathsf{some}\ \mathsf{JESIP}\ \mathsf{training}\ \mathsf{but}\ \mathsf{that}\ \mathsf{was}\ \mathsf{the}\ \mathsf{only}\ \mathsf{first}$ 1
- formal session that was given by another trainer.
- 3 Q. So for instance you'd have been aware, would you, at the
- time of the Manchester atrocity of the guidelines, the
- 5 JOPs JESIP principles of January 2016 edition 3?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You were? Help us with them. I know it's not supposed
- 8 to be a test, but I want to examine your sudden change
- 9 of evidence. So you're aware of that document, it's
- 10 INQ0087372 (sic). And so therefore you can help me with
- 11 some of the principles of it, can you?
- 12 A. Yes: co-locate, communicate, joint understanding of 13
- risk
- Q. Help us with treat and leave and treat and extricate; 14
- 15 what does it say about that?
- 16 A. So that's a decision made generally by the HART team
- 17 leader, the NWAS paramedics, regarding the amount of
- 18 casualties, whether to treat them and, after triage,
- 19 treat them and leave with them, or treat and leave, so
- 2.0 do some very basic training $\,--\,$ sorry, some very basic
- 21 first aid to stem catastrophic bleeding and then move on
- 2.2 to the next casualty and so forth.
- 23 Q. And what is advised?
- 24 A. Yes, generally under advice from an NWAS HART
- 25 practitioner, yes.

78

- Q. What do they say is the preferred option?
- 2 A. It depends on the amount of casualties.
- 3 Q. Does it?
- 4 A Pardon?
- 5 Q. Does it say that?
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Sorry, Mr Cooper, just let him answer 6
 - the question and then do the follow-up if you don't
- 8

7

- 9 A. It's two options, two tactical options that they would
- 10 use. If there's a vast amount of -- sorry, you're
- 11 shaking your head.
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Look away then and look at me instead.
- 13
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: If we were in a Criminal Court, 14
- 15 Mr Cooper, that would be called a comment, shaking your 16
- 17 MR COOPER: That's naughty of me, I accept that. I'm sorry.
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You carry on. He won't shake his head
- 19

2.1

- 20 A. There's two options that are generally applied in the
 - training around do we treat them, leave them, ie do some
- 22 very basic treatment, or do we treat them and take them,
- 2.3 so put them on a Sked or walk out with them? That's
- 2.4 a tactical decision, taking -- HART are taking and would
- 25 be given to you at the briefing .

- MR COOPER: Were you aware of this guidance at the time of 1
- 2. the Manchester Arena?
- 3

6

8

14

- Q. Bear with me, I'm just calling it up. My computer is
- 5 very slow.

(Pause)

- 7 Was this part of the training that you received
 - before the arena or were you expected to read this
- 9 document?
- 10 A. It's training that we would have had on some of the
- 11 scenarios and some of the training days we would have
- 12
- 13 Q. I'm just calling it up.

(Pause)

- 15 As far as the tactic known as treat and leave, did
- 16 you receive training in that?
- A. Yes, we would have had that training along with HART, 17
- 18 they would basically $\,--\,$ it's basically just some very
- 19 simple techniques to, like I say, generally stem
- 2.0 catastrophic bleeding, place a casualty in the recovery
- 2.1 position and then move on to the next casualty in
- 2.2 a triage way.
- 23 Is that advised in cases of heavy casualties?
- 2.4 That's one of the options, yes, that the HART or medical
- 25 team there would potentially use, yes.

- 1 Q. It's a preferred option, isn't it? A. I would say so, yes. Otherwise you could get 3 overwhelmed and potentially some saveable lives would 4 not be saved SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Mr Cooper, I just want -- forgive me for 5 this, but I would like quite to know where we're going. 6 7 As I understand it, and perfectly understandably, the 8 concerns of the families have been that had this 9 particular team from the Fire Service got there quicker 10 they had both the equipment and the expertise in order 11 to help with the removal and treatment of casualties, 12 which may, in a number of cases, have led to a more 13 beneficial result. We're not just talking about those 14 who unhappily died, but we're also talking about those 15 who were injured. Do you not accept or is it not 16 generally accepted that had they been there on the 17 scene, it actually would have been a beneficial result
- MR COOPER: I am sorry, sir, I thought you were asking 20 2.1 Mr Nolan. I'm so sorry, sir.

asking you, really.

18

19

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

2.2 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I was asking you, the advocate, a 2.3 question. I know it's an unusual experience for people, 2.4 but nevertheless occasionally it's of some help. I just 25 wondered. You're perfectly entitled to dig deep into

for those who were injured? Mr Cooper, I'm sorry, I'm

the training and things like that, and there may have been deficiencies in it, but the reality is, isn't it, that in general what the families are saying and what they want to know is had this team got there with their extra training and their extra equipment that actually that would have been a better outcome all around?

MR COOPER: Of course, sir, that's absolutely correct. The only reason I have slightly diverted for a moment is the evidence we heard for the first time this morning about how there was a level of JESIP training. I was simply trying to probe a little as to how effective that was. But I can move on now. It's just simply -

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Can I just ask a general question? I was shown over the weekend, and I think in due course the CPs will see this, a document from someone who was on the Kerslake Inquiry, and part of the information in that is that in 2016 there was a tri-service review of how JESIP had got -- was understood by the services and how it was being taken up. The review found, taking a scale of 1 to 4, of how much JESIP was being understood and practised, so 1 was the lowest, where it would be said to be chaotic, and 4 was if it was being done at the best level and was best practice. The conclusion that review came to was level 2, that meant

that the joint doctrine, JESIP, existed but it was not

82

1 widely accepted or understood. Is that your view, would

you think that was right as far as the Fire Service was

3 concerned or do you think your acceptance of it was

4 greater than that?

5 A. I would say that the acceptance of it was greater. I think the Fire Service was very open to the joint 6 7 working and I think it's -- at the time, as a watch manager, the JESIP working happened at virtually every 8 9 incident, like I said earlier.

10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But when you got there, automatically,

11 you said, the three different services were there --

12 A Yes

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: $\,--\,$ and you knew what your individual 13 14

15 A. Yes and we would discuss what we were going to do and 16 what we might need from each other's service. I think 17 probably the further up you go in rank, it gets more

18 complicated because you are doing that remotely. It's

19 very -- in its pure and simplest form it works very well 20 at most incidents.

2.1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Sorry, Mr Cooper, that was a long 22 intervention on my part.

2.3 MR COOPER: In fact, the document you put is very helpful 2.4 and rather deals with the issue I was trying to explore

2.5 myself. Let me move on.

83

1 Indeed, as the chair summed up with you, Mr Nolan, 2. the SRT had equipment and indeed training of people like 3 yourselves to offer casualties and those stricken down

4 in the City Room; that's correct, isn't it?

5

6 Q. Mr Smith touched upon this, but let's be clear about it: 7 you were never officially or formally notified at all

8 during 22 May of the arena bomb, were you? 9 A. Not initially, no because we made that call ourselves.

10 or that call was made by my team. But once we got to 11 the -- I suppose the official notification going to the

12 RVP at Philips Park was the normal turnout method.

13 Q. When you arrived at the scene would you agree that 14 a good description of it was utter confusion?

15 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Are you talking about (overspeaking)?

16 MR COOPER: At the fire station, I should say. The scene can be best described as utter confusion?

17

18 A. Do you mean Philips Park or Manchester Central, sorry?

19 Q. Philips Park.

2.0 A. Philips Park... I wouldn't say utter confusion, I would

21 just ... We got there, got our gear on, got dressed, 2.2 informed that we were ready to go. You know, I was

23 surprised that Manchester Central and Philips Park

2.4 Fire Stations were there and awaiting further

2.5 information, really . So ... I wouldn't say chaos.

11

- 1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Lack of leadership at the scene for
- 2 whatever reason?
- 3 A. Potentially, yes. Yes.
- 4 MR COOPER: All right. You've given evidence that there was
- 5 no incident commander at the scene when you arrived. In
- fact there was no NILO -- when I say the scene, at
- 7 Philips Park. There was no NILO there, either, was
- 8 there, when you arrived?
- 9 A. My memory might stand to be corrected. I thought
- $10 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{\it Carlos Meakin was there at the time.} \hspace{0.2cm} \hbox{\it I think there's}$
- $11 \qquad \text{maybe a minute's difference } --$
- $12\,$ $\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think, from the times Mr Smith said,
- $13 \qquad \quad \text{he arrived just a minute after you}.$
- 14 A. It was within a minute or two I would have thought.
- $15\,$ $\,$ MR COOPER: Do you know what had delayed Mr Berry?
- 16 A. At the time I didn't know; I do now.
- 17 Q. What have you been told?
- $18\,$ $\,$ A. That he lived some distance away anyway and there was
- 19 issues around his route around road closures.
- 20 Q. Who told you that?
- 21 A. I don't know if it is one of the elements that was
- 22 brought up in the sort of debrief we had -- I can't
- $23\,$ think which building that was in. We sort of had
- $24\,$ a debrief . I don't know if it was a debrief or not.
- 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: There was a debrief in July when lots of

9 5

- 1 people were there; were you there?
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 4 MR COOPER: Did Mr Berry formally tell you that, that there
- 5 were road closures?
- ${\bf 6}$ $\,$ $\,$ A. I would have thought he would have brought it up, yes.
- 7 I can't remember specifically, sorry.
- 8 Q. You tell us, before we move on further into the
- 9 incident, that the SRT was suspended in October 2018;
- 10 is that right?
- 11 A. I can't remember the exact date. I think it was 2018.
- 12 Q. Why was the SRT suspended in October 2018?
- 13 A. Assistant Chief Officer Dave Keelan wrote to the
- 14 Home Office and stated that our specialist response has
- been withdrawn and from my understanding, it was around
- 16 that we -- the crews were not doing any further training
- around it, so they were out of competency.
- 18 Q. So why weren't the crews doing any training and became
- 19 out of competency?
- $20\,$ $\,$ A. My understanding again -- it was under guidance from the
- 21 Fire Brigades Union regarding non-contractual work and
- 22 regarding the pay dispute.
- 23 Q. So do I understand this then, again it is for Mr Dark
- $24\,$ more than you, but as a result of this pay dispute, as
- $25\,$ a result of the political issue, as you describe it, not

86

only were MTFAs affected, so much so outside resources

- had to be obtained, but SRTs were suspended?
- $3\,$ $\,$ A. Yes, the team was withdrawn by the Fire Service due to
- 4 the lack of —— I presume due to lack of training at the 5 time.
- ume.
- Q. Well, when did this lack of training stop? Was there
 a problem over lack of training before the
- 8 Manchester Arena bomb?
- 9 A. No.
- 10~ Q. So the SRTs are on tip-top form on 22 May 2017 but are
 - suspended just over a year later because they're not
- 12 trained up? When did this deterioration begin?
- 13 A. The ongoing discussion around whether it's -- if it's
- 14 contractual, part of a firefighter 's role, had been
- going on for some years. It must have come to a head --
- going on for some years. It must have come to a head —
- $16\,$ I don't know what discussions took place and why the
- 17 decision was made, but the decision was then made by
- ACFO Keelan, I presume, all the way up to the chief
- 19 at the time to withdraw the response.
- 20 Q. But Merseyside gave back—up on the MTFA withdrawal. Was
- 21 anyone backing up the lack of SRT facility for
- 2.2 Manchester?
- 23 A. Sorry, I missed the first bit of the question.
- 24 Q. Merseyside, I understand, gave back-up when the MTFA
- 25 withdrawal occurred in Manchester and I'm asking if

8'

- 1 similar back—up was given when SRT was withdrawn.
- 2 A. Merseyside would have come under mutual aid to
- 3 Manchester.
- 4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So they would be covering both?
- 5 A. They'd be covering both, yes
- 6 MR COOPER: Have SRTs been restored?
- $7\,$ $\,$ A. There's current training ongoing at the moment and
- 8 I think there's a likely go—live date of 1 April this
- 9 vear.
- 10 Q. SRTs could have made a significant difference on
- 11 22 May 2017, couldn't they?
- 12 A. I believe some difference could have been made, yes.
- 13 | I think --
- 14 Q. What sort of difference?
- 15 A. Just with some of, like, the training with the ballistic
- 16 bandages, you know. I think normal fire crews could
- have made a difference as well. I don't want to get
- away from that. But with the SRT, some of the enhanced
- 19 training and what they used to...
- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Sorry to cut you short, but if you had
- been there quickly, as might have happened, you'd have
- 22 been there in helping the medics and your particular
- skills in that area would have been an enormous help,
- 24 wouldn't they?
- 25 A. Yes.

- MR COOPER: Could have saved lives?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ So do I understand this: that that ability , should an 4 atrocity happen now, heaven forbid, would not be
- available as far as Manchester is concerned, provided by 5 Manchester firefighters? 6
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think the answer has to be yes because 7 8 you don't have any SRTs.
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR COOPER: All right. 10
- 11 Back to Philips Park. You asked, didn't you, and 12 I don't need to take you to where it appears unless
- 13 required, but you asked Mr Berry at one stage, didn't
- you, at Philips Park, "Why are we meeting here? Why did 14 you nominate here, Mr Berry, for the RVP?" Do you 15
- 16 remember having that conversation with him?
- 17 A. I remember discussing it, ves.
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Do you want to look at your statement,
- 19 the part of it?
- 20 A. Yes, please
- 21 MR COOPER: Sir, it's not in his statement, but it might be,
- 22 but the reference $I\,{}^{\prime}m$ referring to is in the debrief.
- 2.3 A. Oh ves. Sorry, yes.
- 2.4 Q. It's simply this: when you asked Mr Berry that question,
- you say he said, "It's one of the places I know". That

- 1 was his reply to you, wasn't it, "It's one of the places
- 2 I know"?
- 3 A. Yes. It was around -- yeah, why choose somewhere that
- distance away from the actual scene of the attack. We
- do use other fire stations as RVPs and stand-by places, 5
- and I think that was a decision he made at the time. 6
- 7 That was his decision at the time, yes.
- Q. Indeed it was, but the reason he gave to you, and it is 8
- in the debrief, was, "It's one of the places I know." 9 10
- That's what you said in the debrief and that's what he
- 11 said to you, isn't it?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes, yes.
- 13 Q. Thank you. So you arrive at Philips Park, and I'm not
- going to go into matters that have already been dealt 14
- 15 with, but Mr Levy tells you he didn't have permission to 16 attend at the scene, didn't he, in short?
- 17 A. Yes, we had no information to proceed to the scene, yes.
- 18 Q. And there was general frustration, which has been dealt 19 with.
- 2.0 I want to ask you particularly about the way you 21 term your evidence in your statement. It appears at page 5 of your statement and this concerns the issue of
- 23 who was aware of your capabilities and who wasn't.
- 2.4 That's the issue I'm asking you about very briefly now.

90

25 In your statement at page 5, you say:

- "The NILOs were fully aware of our capabilities and
 - this was conveyed to the command support room.
- 3 So you specifically say in your statement two
- 4 things: one, that the NILOs were aware of your
- 5 capabilities, and that this was conveyed to the command support room; do you see that? 6
- 7 A. Yes, I do, yes.
- Q. The point I want to ask you about is the "This was 8
- 9 conveyed to the command support room". So you heard
- 10 a NILO tell the command support room of your
- 11 capabilities and of your team's capabilities?
- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. Because you said it was conveyed, you heard it conveyed
- 14 to the command support room; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes

17

- 16 Q. And yet despite it being conveyed to the command support
 - room, so far as you recollect by the NILOs, you were not
- 18 deployed?
- 19 A. That's correct, ves.
- 20 Q. Did that surprise you, to say the least?
- 2.1 A. Yes. Surprised and frustrated, yes.
- 22 Q. Because despite them being made aware, despite the
- 23 command support room being made aware in your presence
- 2.4 by the NILOs of your expertise, they still sent in
- 25 non-specialist crews; is that right?

91

1 A Yes

8

16

- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ In short, as you put at the top of page 6 of your 2 3 statement, bluntly:
- "The command support room prevented our deployment"?
- A. It certainly felt -
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Just stop for a moment. I think we need 6
- 7 the whole sentence, if you don't mind. It reads:
 - "I got the feeling the NILOs wanted to deploy us but
- 9 were prevented from doing so by the command support
- 10 room, who they were in contact with.'
- 11 A. That's the way it felt at the time, yes.
- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 13 MR COOPER: I want to ask you about the chief fire officer.
- 14 This is not in your statement, but it's in another
- 15 document and I can take you to it if needs be. Who was
 - the chief fire officer at the time?
- 17 A. Peter O'Reilly
- 18 Q. You were told at the debrief that the CFO was at G16,
- 19 Manchester fire station, but you were told to keep this 2.0
 - to yourselves. You are saying that on the 12 July
- 21 debrief?
- 2.2 A. I don't know...
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Let's have the document, please. 23
- 24 MR COOPER: Sir, yes, of course. It's {INQ030902/23}.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. This will enable you to get

- 1 the context if you want to and see what was said.
- 2 A. Thank you.
- 3 MR COOPER: It's a reference to -- I see it now:
- 4 "It kind of irked me that the CFO was at G16 and 5 we were told to keep to ourselves."
- 6 What did you mean by that?
- $7\,$ $\,$ A. That was around debriefing and talking about it. So
- 8 this was after the incident.
- 9 Q. I see, yes.
- $10\,$ $\,$ A. So it was -- basically we were told to make notes and
- 11 statements and stuff like that, but we were told,
- 12 I don't know who by, but groups was not to get together
- 13 and discuss what happened on the night. Then some time
- 14 later I found out that Peter O'Reilly, the chief at the
- 15 time, had gone to see some Manchester stations and had
- $16\,$ talked about it and it kind of like $\,--\,$ we were doing, as
- 17 we were told by -- basically keeping our counsel to
- ourselves because we... You know, for... I presume to
- keep our view as our view, not letting it be changed by anyone. And then we find out that that the chief seemed
- 21 to have gone against his instructions for the groups not
- to meet up and he's gone and met up. So that was the
- 23 feeling of frustration there. It was not to do with the
- 24 night itself, it was some time afterwards, just to be
- 25 clear.

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. I see. So it's effectively dealing with the debrief
- 2 aspect of it?
- A. Yes.
- ${\tt Q}$. Thank you. I just want to finish off by asking you
- 5 a few more questions, please, about Exercise Largo.
- 6 Exercise Largo took place on 18 April 2019, didn't it?
- 7 A. Yes, I believe so, yes.
- $8\,$ $\,$ Q. And that was to learn from the Manchester Arena
- 9 response. That's what its specific purpose was, wasn't
- 10 it?
- 11 A. Yes.

18

- $12\,$ $\,$ Q. So here's an operation in April 2019 to learn from the
- arena response. Is there any reason why that particular operation took so long to get up and going?
- 15 A. I don't know. I don't know who organised it. It may
 have... I honestly don't know.
- 17 Q. Because it's nearly 2 years since the atrocity, isn't
- 19 A. Yes, but there's ongoing training and tabletop exercises
- 20 happening all the time and I presume happened previous 21 as well. I don't know if this was one of the ones that
- 22 came out of Kerslake to get some of the findings,
- 23 I don't know.

it?

- Q. Let's park that then as to taking place nearly 2 years
- 25 later . No doubt some important lessons were learned

94

- 1 from it?
- 2 A. Yes. I believe so, yes. I didn't do the debrief.
- 3 I don't know if -- British Transport Police, I think,
- 4 ran the exercise and they provided a debrief to the
- 5 Local Resilience Forum to be disseminated and learned
- from. I just had a very small scope to look into some
- 7 of the findings around Jim Hutton and Ray Carolyn at the 8 time.
- 9 Q. Let me just follow on from that: you mentioned British
- 10 Transport Police. This was arranged by British
- 11 Transport Police, was it?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes
- 13 Q. So they had a crucial part in it?
- 14 A. I believe so, yes. At the time of writing the report,
- 15 I left -- I contacted them a couple of times but got no
- 16 response. I think the person that was doing the
- write—up of it, the formal debrief, that was taking
- 18 place some time later.
- $19\,$ Q. I'm coming on to this. I'm dealing with the delays, I'm
- dealing with the progress of this report. British
- 21 Transport Police are central to the organisation of this
- lessons learnt document in relation to the arena
- 23 response. Your observation of British Transport Police
- $24\,$ is this, isn't it: British Transport Police did not
- 25 reply in time to requests for the official briefing

95

- 1 findings? That's what you say --
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. -- in your report? What do you mean? That British
 - Transport Police, who have actually arranged Largo, who
- 5 are central to Largo, did not reply in time to requests
- for the official debrief findings? What do you mean?
- $7\,$ $\,$ A. That I requested them as part of my brief just to write
- 8 a short report to inform our senior leaders, because
- 9 this was an internal report, of the outcomes -- not the
- outcomes, some of the findings from that exercise.
- I think in the time scales I didn't get a response back
- 12 from British Transport Police before I submitted that
- 13 report.
- 14 Q. Have you had a response back from them?
- 15 A. No, and when I say I submitted the report through my
- line managers, I received no further communication
- regarding that report (overspeaking) further
- 18 information.
- 19 Q. Let me understand this then: British Transport Police
- 20 set up an operation, Exercise Largo, which took place on
- 21 18 April 2019 to learn lessons from the arena response,
- possibly as a result of Kerslake. British Transport
- Police, who are central to this operation, did not reply
- in time to requests for the official debrief findings
- and still to this day, 2021, they still haven't? Is

1 that right? exploration of the final comments in the evidence you 2 A. Not to me, no. They may have replied elsewhere, but 2 gave to CTI. You said: 3 I have no knowledge. 3 "We have not been allowed to do our job through SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: How much time were you giving them? How 4 4 a procedure that failed." That's what you said today: 5 much time are we talking about that they hadn't done 5 6 "We have not been allowed to do our job through 6 a response to you by? 7 A. It was only a matter of a few weeks because I think they 7 a procedure which failed." 8 8 wanted the report passing up, so ... In short, what procedures failed? 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Do you have any idea? Can you do better 9 A. Yes. The procedure I was referring to would be the 10 10 than a few weeks? reliance on information from the force duty officer from 11 A. I honestly couldn't say with any clarity, sorry —— 11 Greater Manchester Police. 12 12 certainty, rather. Would you accept it failed for more reasons than that. 13 MR COOPER: Did anyone else -- was anyone else late in 13 A. I thought if we would have got that information 14 providing that information? 14 15 A. With regards to what I wrote? 15 straightaway, then things could have happened and the Q. With regards to what British Transport Police didn't do. 16 incident -- we could have attended the incident. But at 16 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Were you seeking information from anyone 17 that time. I thought that was the crux of us not 17 18 else and was there a delay there? 18 attending, would have been that --SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, but the fact they couldn't get the 19 A. I sought information from Area Manager Hutton who 19 20 attended the exercise on behalf of the Fire Service and 20 information or Mr Berry couldn't get the information 2.1 Ray Carolyn, who at the time was working for the Local 21 from the FDO, should that have just let the whole 22 Resilience Forum, who was on a secondment. 22 procedure grind to a halt or should there be some -- the SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Did you get responses from them in time? 2.3 23 Ambulance Service are there. 2.4 2.4 I don't know whether they tried to contact the ambulance 25 MR COOPER: You understand the families are listening keenly 2.5 NILO or sending someone forward. 97 1 as to lessons learned and whether organisations have 1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So the whole process of getting 2 learned lessons or are acting with more expedition and 2 information from the scene is what failed? 3 that's why I'm asking you to BTP. To this day, they 3 A. Yes, I have to agree, yes. still haven't responded to their own operation? MR COOPER: Can I just finally suggest to you that whether 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: He hasn't had a response; whether they 5 or not that information had got to the responsible have responded elsewhere and whether they have produced 6 people, the whole culture of the Fire Service at the 6 time would have been to stay at Philips Park, wouldn't 7 7 a report, we will have yo ask them about. But we will 8 8 enquire from BTP. 9 9 MR COOPER: Thank you, sir. My last question on Largo is A. I disagree. I think the culture would have been —-10 this, and it really just top and tails the evidence 10 judging by the frustration that people wanted to attend 11 you've given already: you simply don't know what has 11 that incident .. 12 happened to it, nothing's been done, you've not been 12 MR COOPER: Thank you. 13 spoken to about it, it's just effectively in someone's 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you, Mr Cooper. MR DE LA POER: Sir, I think it is Ms Johnson on behalf of 14 14 drawer? Is that effectively where we are with Largo 15 15 GMCA. now? 16 A. As far as my report to Largo -- but there was a request, 16 Questions from MS JOHNSON MS JOHNSON: Thank you. Just one issue. Could I ask to 17 a colleague asked me about Largo, I think it was 17 18 either March last year -- so at a Local Resilience Forum 18 have up, please, $\{INQ004275/1\}$. 19 level, I don't know if it's been talked about since, 19 Mr Nolan, this is a debrief document that you 2.0 2.0 I honestly don't know. I don't know who would be the prepared on 12 July 2017. It's already been referred 21 21 best person — perhaps ACFO Keelan would be the best to. Could I ask that we move to {INQ004275/5}, please.

100

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

On this page, you write in your recommendations for

from the bottom, you have written one recommendation be:

future similar events and I just wanted to look at two

of them in relation to the RVPs. If you look three up

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

person to give you more information on Largo.

information from people who know, Mr Cooper.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We're going to try and get more

MR COOPER: I have one last question and it's really a short

1 "Protect the RVP, close surrounding roads." 1 vou don't mind: 2 And below that: 2 "A Fire Service response utilising regular and 3 "Sweep of RVP." 3 specialist crews, trusting their judgement." 4 Do you see that? 4 A Yes SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you trust the people who are closest 5 A. Yes, I do, yes. 5 Q. The first question that I had was: which of the RVPs are to it on their judgement of whether it's safe to go in? 6 6 7 you referring to in this? 7 A. Yes, like we do with every --SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: "Allow forward commanders/NILOs to make 8 A. I would say it would have been the multi-agency one that 8 9 was at Manchester Central -- Manchester Central 9 decisions.' 10 10 Fire Station, sorry. So again people on the scene don't have to get the 11 11 commanders, who are rather remote, to decide that? Q. In terms of your recommendations about closing 12 12 surrounding roads and sweeping the RVP, what was the A Yes SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: "Better liaison with other agencies." 13 threat that you were concerned about when you wrote 13 14 14 this? So again you're talking, presumably, because you say 15 A. I think it was just when I was there and you saw the 15 once you get to the scene it's fine, you're talking 16 16 amount of emergency responders at that site, because about you remotely liaising with ambulance and police? 17 ambulances had started to come to the scene from far 17 18 afield, it just seemed a potential risk and I think 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: "Better/full mobilisation of appropriate 19 initially Thompson Street wasn't closed at either end. 19 resource to incident as well as command support at RVP." 2.0 It did get closed later on, but I think initially there 20 So what do you mean by command support being at the 21 would have been -- it was quite open because cars were 2.1 rendezvous point? 22 still coming down and it was quite a big area to sweep 22 A. We also have a command support vehicle --SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You're talking about the vehicle? 2.3 23 and I ... Whether I asked the question, I'm not too 2.4 2.4 sure, and it got answered, had a sweep been done of the A Yes 25 RVP to ensure it was safe, because there were obviously 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Which never turned up on the night 101 103 1 no police there at the time, what had we done to make it 1 I think A. I don't think so, no. 2 safer for ourselves? And I think that's one of the 2 3 things ... It may have been done by the NILOs, but 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you: I wasn't too sure. "Protect the RVP. Close surrounding roads." 5 Q. What I just wanted to understand was in terms of making 5 Then: 6 it safe, from what was it that you were concerned it 6 "A sweep of the RVP." 7 7 needed to be made safe? What was the risk? Now, we know that the particular station you were 8 8 A. Just the potential for secondary devices or a secondary sent to was manned at the time, so there were people 9 there? 9 attack. Because at that point we -- we still had no 10 knowledge of was it just the one incident area. Could 10 A. Yes 11 we have been -- could the emergency services have been 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But you thought there should have been 12 a further target? 12 a sweep of it before you were actually sent there? 13 Q. Was there anything about the location of that RVP and 13 A. No. I think once we got there, we could have performed its $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ 14 14 that or someone could have performed that, just at any 15 15 particular concern? RVP to do with a terrorist-type incident, an RVP should 16 A. It's a reasonable distance from the arena to be quite 16 have been swept. a good one. Its size is good. But for someone perhaps SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Right. So you would do it? 17 17 18 pre-planning an attack, it's probably quite a likely 18 A. In the absence of police, I think yes. Any crew --

102

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

someone should be tasked with looking for anything

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You have just said about Philips Park,

because of the risk of a secondary device. Most firemen

shouldn't have gone this far away, we should have been

who have come to give evidence about this have said, "We

that it's a reasonable distance away from the arena

suspicious and untoward.

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

location to do a secondary attack, in my opinion.

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Could we have that document back again?

These are your considered conclusions about your

recommendations and you had until July to think about

it. So I think it's worth going through them all, if

MS JOHNSON: Thank you. Those are my questions.

Sorry, I didn't quite stop you in time.

1 at Central." it seemed to you that perhaps you were being somehow got 2 A. Sorry, I meant Manchester Central is the correct 2 at today, it wasn't an intention by anyone to do that, 3 distance; Philips Park is too far away. Sorry, 3 just a desire to get the information. MR DE LA POER: Thank you, sir. 4 I correct myself. 4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I don't think that's actually clear from 5 5 Our next witness -- and we're only minutes ahead of where we expected to be -- is, as far as I am aware, 6 there. 6 A. Just to clarify on the better and full mobilisation of 7 available, but I wonder whether now would be appropriate resources, the specialist response team 8 8 a convenient moment to take an early lunch. 9 consisted of members from three stations, so the team 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Why not? We'll come back at 1.45. 10 10 should have consisted of 12 personnel and there was only Thank you very much for your evidence. 11 10 there. One team wasn't tasked with coming, the team 11 (12.45 pm) 12 from one of the TRU stations wasn't tasked. 12 (The lunch adjournment) 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: The original RVP as set down by the 13 (1.43 pm) force duty officer was the Cathedral Car Park. 14 MS CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon, sir. The witness in the 14 15 A. Yes. I believe so, yes. 15 witness box is Watch Manager Turner. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Which is, I think, closer than Central? MS MACCYLA TURNER (affirmed) 16 16 17 17 Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: In your view too close or would it have 18 MS CARTWRIGHT: Could you please tell the inquiry your full 19 been all right? 19 20 A. In hindsight .. 20 A. Maccyla Turner. 2.1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Well, at the time. 2.1 Q. And in May 2017 were you crew manager at Blue Watch at 2.2 A. At the time potentially a little bit close. At the time 22 station G16, Manchester Central Fire Station? A. That's correct. there was a discussion around whether there was an 2.3 2.3 2.4 active shooter -- well, a marauding terrorist firearms 2.4 Q. At that time how long had you been a firefighter for, 25 attack. So that potentially would have been too close please? 105 107 1 whereas Manchester Central, I think, was less than half 1 A. I was a firefighter up until I went to Golf 16, 2 2 Manchester Central, and then I became a crew manager 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We have the scenario that the Cathedral 3 there, so at that time I had done 10 years' service. Car Park is the rendezvous point set down by the police. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Ms Turner, if you will forgive 5 I think it's right to say that nobody actually turns up 5 a personal question, I don't think I've ever come across there at all, but you're all selecting your own, which 6 your Christian name before. 6 7 7 doesn't help to co-locate very much. A. Maccyla, yes, it's Gaelic. MS CARTWRIGHT: I think, subsequent to 2017, you have been 8 A. No 8 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And finally: 9 promoted now to watch manager; is that correct? 10 "Backfill with neighbouring brigades to allow 10 That's correct. 11 complete SRT team to be stood up." 11 Q. And that's at Oldham, is that right? 12 So the idea of keeping you in reserve because of 12 A. Yes, ma'am. 13 a further incident which has been said to be one of the 13 Q. Thank you. You have provided two witness statements for the 14 reasons why you weren't used, if you actually use your 14 15 15 purposes of the inquiry. The first is dated agreements with neighbouring forces --16 16 5 December 2017 and do you have a copy of that statement SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: $\,--$ then you don't need to do that? 17 17 18 A. Yes, we should deploy resources to the incident and then 18 A. I have, yes. 19 if it's deemed that potentially there's further risk 19 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the best of 2.0 2.0 then use the mutual aid. your knowledge and belief? SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. Thank you very much. That's 21 21 They are. 2.2 helpful to have gone through that. 2.2 Q. And then you have provided a second statement dated 23 23 1 December last year. Do you have a copy of that Anything else? 24 MR DE LA POER: Not from me, thank you very much. 2.4 statement? 25 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We're really grateful for your help. If A. Yes, I do.

106

- 1 Q. Are the contents of that second statement true to the 2 best of your knowledge and belief?
- 3
- 4 Q. We've already dealt with how long you've been
- 5 a firefighter at the time, but could you just assist us
- with a little more detail about training, please? Could 6
- 7 you assist us with whether you'd had any JESIP training,
- please, as of May 2017? 8
- 9 A. The only training that I can recall having was an 10 $e-learning\ package\ that\ we\ do\ online\ and\ it's\ just$
- 11 familiarisation on JESIP protocols.
- 12 Q. Those records have been provided. Sir. I don't intend 13 to take the witness into the records unless it would
- 14
- 15 We've also been provided with the wider records 16 relating to medical training you had. Is it right that 17 in May of 2017 you were a qualified trauma technician?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Can you give us some idea as who how long you've been 20 a trauma technician, please?
- 21 A. I can't recall off the top of my head when I did my
- 22 initial course. It was quite early on in my career, possibly around 2010/2011. 2.3
- 2.4 Q. We have your wider records in respect of the medical
- 25 training you had. Would it be right to summarise it in

- 1 this way, that you had received medical training in
- 2 respect of responding to cardiac arrest?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. In respect of pulse oximeter?
- 5
- Q. Could you explain what that training was and what the 6 7 training pulse oximeter covers, please?
- A. The watch—based training is an e-learning package that 8 9
- the trauma technician will take the watch through: 10 various aspects of the basic life support, the AED, as
- 11 well as the pulse oximeter, just how to read the numbers
- 12 on the actual equipment itself, just so the crews know
- 13 and what the numbers actually mean: one is obviously the
- 14 pulse rate and the amount of oxygen they have in the 15 blood
- 16 Q. So were you confident in measuring oxygen saturations?
- 17 A. Yes, we do have a laminate sheet in the trauma bags that 18 will give you an idea -- if someone has COPD, then
- 19 different levels of O2 are required.
- 2.0 Q. Thank you. We also see on the medical training that you 21 had received training for the mechanics of injury and
- 2.2 casualty assessment? 23
- 24 Q. So to that extent were you confident in terms of
- 25 provision of the ABC, airways, breathing, circulation?

110

- A. Yes. ma'am.
- Q. We can see also that there was training in respect of 3
 - C-spine immobilisation.
- 4 A Yes
- 5 Q. Can you give us an idea of what that training covered 6 please?
- 7 A. The training covered various different scenarios where
- 8 we'd immobilise a casualty and extricate them in
- 9 different ways, whether it be from laying on the side, 10
- if they're stood up and you'd have to do a snatch
- 11 extrication on to the long board. It was just training
- 12 in different techniques in how to get that casualty
- 13 safely on a board as quickly as possible.
- 14 Q. We can also see that as well as the trauma technician
- 15 training you'd had, you'd also received training in
- 16 basic life support, including the use of
- 17 a defibrillator?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Is there any other aspect that you think would assist
- 20 the chairman to hear about your medical training, about
- 2.1 your confidence in delivering medical care to patients?
- 22 A. Not that I can think of, no.
- 2.3 Q. Thank you.
- 2.4 Before I deal with your involvement on the night,
- 25 I'm going to briefly deal with your involvement in

- 1 attendance at Granby Row on 20 May 2017. That's broadly
- 2 covered by the second witness statement of Mrs Turner,
 - sir.

3

- Is it right, Mrs Turner, that on 19 May 2017 you
- 5 were not on duty but some of the pumps from your station
- 6 at Manchester Central had attended at Granby Row in
- 7 response to the activation of a fire alarm?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. I think the following day you'd been tasked to go and
- 10 pay a visit to Granby Row.
- 11 That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. So could you just describe to the chairman what the
- 13 purpose of your visit to Granby Row was on 20 May 2017?
- 14 A. Yes. Every time there's an incident involving a fire in
- 15 a dwelling or a house, we'd have an initiative
- 16 afterwards, what we call a Target 25 or Target 50, and
- 17 that basically is going to that premise and knocking on 18 all the doors and checking with the occupiers for any
- 19 fire safety advice that they require. And there's a bit
- 2.0 of ... Sorry, I forgot the word. 2.1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: It happens to us all!
- 2.2 A. Reassurance.
- 23 MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you.
- 2.4 Just dealing with the circumstances that you're
- 2.5 aware of as to the attendance on 19 May 2017, had that

- 1 related to a ground floor flat where a pan of food had
- 2 been left on the hob?
- 3 A. I believe so
- 4 Q. Were you able to conduct your safe-and-well visit on 5 20 May at Granby Row?
- A. Not to my recollection, no. 6
- 7 Q. Could you assist us as to the interrogation of the data
- 8 that you've had as to the length of time you were at
- 9 Granby Row, please?
- 10 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 11 Q. Perhaps if I frame it in a different way. Is it fair to 12
- say that you have checked the system as to how long your 13 pump was present at Granby Row on 20 May 2017?
- A. I haven't physically checked, no, but I believe it's 14 15 been checked on my behalf.
- Q. Is it right that those checks have recorded that it was 16 17 the G16P2 pump that attended at 15.29 and was present
- 18 for less than 12 minutes?
- 19 A. I believe so. ves.
- 20 Q. What does that support (sic) to you in terms of 2.1 a 12-minute visit to Granby Row?
- 2.2 A. To knock on all the flats and offer fire safety advice,
- 2.3 it suggests to me that it wasn't done. I've got no
- 2.4 recollection of actually getting into the building.
- 25 I don't think we actually did get in the building, if

- 1 I'm perfectly honest. It's quite an old, wooden door,
- 2 hasn't got a keypad to ring doorbells that I can recall,
- 3 so I'm pretty sure we didn't gain access to the building that day.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Can we then move into your original witness
- 7 statement, please, of 5 December 2017. Is it correct to 8 identify that the basis of the statement was an earlier
- 9 prepared self - administered interview that you'd
- 10 completed?
- 11 A. That's correct, yes.
- 12 Q. Thank you. You tell us in the witness statement that on
- 13 22 May 2017 you were the crew manager of Blue Watch and 14 that you commenced your night shift at 7 o'clock in the
- 15 evening.
- 16 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. You tell us who was also working with you on that shift. 17
- 18 The inquiry has already heard evidence from Watch
- 19 Manager Simister, but is it right to identify that
- 2.0 you were the officer in charge for the G16P2 pump at
- 21 Manchester Central station that night?
- 2.2 A. That's correct, yes.
- 23 Q. And that the other firefighters that were on that or
- 2.4 allocated to that pump under your management were
- 25 Firefighter Redfern?

- A. Yes
- 2 Q. Firefighter Ludley?
- 3 A. Yes
- Q. And Firefighter Bird? 4
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. Would it be right that whilst you were the officer in 6 7
 - charge for the second pump, the overall watch manager
- 8 was Mr Simister that night?
- 9 A. Correct, yes.
- 10 Q. Thank you. I'm now on page 2 of your witness statement
- 11 and I'm going to take you through the account you
- 12 provided.

13

15

17

- You describe in your witness statement that at 22.38
- 14 the watch received a pre—alert for the Manchester Arena.
 - Can you describe what a pre-alert is, please?
- 16 A. Yes. It was a trial that we used where the alarm would
- 18 sometimes it just gave coordinates, to the closest point
- 19 where the control thought it was, and the pre-alert went 2.0

sound for a pre-alert, and sometimes it gave an address.

- out to the nearest stations in a small radius and it was 21 just to get you to stand by while they collected
- 22 information from the caller and whether we mobilised or
- 23 not, and after 3 minutes, if the second bell didn't go,
- 2.4 then you were no longer mobilised and you stood down.
- 2.5 In terms of then when the pre-alert goes off and you're

115

- 1 on standby, what are the firefighters physically doing
- 2 at that time?
- 3 A. We generally went and put our boots and wet legs on,
- which is the overtrousers, and we just waited for that
- second bell, then all we had to do then was put a jacket 5
- 6 on and get ready to go. So we would all be round the
- 7 console in the engine house area while we put our wet
- 8 legs on.
- 9 Q. When the pre-alert went off, did you have any
- 10 information at that stage as to what the incident
- 11 related to that had caused a pre-alert to sound?
- 12 A. No. No, I mean, I think I assumed it may be a lift
- 13 rescue, which was quite common. I remember we discussed
- 14 it at the time around the console, it might be a lift
- 15 rescue

18

- 16 Q. Could you just assist us, in terms of your evidence as
- 17 to how long it would takes you, had your pump travelled
 - on blue lights from Thompson Street to the arena, how
- 19 long would that take?
- 2.0 A. As we were already dressed, probably about 3 or
- 2.1 4 minutes.
- 2.2 You say in your witness statement that after the
- 23 3 minutes, if no further instruction is received, the
- 2.4 crews stand down.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you also say that, just prior to the pre—alert sounding, you had been doing some work in the office on the computer and that Firefighter Bradbury had spoken to you about something he'd heard. Can you deal with that, please?
- A. Yes. So we were in the main watch office,
 Firefighter Bradbury was at one desk, I was at the
- 8 other. There was a bang, he said to me, "Did you hear 9 that?" At the time I said no but at the time it was
- 9 that?" At the time I said no, but at the time it was 10 one of those subconscious things and I don't know
- whether I heard it or not. My statement said I didn't
- hear it so I'll go with that. Then I just carried on with my work at that point, but he had recognised that
- 14 he'd heard something.
- 15 Q. You then say:
- "The 3 minutes had elapsed, we had received no further instruction, so we stood down and continued with what we had been doing."
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Then we're now at the next sounding of the pre—alert.You say this:
- 22 "At 22.45 hours a second pre—alert sounded. This time it was for the Manchester Arena car park."
- 24 Can you tell us about that, please?
- 25 A. Yes. So again, the pre-alert sounded, we got up, went

117

- to the console, read the address. It was the same or similar address to the first pre—alert. So we were kind of expecting that we might be going at that point. And then I think that's when the phone went.
- Q. So I think you tell us in the witness statement that
 a call had come in from G19, Gorton Fire Station, and
 some information from Crew Manager Mottram. Can you
 tell us about the information that he provided, please?
- 9 A. Yes. The information that was relayed back was Crew 10 Manager Mottram had -- his wife was on duty as 11 a paramedic that night and she had phoned him or 12 communicated to him that there'd been an explosion at the Manchester Arena. So he had phoned up to say, "Why 13 are you still on station?" I don't know it was him that 14 15 phoned up, somebody at Gorton had phoned up to ask why 16 we were still on station as there had been an explosion
- 18 Q. It may seem an obvious question, but why were Gorton 19 ringing Manchester Central to say, "Why are you still at 20 the station"?
- A. I'm not sure if they were just checking to see if we were on station or had been mobilised.

at Manchester Arena

- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think that's because you're the24 closest place to the arena.
- 25 A. Yes

17

118

- $1\,$ $\,$ MS CARTWRIGHT: And other than the call that had come from
 - Gorton, had you got any other information from anywhere
- 3 else at that point in time about what was happening 4 at the arena?
- $5\,$ $\,$ A. No, not really . $\,$ I think maybe with the hearing of the
- 6 bang and then that phone call, there might have been
- $7 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{some assumption that maybe something actually has} \\$
- 8 happened.
- 9 Q. You then go on to tell us that having heard that second
- $10\,$ $\,$ pre-alert and the call from Gorton, you all rushed to
- 11 the pumps, got dressed in your full personal protective
- 12 equipment, opened the engine house doors, and mounted
- 13 your pumps ready to be mobilised.
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.15 Q. Is that both pump 1 and pump 2?
- 16 A. I can only talk for pump 1. I'm not 100% sure about
- pump 2 -- sorry, pump 2, not 100% with pump 1.
- $18\,$ $\,$ Q. You then go on to say that the 3 minutes again passed
- and no further information had been sent to you.
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. You say this:
- 22 "I felt confused and frustrated and couldn't
- 23 understand why we had not been deployed.'
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Is there anything further you want to expand upon in

119

- 1 respect of that statement?
- 2 A. No. No, ma'am.
- 3 Q. You tell us that:
 - "We got off the pump and started to walk to the back of the appliance."
- 6 And then you describe that the bells dropped and the
- 7 alarm sounded for mobilisation. So can you just explain
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{8}}$ what the bells dropping or the alarm sounding indicates,
- 9 please?

4

5

- 10 A. Yes. It's a mobilisation that we have on station, where
- 11 the bells will sound, all the lights on the station will
- come on, and then the printer will print out the job.
- 13 It'll also be on mobile data terminal on the appliances
- 14 as well. It'll flash up red, saying you're being
- 15 mobilised.
- $16\,$ Q. Does that printout tell you where you have to mobilise
- 17 to?
- 18 A. That's correct, yes.
- 19 Q. You say that you checked the mobile data terminal in the
- $2\,0\,$ appliance and the turnout at 22.49 hours showed that
- both of the pumps from Manchester Central and G18 pump 1
- were to go to a rendezvous point at G18 Philips Park.
- 23 A. That is correct
- 24 Q. You say this:
- 25 $\,$ "This felt wrong to me as G18 is about 2 miles

11

- 1 further away from the arena than G16."
- 2 A. That's correct, ma'am, yes.
- 3 Q. Again, is there any other expansion you want to make to 4 that statement?
- A. There was a lot of confusion. There was a lot of 5
- confusion and I remember as we pulled out slightly of 6
- 7 the engine house, I recall the appliances stopping as
- 8 we were talking, the crews were asking what was going 9
- on. I -- it was a really short space of time and I just 10 said to my crew at the time, "There must be something
- 11 else that we don't know about. There's got to be
- 12 something else". So there was just a lot of confusion
- 13 Q. In giving that answer, would it be fair to say that the 14
- 15 only information you could give as officer in charge of pump 2 was, "There must be a reason"? 16
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. But at that stage you didn't know what that reason was?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You then tell us that:
- 21 "I booked us as mobile incident en route to the 22 rendezvous point at 22.50 hours."
- 2.3 And:
- 2.4 "As [you] were leaving the engine house, [you] saw 25 ambulances pulling up on to [your] yard."

121

- 1 A. That's correct, yes.
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{Can}$ you give us some idea as to how many ambulances you 2. 3 saw?
- A. I can't recall.
- 5 Q. You repeat again in your statement:
- 6 "I knew there must be a reason, but I couldn't help
- 7 but think going to G18 was wrong."
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You go on to tell us that you arrived at G18 at
- 10 22.54 hours and parked your pump and you say this:
- 11 "It was clear that nobody was expecting us so I went 12 upstairs to find Watch Manager Helmrich, the officer in 13 charge at G18P1."
- A. That's correct. 14
- Q. So on arrival at Philips Park Fire Station, can you just 15 16 give us some idea as to how many appliances were there and what the mood was like at the station, please? 17
- 18 A. When we arrived, there was only one appliance there and
- 19 that was G18P1, which was parked up inside the engine
- 2.0 house. When we got in, went upstairs to the mess area
- 21 and recreation area, and the watch were just in there
- 2.2 relaxing, it was late in the evening. We walked in with
- full PPE and they were quite relaxed and chilled when we 2.3 2.4 arrived
- 2.5 Q. En route from Thompson Street to Philips Park, had you

122

- 1 received any further information or instructions during 2
 - that journey?
- 3 A. Not to my recollection, no.
- 5 Manchester Central, Thompson Street, to Philips Park was
- 6 on blue lights?
- 7 A. It was, ves.
- Q. Roughly how long did that journey take? 8

Q. Do you recall whether the journey from

- 9 A. I think it was about 4 minutes.
- 10 Q. You say this about getting into the mess room at
 - Philips Park:
- 12 'They were all in the mess room and unaware of what 13 had happened. We changed the television over to a news
- 14 channel and started to look at social media sites on our
- 15 phones to find out what was going on. As we watched
- 16 things unfold, G19P2 from Gorton Fire Station arrived."
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- 18 Q. Just pausing there for a moment, when you were on social
- 19 media sites and watching the news, were you able to get
- any other information or glean what was happening at the 20
- 21 arena?

3

8

18

2.2

- 22 A. What I recall, it was -- they were reporting it was an
- 2.3 explosion that had been detonated. There was a lot of
- 2.4 casualties. That's all I can recall, really. Watching
- 25 some of the -- there were some videos on social media

123

- 1 that people had filmed on their phones. It was quite
- 2 chaotic. So at that point I was kind of expecting for
 - us to be mobilised.
- Q. You say that Crew Manager Mottram was one of those
- 5 officers that arrived from Gorton Fire Station. Can you
- 6 recall what he told you at that time, please?
- 7 A. Not word for word. He just was repeating what the phone
 - call was, that his wife's there, there's several
- 9 casualties, why weren't we there. He kept asking why 10 we weren't going.
- 11 Q. Did you or any other of the fire officers get an answer
- 12 as to why you weren't being deployed at that time?
- 13 A. No. ma'am.
- Q. You tell us that: 14
- 15 "Watch Manager Simister called control as nobody had
- 16 contacted us since the incident had happened. He told
- 17 us that there were at least 18 confirmed fatalities . We
 - felt irate and frustrated that we weren't there and
- 19 helping."
- 2.0 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Again, is there anything you'd like to expand upon that
 - statement of feeling frustrated and irate?
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You don't need to unless you think there
- 2.4 is something. You'll be aware, I expect, we've heard
- 2.5 quite a lot of evidence already about how you were all

- feeling and you felt much the same as everyone else, no doubt?
- 3 A. Absolutely, sir, yes.
- 4 MS CARTWRIGHT: You then tell us that a little time later
- 5 you went down to the engine house, that some of the
- 6 crews had congregated there, and it's at that time you
- 7 saw two of the senior officers had arrived. You
- 8 describe them as NILO 2, Mr Meakin, and NILO 3, Mr Levy.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- $\begin{array}{lll} 10 & Q. & \text{And the chairman's received the various timings as to} \\ 11 & \text{their arrival} \; . & \text{It is } 23.25 \; \text{for Mr Meakin and } 23.34 \; \text{for} \end{array}$
- 12 Mr Levy.
- 13 You say this
- 14 "We were still very much in the dark and the pumps
- $15 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{were now trapped in the yard. Philips Park is a small} \\$
- $16 \hspace{1cm} \text{station and there was a bottleneck of resources blocking} \\$
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \text{the exit} \, . \hspace{3mm} \text{The marauding terrorist firearms attack crew}$
- 18 had also arrived."
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. Thank you. At this stage now that there are two NILOs
- present, was any information being provided from theNILOs to you at that time?
- 23 A. No information that I can recall, no.
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. You then take us to the time of midnight on 23 May, and
- 25 you say:

125

- 1 "We'd still not been given any official information.
- 2 Everything we knew was from the news, social media and
 - second-hand from Crew Manager Mottram"; is that correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. One of your colleagues asked the NILOs for information
- of what was going on and the answer was given:
- 7 "He was told this was not the time for questions."
- 8 A. That's correct, yes.
- $9\,$ $\,$ Q. Do you know which of the NILOs gave the answer, "This
- 10 was not the time for questions"?
- 11 A. Yes, it was, I believe, Group Manager Meakin.
- 12 Q. You then tell us that around midnight, Group
- Manager Levy told you to return to G16, so back to
- Thompson Street Fire Station, and that all crews were to
- 15 muster there?
- 16 A. That's correct, yes.
- 17 Q. You then describe that that journey then from G18 to G16
- was using blue lights and sirens.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And again, can you give us an idea as to how long that 21 iourney back took?
- 22 A. It probably would have been 3 or 4 minutes.
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Much the same as on the way there,

126

- 24 really . Sorry.
- 25 MS CARTWRIGHT: Well, you never know, sir.

- 1 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: No, you never know.
- 2 MS CARTWRIGHT: You describe that the scene when you
- 3 returned back to your home station was that the front
- 4 and back yards were both full of police and ambulance
- 5 vehicles and personnel?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Again, you say this:
- 8 "No further information had been passed to [you] at 9 that time, so [you] got off the pump and spoke with some
- of the other crews that were at the station.'
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. You describe that on returning to your home station you
- $13\,$ saw the Gorton crew, Papa 1, and the Broughton crew,
- 14 papa 1?
- 15 A. Yes.
- $16\,$ $\,$ Q. Can I then move you forward in time to 00.23. You tell
- us that you were told by Group Manager Levy that the two
- pumps from your station and the pump from Philips Park,
- pump 1, were going to the incident?
- 20 A. That's correct, yes.
- 21 Q. Could you deal with what you were told at that time and
- 22 what you were instructed to do, please?
- 23 A. I can't really recollect the exact words. We were just
- 24 being told that we were going down to Victoria Station
- 25 to assist NWAS, North West Ambulance Service, with some

127

- 1 of the casualties.
- 2 Q. You describe the location that you were to make your way
- 3 down to was Long Millgate.
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. Was that the address that was given to you?
- 6 A. Verbally. It was just information that was passed on.
- 7 It was quite chaotic and a lot of confusion, so that's
- 8 my recollection of what I was told at the time.
- $9\,$ $\,$ Q. Thank you. Just to confirm, can you tell us where the
- 10 Long Millgate address is?
- 11 A. It's basically as you turn into the Station Approach,
- 12 I think it bears off it's to the left of that.
- 13 Q. Thank you. You describe that you made your way there in
- $14 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{convoy with NILO 1 Station Manager Berry leading the way} \\$
- 15 in his car.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. He was followed by G16P1 and your vehicle, G16P2, and
 - then G18P1 followed on behind?
- 18 the
- 20 Q. You tell us at that 00.25 the convoy stopped on
- 21 Corporation Street just after the junction with the ring
- 22 road?
- 23 A. Yes
- $24\,$ $\,$ Q. Were you aware as to why the convoy stopped at that
- 25 location?

6

11

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. So what happened then, please?
- 3 A. Watch Manager Simister, he had left the appliance and
- 4 he was walking over to the Station Manager Berry's car.
- 5 Because I didn't know what was going on, I jumped off as
- well, went over to Andy Simister, "What's going on?" He 6
- 7 replied back, saying that he's trying to find out where
- Long Millgate is. I'm not sure if that was a jest or 8
- 9 meant, but Station Manager Berry was on his phone in the 10 car at the time with his window up.
- 11 Q. You indicate in your witness statement that the convoy
- 12 was stopped for about 11 minutes before you moved off 13
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am
- 15 Q. Can you give us some idea what you were doing during
- 16 that 11-minute period of time?
- 17 A. Just pacing, really. Getting frustrated. I went back
- 18 on -- because Andy had made a comment about
- 19 Long Millgate and you start questioning things in your
- 20 head so I thought I would just check on the mobile data
- 2.1 terminal, because we have like a satnav on it and
- 22 Google Maps just to make sure that in my head it's right
- 2.3 where it is, so I did that while we were waiting around.
- 2.4 Q. What did the checking of that mobile data terminal tell 25

129

- A. Where I thought it was. 1
- 2. Q. Okav.
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Which was?
- A. Just round the corner from where we were.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Right. 5
- MS CARTWRIGHT: You then describe that following the convoy 6
- 7 moving off again after 11 minutes, you drove into
- 8 Victoria Station Approach and you saw a lot of
- 9 ambulances filling the road and hustle next to the main
- 10 entrance of the train station.
- 11
- 12 Q. Up until this point there has been some evidence that
- 13 the chairman has heard about information about an
- 14 Operation Plato or whether Operation Plato had been
- 15 declared. Up until the point of you arriving at
- 16 Victoria Station, had you been given any information
- about Operation Plato? 17
- 18 A. No, I was informed once we arrived at the station,
- 19 I think
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: When you arrived at Victoria Station? 2.0
- 21 A. Yes. I think it was Watch Manager Simister that told
- 2.2 me. He said, "Operation Plato's been declared", but
- 23 at the time I didn't really know much about
- 2.4 Operation Plato.
- MS CARTWRIGHT: So did that statement from Mr Simister mean 25 130

- 1 anything to you?
- 2 A. I knew vaguely that it was to do with firearms, but
- 3 I wasn't 100%, so not really.
- 4 Q. Alongside Mr Simister indicating that Plato, did that

given to the firefighters that were now at

- affect anything about the instructions that were being
- 7 Victoria Station?
- A. No, not from me. 8
- 9 Q. You tell us that you parked on the left-hand side of the
- 10 road and then you got off the pump, you told your crew
 - to stay put until you found out what was going on. You
- 12 went to speak to Watch Manager Simister, who said to
- 13 wait until he'd spoken with someone in charge, but
- I think Firefighter Collins on Mr Simister's pump 14
- 15 couldn't wait and walked off, saying he was going to see
- if there was anything he could do in the interim. 16
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. He came back, after 2 minutes, indicating that you were
- 19 to collect all of the blankets off the ambulances with
- 20 your staff?
- 2.1 A. Yes, it was all of us, the three pumps.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm going back slightly. Had you had no 2.2
- 23 training as to what Operation Plato meant?
- 2.4 The only training we had done was the JESIP e-learning
- 2.5 package. I can't even recall if it goes that deeply

131

- 1 into Operation Plato. I knew vaguely what it was, but
- 2 not a great deal.
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, thank you.
- MS CARTWRIGHT: Can I ask then as a follow-on question from
- 5 the chairman's question, had you ever done any
- 6 exercising with other blue light services in respect of
 - a training exercise involving an Operation Plato?
- 8 A. No.

7

14

- 9 Q. You tell us then that two senior paramedics, one of them
- 10 including Dan Smith, then a little later, told you to
- 11 work in pairs. You paired up with Firefighter Redfern
- 12 and proceeded to provide assistance to a number of
- 13 casualties?

A. That's correct.

- 15 Q. I'm not going to ask you to tell the inquiry about the
- 16 assistance you provided to a number of individuals.
- 17 Again, I mean no disrespect to you in not dealing with
- 18 the efforts that you took at the railway station.
- 19 Could I then take you, please, to the end of the
- 20 evening. You tell us that there came a time when you
- 21 left the station and returned back to your station.
- 2.2 A. Yes
- 23 Q. I want you to assist us, please, with what's been
- 2.4 described as a potential hot debrief that took place at
- 2.5 that time. Can you tell us about that, please? I'm on

- 1 page 7 of your witness statement now.
- 2 A. Yes. The assistant chief fire officer, Harris, had came
- 3 down to the station to what I believe was a hot debrief,
- 4 which is what we'll do after any incident, we'll have
- 5 a hot debrief to talk about what went well, what went
- 6 wrong, what failures of equipment, et cetera. So that
- 7 was the purpose of a hot debrief. And to give some
- 8 answers to crews.
- 9 Q. What then took place at that time? I think you tell us 10 it was about 04.11 hours. Did such a hot debrief take
- 11 place?
- 12 A. No. it did not.
- 13 Q. Was any information shared about the incident with
- 14 crews?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Were any answers given to the questions that the 16 17 firefighters had at that time?
- 18 A. No, there were not.
- 19 Q. You say this:
- 20 "People were very frustrated and wanted to know what
- 2.1 had gone wrong."
- 2.2 A. That's correct. 2.3 Q. And that:
- 2.4
- "After that debrief finished, crews left to go back
- 25 to their home stations"?

- A. Yes, that's correct. 1
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ Is there any other information you wish to provide to 3 the chairman about that hot debrief in the early hours
- A. You've possibly heard it already, sir, but the hot
- debrief itself was Assistant Chief Fire Officer Harris 6
- 7 coming down supposedly to brief us up. He was getting
- 8 fired a lot of questions, which he couldn't answer. He
- 9 stated that he was unable to answer because he was not
- 10 involved and he hadn't been in the command support room.
- 11 Obviously at that point everyone was getting very angry.
- 12 The circle that was around him was getting smaller and
- 13 smaller. I personally didn't see anything. I had
- 14 nothing to say at that point; I was too upset and angry.
- 15 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: A debrief normally, a hot debrief, is to 16 get people's immediate impressions of what happened,
- 17 what went wrong, things like that, or what went right?
- 18 A. That's correct. sir.
- 19 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And presumably Mr Harris had come down

134

- 2.0 in order to find that out from you all?
- 21 A. He'd normally be the one doing the debrief, so he'd be
- 2.2 informing us of things that happened or didn't happen on
- 23
- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. So you'd expect the person
- 25 conducting the debrief to first of all say this, that,

- 1 and the other happened from the command point of view?
- 2 A. Yes

5

7

11

19

5

- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: How did it seem to you, as it were?
- 4 A. Yes, and it's a two—way thing, so we both say what went
 - well and what didn't go well.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you would have expected to get that 6
 - from him in the first place?
- 8 A. That's correct, sir, yes.
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. I just want to say, I want
- 10 to make clear that I have read the statement of what you
 - did there. You obviously made every effort to do what
- 12 you and the other firefighters could do to help in what
- 13 must have been a very difficult situation. How long
- 14 were you actually there helping remove casualties?
- 15 A. I'll be honest, sir, time just went from me. I've no
- 16 idea how long I was there for.
- 17 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, thank you.
- 18 MS CARTWRIGHT: Just one final topic of questions from me,
 - please. We can see that you were involved in the
- 20 debrief that then took place on 12 July 2017.
- 2.1 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Again, I'm not going to ask for it to be displayed on
- 23 the screen, but the chairman has been provided with
- 2.4 a copy of the structured debrief report and we know from
- 2.5 the attendees that you were identified as contributor

135

- 1 number 7. But on the structured debrief report
- 2 itself -- and, sir, for your reference it's
- 3 $\{INQ004498/1\}$ — we don't actually see that any of the
- comments were attributable to attendee number 7. So
 - could you give us your approach to that debrief, please?
- 6 A. To the whole debrief?
- 7 Q. I'm going to come on to one comment that's attributed to
- 8 you, but in the structured debrief report we don't see
- 9 you as one of the participants that identified any
- 10 issues as part of the structured debrief report.
- 11 I wondered if you could assist us then with that.
- 12 A. From my perspective, I felt as though there was some 13
- individuals maybe keeping their cards close to their 14 chest, not being fully open and transparent in the
- 15 debrief. I didn't feel that I had anything else to add
- 16 on that particular debrief so I decided not to bring
- 17 anything up at the end.
- 18 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Someone's bound to ask you the question,
- 19 so I will. It's perfectly apparent having read the
- 2.0 debrief that went on that people who were there in your
- 21 sort of position, ie on the pumps or whatever, were not
- 2.2 backwards in coming forwards with their observations and

136

- 23 saying what they thought 24
- Yes, I think they were, yes

2.5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: They were backwards in --

1	A. They were forward, yes.	1	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So this is all about an occasion after
2	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Sorry, that was my impression from	2	this had happened when Mr O'Reilly came to G16; is it
3	reading it.	3	that right?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. That's correct.
5	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So presumably, the people who you say	5 6	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: How close to the incident was it, how
6 7	were not being completely open as far as you were	7	long after the incident?
	concerned, and your impression, it can only be your		A. I'm not 100% sure, sir.
8	impression, are the people rather higher up the chain?	8	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: A day or two or —
9	A. Yes, more senior.	9	A. Maybe a couple of weeks possibly.
L0	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Who weren't actually on the scene but	10	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: In the context we've heard, and tell me
L1	perhaps were somewhere apart from it?	11	whether this is right about it, all of you were told to
L2	A. Yes.	12	keep quiet about it at the moment and wait until you've
L3	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, thank you.	13	had a proper debrief with everybody? Is that right?
L4	MS CARTWRIGHT: Can I take you to one comment that we do see	14	A. Yes. So my view of it —— my understanding was that
L5	attributed to you in the notes that were taken from the	15 16	Mr O'Reilly had agreed to meet up with a pump or two
L6	meeting at The Hive on Lever Street.		pumps to go through his version of accounts. Word got
L7	Mr Lopez, please could we display {INQ030902/22}.	17	out and then I think a few pumps turned up at Manchester
L8	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Would you describe this as a fairly	18	Central on that particular shift and then Mr O'Reilly
L9	lively meeting too?	19	came in and then spoke to all the crews together to go
20	A. Yes, it was.	20	through his version of events of that night.
21	MS CARTWRIGHT: {INQ030902/22}, please.	21	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you weren't happy about it or peop
22	Could you expand the bottom half of the page,	22	weren't happy about it generally? I'm just trying to
23	please?	23	get the context of the remark.
24	Mrs Turner, we can see that in answer to a topic	24	A. I haven't got much recollection of that evening. I just
25	that's being dealt with by Pete $$ and is that	25	remember when he'd left, I remember we had
	137		139
1	Mr O'Reilly?	1	a conversation with a few of the crews afterwards and
2	A. I assume so, yes, ma'am.	2	everyone was saying the same thing, as in blame the
3	Q. We see an answer that's attributed to you. The	3	NILOs, as in he's dropped the NILOs in it. That's the
4	transcript suggests that you said this:	4	feeling that was left after Mr O'Reilly left.
5	"What we were saying was that given our view of the	5	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: The feelings from the crews that "we
6	timeline but the feelings from the crews was that we	6	blamed NILOs" is Mr O'Reilly really was blaming the
7	blamed the NILOs. That's what it felt like and that was	7	NILOs?
8	felt from all the crews."	8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Can you assist us as to that comment, please, and	9	MS CARTWRIGHT: Perhaps to follow on from there, was there
LO	what your view was about the NILOs that you were	10	any sense from you that there had been an issue with the
L1	expressing in the meeting?	11	NILOs on the night?
L2	A. The transcript of this is not 100% accurate. I remember	12	A. On the night?
L3	that particular comment that I made and it was an answer	13	Q. Yes.
L4	to Mr O'Reilly, who'd asked me a direct question, where	14	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, can I just stop that for a moment
L5	Mr Levy had brought up regarding the NILOs and the visit	15	Sorry, just to understand that. Clearly, you were all
L6	to G16 Manchester Central, I'm not 100% sure of what	16	very frustrated with the NILOs that you weren't getting
L7	Mr Levy had said, but Mr O'Reilly then responded and	17	on with it and going to help and I understand that. Did
L8	then he looked at me and asked me directly. His words	18	you know at the time who was responsible for the
L9	were:	19	direction that you shouldn't go in? Did you know if it
20	"You were there, Miccy, you'll back me up, won't	20	was the NILOs themselves or whether they were getting
21	you?"	21	instructions from on high?
22	And my response then was the feeling - I said now	22	A At the time I knew that they were taking phone calls

23

24

25

I knew the command support room was getting set up. So

between them and the support room, but I didn't know who

I knew that there would obviously be conversations

23

24

25

NILOs.

you've got me to interject, but the feeling that was

felt by the crews when you left was you blamed the

11

1 was in the command support room at that time. So I just 2 assumed that they were getting some form of direction at 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, so you personally were not blaming 4 the NILOs? Or you didn't know who to blame? 5 A. I didn't know who to blame. I was confused. It was 6 7 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you. Just taking that bit out of 8 9 context is quite difficult to understand. 10 MS CARTWRIGHT: That in fact concludes the questions that 11 I have for Mrs Turner, unless there's anything further 12 you want to pursue at this stage. 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: No, thank you. MS CARTWRIGHT: The core participants who have indicated 14 15 they have questions are, perhaps if we start with Mr Smith, please, on behalf of North West Fire Control. 16 17 Questions from MR SMITH 18 MR SMITH: Could I take you to page 3 of your statement, please, to the first paragraph. There's something there 19 20 I want to ask you about. It begins with the words: 21 "We arrived at G18..." 22 Is that correct? A. That's correct. 2.3 2.4 Q. So we have the right place. A few lines down from there, you describe how you went to the mess room;

141

- 1 is that correct?
- A. That's correct, yes. 2
- 3 Q. And then there are these words:
- "We changed the television over to a news channel 5 and started to look at social media sites on our phones 6 to find out what was going on. As we watched things 7 unfold '
- 8 And then you describe the arrival of Mr Mottram with 9 the Gorton pump.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Taking you, if I may, to these words:
- 12 "As we watched things unfold..."
- Were you able to see, first of all on television. 13 whether the Ambulance Service was at the scene at the 14 15

arena?

- 16 A. I recall not so much on the television, but I do recall 17 some sort of pictures or a video on social media of 18 ambulances on scene or an ambulance on scene, possibly.
- 19 Q. I was going to ask you about the social media next. As 2.0 things did unfold, were you able to see the arrival of
- 21 further ambulances at the arena before you left 2.2
- Philips Park?
- 23
- 24 MR SMITH: Thank you for your help. That's all I wanted to
- 2.5 ask you.

142

- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Let me say this: it's quite difficult 1
- when people are asking you questions over the video.
- 3 They don't deliberately talk over you, it just happens
- 4 that way because of the delay. If someone does talk
- over you, if you want to carry on with the answer, just tell me because it's your answers that are the most 6
- 7 important thing.
- A. Okay, sir, thank you. 8
- 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 10 MS CARTWRIGHT: Could I ask next Mr Jamieson on behalf of
 - the families to ask his questions, please?
- 12 Questions from MR JAMIESON
- MR JAMIESON: Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear me? 13
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes, we can, thank you. 14
- 15 Q. Mrs Turner, can I reiterate exactly what the chair has
- 16 said to you: I'm on a slight delay and if I at any stage
- 17 talk over you, please keep going because it's what
- 18 you have to say that's more important.
- 19 A. Thank you.
- 20 Q. What I would like to do, please, is just follow through
- 21 the chronology of the evening, and there are just some
- 22 extra points that I'd like your assistance with.
- 23 May I start with this, though: your primary
- 2.4 statement, the one that I'm concerned with, is dated
- 2.5 5 December 2017.

143

- 1 A Yes
- $\mathsf{Q}.\;\;\mathsf{It}\,\mathsf{'s}$ got a number of accurate timings in it. 2.
- 3 A. Yes
- 4 Q. Am I right in inferring that you would have had the
- 5 incident log or some other contemporaneous document in
- 6 front of you to come up with those timings?
- 7 A. Yes. I'd gone into the incident log to get the timings.
- 8 Q. Thank you. I just wanted that clarification . I've done
- 9 the same exercise. I've checked the accuracy, but it
- 10 just makes the exercise quicker if we don't have to keep
- 11 looking at it.
- 12 So we start with the explosion, which I'm afraid
- 13 we are now certain happened at 22.31, which, as you've
 - told us, was heard by one of your colleagues.
- 15 A. That's correct.

14

- 16 Q. The first pre-alert that came through to your station,
- 17 the closest station to the arena and the closest
- 18 resources, was 7 minutes later, 22.38?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 2.0 Q. You have told us that if you had been mobilised at that
- 21 time to the arena, you could have been there within 2 or
- 2.2 3 minutes. So perhaps 11 or 12 minutes — sorry,
- 23 perhaps 22.41 or 22.42, something like that?
- 2.4 A. Yes. sir.
- 2.5 Q. Which would have put your response -- and by you, I mean

- 1 Greater Manchester Fire and Rescue Service somewhere
- 2 in the order of at the scene 10 minutes after the
- 3 explosion?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. As we understand it, I'd be grateful for your
- 6 experience, that would be an average, a usual, standard
- 7 response time for your service, something of that order?
- 8 A. Yes, I think the average response time may be about9 7 minutes.
- 9 7 minutes.
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think six is what I've read
- 11 everywhere.
- $12\,$ $\,$ MR JAMIESON: It's within a few minutes of the average
- 13 response time?
- 14 A. Yes
- $15\,$ $\,$ Q. So nothing happens then. You get the second pre-alert
- 16 at 22.45. We're about 15 minutes after the explosion at
- 17 this point
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And it's around this time that you learn through
- 20 Mr Mottram the information that there has in fact been 21 an explosion?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And it's 4 minutes after that that you are mobilised to
- 24 Philips Park, 22.49?
- 25 A. Yes.

- $1\,$ Q. I just wanted to explore in a little more detail
- 2 something you mentioned before. You said that at the
- 3 time that you went, the time that you left, it seemed
- 4 wrong that rather than going to the arena you were going
- 5 to a different fire station.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. I just wanted to clarify that that feeling that you had
- 8 that something was wrong, is that something you felt
- 9 at the time or is it something you have come to reflect
- 10 on later?

15

- 11 A. It was felt at the time.
- 12 Q. Your junior firefighter obviously had a similar thought
- because they raised it with you as their senior and you dealt with it. "There must be a reason", something of
 - dealt with it, "There must be a reason", something of
- A. Yes. We had a brief conversation on the fire appliance.
 They were asking why we're going to Philips Park.
- They were asking why we're going to Philips Park.Q. But you in fact had had the same fear, and my question
- is this: was there somebody that you could raise that
- 20 concern with?
- 21 A. I think I actually had a brief conversation with Watch
- 22 Manager Simister prior to leaving and we both said the
- same thing, that there must be another reason. So
- 24 at the time I didn't have anyone directly who I could
- ask at that point. That would be a conversation that

146

irectly who I could 24 I might suggest, to ask, "Is this right?", but your

- 1 I could have or Watch Manager Simister or Watch Manager
 - Helmrich could have had once we got to Philips Park.
- 3 Q. Thank you

5

6

7

- $4\,\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Can I just interrupt for a moment? This
 - may be where we're going.
 - Could you not get on to North West Fire Control and
 - say, "Look, this looks entirely wrong, we're going off in the wrong direction"? It's not intended as
- 8 in the wrong direction"? In a criticism, believe me.
- 10 MR JAMIESON: May I say, sir, neither from me.
- 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
- 12 A. I think at the time it might have been frowned upon to
- 13 question being mobilised and like I say, when the
- 14 explosion happened, we didn't know it was an explosion,
- we'd only heard through a telephone call that it might
- 16 have been an explosion. We didn't know anything else
- 17 other than that. Like I said, there could have been
- something else that we didn't know about. And then
- possibly by me questioning North West Fire Control, it
- 20 could have been frowned upon possibly.
- 21 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: By whom?
- 22 A. Um... Senior management. There's things happened
- 23 historically where crews have questioned North West Fire
- 24 Control on other issues and it's been frowned upon. Not
- 25 to suggest that I'd be frowned upon if I had questioned

147

- going to a rendezvous point, but I figured if something
- 2 big is going on, I know they're understaffed at North
- West Fire Control and it would be absolutely chaotic and
- 4 the last thing possibly that I should be doing is maybe
 - mithering them slightly.
- 6 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you've got a direct order and, so far
- 7 as you're concerned, you're just going to cause problems
- 8 if you start challenging it with people who are under
- 9 pressure at the moment?
- 10 A. Possibly, possibly. I didn't know -- like I say, there
- was a lot of confusion at the time and I genuinely
- thought there was something else going on apart from an
- 13 explosion

5

- 14 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, thank you.
- 15 MR JAMIESON: There's just one more question I would like to
- ask on that topic, Mrs Turner, because you described
- yourself in some self—deprecating way as mithering on,
- but you were a senior firefighter , the concern in your
- $19 \quad \text{mind } --\text{ I'm not even applying the benefit of}$
- 20 hindsight —— you know that there is an incident
- 21 happening in one direction, you know you are the closest
- 22 resource, and you know that you're being sent in the
- 23 opposite direction . It's not an unreasonable question,
- concern at the time, is this right, was that such

- 2 A. I couldn't give you an honest answer to that. I just 3 give you my view, my opinion of what's happened or what
- 4 I've heard has happened in the past. To question where

enquiries would, at the very least, not be welcome?

- 5 we go for a rendezvous point or to an incident
- address -- at that time we had the smallest of windows 6
- to make that decision, and as far as I was concerned,
- I was a junior officer, I was the second pump of 8
- 9 Manchester Central, and I just had been told to do --
- 10 and I followed my order. I questioned it in my head and
- 11 I questioned it with my crews and I questioned it with 12 my watch manager, but ultimately I followed my order.
- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So it would have been Mr Simister's 14 responsibility to challenge it if anybody was going to

to make his views very well felt.

- 15 do it and you spoke to him about it? A. I spoke to Mr Simister about it and, to be honest, it's 16
- 17 not just him, any officer or watch officer can challenge 18 anything. Like I say, I was just following my order
- 19 in the really small window I had of making a decision.
- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm not suggesting Mr Simister would 2.1 have been backwards in coming forwards either. He seems
- 2.3 MR JAMIESON: You mentioned in your answer a moment ago that
- 2.4 you would have known that NWFC was understaffed. Was
- 25 that a general concern that was operative or something

149

- 1 particular to this incident or what did you mean,
- 2

2.2

1

- 3 A. I think since we moved our Fire Control to
- North West Fire Control, we knew that the staffing that
- 5 we had was reduced down to cover the whole of the
- north-west. So we knew that -- or I knew that the 6
- 7 staffing there with the whole area to cover will be
- 8 stretched when it comes to a major incident.
- 9 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ So a lesser level of support compared to when there used 10 to be single control rooms?
- 11
- 12 Q. I'm going to move the clock on, thank you. You tell us
- 13 in your statement, and you're right, that you arrived at
- 14 Philips Park at 22.54. So we are now at something over
- 15 20 minutes after the explosion has happened and you
- 16 arrive. Just help us with this, please. It's a theme
- 17 the chair picked up with an earlier witness, but it's
- 18 something I'd be interested in your assistance with.
- 19 How we understand that the GMFRS responses normally work
- 2.0 is that when there is an incident, the nearest available
- 21 suitable crew goes, and the most senior person on that
- 2.2 deployment becomes the incident commander.
- 23
- 24 Q. They are in charge on the ground at the front where the

150

25 information is most readily available and they make 1 decisions about the response.

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. On this occasion, the location of your deployment was
- 4 Philips Park.
- 5
- Q. You arrived there at 22.54. To your mind, who was the 6 7 incident commander?
- 8 A. To my mind, I thought whoever made the decision to
 - a rendezvous point, to Philips Park, would have been
- 10 overall incident commander. So whoever the NILO was was
- 11 who I thought was then being in charge.
- 12 Q. So somebody who was not there?
- 13

9

19

2.3

- 14 Q. And somebody in fact whose identity you didn't know at 15 that time?
- 16 A That's correct
- 17 Q. May I just ask you, just for a moment, to digress into
- 18 training? I wonder if we could, please, have on the
 - screen -- it's only a short document -- {INQ040452/1}.
- 2.0 It's very difficult to see but the columns that we
- 21 need to see are the two to the right, so if we could
- 22 crop in to those columns on the right-hand side.
 - Can you read that, Mrs Turner?
- 2.4 A. I can, yes.
- 25 Q. This is your training records under the theme "Firearms

151

- and active shooter". As I look down the two columns on 1
- 2 the right—hand side, there are competencies and there
- 3 are dates. If we just look at the dates for the moment,
- can you see that there are three entries for
- 16 November 2015? And three entries for 5
- 6 11 November 2016?
- 7 A. Yes
- 8 Q. So it looks like training one year and then a refresher
- 9 a vear later?
- 10 That's correct.
- 11 If we look at the three topics that are covered:
 - "Incident dangers; operational procedures and ILO
- 13 role; [and thirdly] incident criteria and categories."
- A. Yes 14

12

- 15 Q. When you were talking about online JESIP training
- 16 before, is this what you were talking about or is this
- 17 something else?
- 18 A. Something else. I think they both integrate into each
- 19 other, the JESIP and the firearms active shooter 2.0
 - training, I think it's integrated into each other. I'm
- 21 not 100%
- 2.2 Q. Okay. I hope this is a fair and helpful question. If
- 23 not, say so. Operational procedures and ILO role.
- 2.4 That's what I'm interested in. ILO is the old term, as

152

25 we understand it, for NILO. So if that's right, it

looks like you received some training about the role of come from you? 2 the NILO or ILO in firearms and active shooter 2 A. Yes. That's why we thought something else must be going 3 incidents. The question is this: what did that training 3 on. That's what I thought, that something else must be 4 teach you about what a NILO was going to do or perhaps, 4 going on, hence they're sending us to Philips Park. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But you wouldn't necessarily know that with more precision, did it tell you that they were 5 5 going to be the incident commander? it was someone who was remote from the scene who was 6 6 7 A. I can honestly say, sir, it was a while ago and I cannot 7 actually sending you there? 8 recall the details of the training. 8 A. Not at that time, no. 9 Q. All right. I'll press you one more and then I'll move 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You wouldn't have any idea. 10 10 on. On any view, turning up at a rendezvous point Thank you, Mr Jamieson. 11 without an incident commander there would presumably 11 MR JAMIESON: No, sir, thank you. 12 12 have been pretty unusual? Just one more point on this and then I'll move the 13 13 clock along. I'm not asking for this to go on the Q. Are you able to help with whether that's what you were 14 14 screen and I'm not asking for the witness to see it, but 15 expecting, that there would be a remote incident 15 I'm going to provide a reference for you, sir, and 16 16 commander or whether it was just frankly confused? indeed for anybody else that wants to pick this up with 17 subsequent witnesses. It is the statement of 17 A. I think when... If you get an incident, we'd go to the 18 address. We wouldn't go to a rendezvous point because 18 Andy Berry, {INQ026733/20}, paragraph 72. 19 19 the incident commander would dictate where the What that is, Mrs Turner, is this: the NILO who it 20 rendezvous point was. That's the information that would 2.0 transpires -- I'm going to call him the duty NILO and 21 be passed on via the incident commander, who's already 21 nothing else at this moment -- but he has written in his 22 on scene. I had no idea if the incident commander was 22 statement something to the effect that -- in fact, I'll 2.3 23 read the line so I do not misquote it: en route, on scene, where he was, because I hadn't been 2.4 2.4 "In terms of incident command, initially, because told any information at this point. So as far as I was 25 concerned, we were told a rendezvous point, therefore 2.5 four appliances had been mobilised to Philips Park, the 153 155 1 I knew I wasn't, because I was a crew manager, but 1 watch manager in charge of those pumps would be the 2 I knew that neither Mr Simister or Mr Helmrich would be 2 officer in charge." 3 incident commander because these decisions have already 3 I'm not for a moment suggesting that that is right, but the suggestion seems to be being made there, and 5 Q. So the fact that a decision has been made tells you that 5 we'll hear from Mr Berry when he comes, that his somebody's making those decisions, but you don't have 6 6 expectation was that the incident command or the 7 7 any information to tell you the identity of that person? incident commander would be the watch manager from one 8 8 A. No of the pumps who was sent to Philips Park. The question 9 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So presumably an incident commander who 9 is this: that expectation, that thought, that view, was 10 goes to the scene may well say, "I want another five 10 that made clear to you or to anybody on your crew as far 11 fire engines to go to a rendezvous point" -11 as you could tell? 12 A. That's correct. 12 No. No, sir, it wasn't. SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: -- "and wait to be called forward?" 13 13 Q. We'll roll the clock on then, please. What I'm going to 14 A. Yes 14 do is take you to the same paragraph of your statement, SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So at the stage you're told to go to 15 15 in fact, that Mr Smith was taking you to, page 3, the 16 Philips Park, would you have believed instinctively that 16 first paragraph, with a slightly different aspect. This is when you've arrived at Philips Park. What you tell 17 there was someone at the incident who was saying that 17 18 you should go to Philips Park as a rendezvous point? 18 us about in the third line is Mr Simister phoning 19 A. We didn't know. We had no information and, like I say, 19 control, NWFC, and then you mention a second telephone 2.0 2.0 there was a lot of confusion because we were querying call in the last couple of lines of that paragraph as 21 the fact why we weren't going to the scene, why 21 well. The detail isn't in your statement, but in fact 2.2 we weren't going to the arena. 2.2 we heard those calls and read the transcripts of them,

154 156

23

2.4

2.5

so I'm going to give times now for clarity.

23.06 is the first call. The transcript, if we need

it, is $\{INQ001176/1\}$. The second call was at 23.25,

23

2.4

25

SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Of course, you're the closest

fire station, aren't you, so you might have expected

that if one was going to be on the scene, it would have

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

1 {INQ040474/1}. 2 I just want to ask you a couple of questions about 3 this, please. The first one, 23.06, you've been at 4 Philips Park for about 12 minutes at that point, you 5 told us about your expectation that there was some sort of remote incident commander, but you still don't know 6 who it is. And so Mr Simister phones up NWFC seeking information. The reason I mention it to you is one of 8 9 the things he says in that call is the fact that you've 10 told us now that as you were leaving Central, 11 ambulances, plural, were arriving, which was a fact that 12 you'd known and that you'd recognised the significance 13 of because you've recorded it in your statement. 14 May I just check that I've understood the 15 significance of the fact that ambulances were there. Is it this? Two things. One, those ambulances were, and 16 17 these are normal ambulances, not specialists, but they 18 are very much closer to the incident than where you were 19 20 A. Sorry, what was the question? 21 Q. The fact that ambulances were present at Central, was 22 that important? 2.3 A. As in? 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you're leaving to go to the other station --157

- 1 A. Yes.
- $2\quad$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: $\,--\,$ Philips Park. And as you're leaving,
- 3 you see ambulances actually arriving at your station.
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Why was that important to you? What was
- 6 the significance of it to you?
- 7 A. It looked to me that the ambulances were starting to
 8 rendezvous, I assume for the incident. I didn't know
 9 they were definitely for the incident, but that was my
- 10 assumption at the time.
- 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And if they were going to rendezvous
- there, why shouldn't you; is that the thinking?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, thank you.
- MR JAMIESON: And if you're short of information because
 you're at a rendezvous point on your own, that's where
- one of the other blue lights services is?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. Presumably the purpose of telling NWFC that was so they could tell the incident commander, whoever it was?
- 21 A. Yes, it was to pass that information across.
- Q. So your expectation would have been that that
- information would have been passed to the NILOs?
- 24 A. Correct.
- $25\,$ $\,$ Q. The second call, 23.25, by that time -- again, we've

158

- 1 looked at the transcript, so I'll just tell you. By
- 2 that time, Mr Mottram has got the information that his

information is provided by Mr Simister to NWFC. Again,

- 3 wife, the paramedic, is actually at the arena, and that
- $4 \qquad \quad \text{information, so not at Central any more but actually} \\$
- 5 forward and providing care at the arena, and that
- 7 would your expectation be that that information is
- 8 provided to the NILOs?
 - A. Correct, yes.
- 10 Q. Again, as we look at the time, 23.25, we're coming up to 11 an hour after the explosion. That's the situational
- awareness that you at Philips Park have been able to
- gather and that's what the expectation is as to what
- 14 happened with it.
 - Again you don't give us a time but let me help you and I can deal with this quite shortly. We know from an official log of Mr Meakin's movements, for the transcript {INQ001143/1}, he was the first NILO to arrive and he arrived at Philips Park at 23.28, so again
- 21 It wasn't until midnight or thereabouts, I think the 22 log says 00.02, but your midnight is close enough,

round about half past 11.

- 23 I might say, that there was a movement to Central. Can
- I just ask about your impression in that half hour?
- You have told us that you had expected the NILOs are

159

- 1 incident commanders, they had not arrived at the RVP
- 2 until nearly 23.30. In that half an hour before you
- moved, was there any change in dynamic or atmosphere?
- A. The half an hour from what time to what time, sir?
- 5 Q. 11.30 to midnight
- 6 A. No, there was no change.
- 7 Q. From the NILOs turning up to you leaving -- no.
- 8 Also this, please. You say in your statement and 9 you have told us today -- and I don't want to know the
- particular details of this Philips Park Fire Station -
- but it so happened that there were that many fire
- 12 engines there or vehicles of one sort or another that
- vehicles were being blocked in and would be otherwise
- 14 unable to move.
- 15 A. Yes
- 16 Q. In your view, was Philips Park a suitable location for
- a rendezvous point for a major incident, wherever it
- 18 might have been located?
- 19 A. No, sir, it was not. It's a very small yard. You drive
- $2\,0\,$ $\,$ in the gates and once you're in the yard, the only way
- 21 to get back out is to do a three point turn to get round
- 22 to come back in, back out the way you came in or go
- through the engine house.
- 24 Q. So it was never a suitable location?
- 25 A. No

5

7

- $1\quad$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And if there wasn't enough room for a
- 2 fire engine then there certainly wouldn't have been
- 3 enough room to have ambulances there as well.
- 4 A No
- 5 MR JAMIESON: Thank you. I just want to ask you a little
- 6 bit about the travel to the arena and what happened
- 7 there. So it's 00.23, coming up to 2 hours -- sorry,
- 8 let me put it in context.
- 9 You've moved to Central, you're at Central for about
- 20 minutes, and then the time comes to go forward to the 11 arena.
- 11 arena.
- 12 A. Yes.
- $13\,$ $\,$ Q. During that 20 minutes or so that you're at Central, and
- 14 you can see it's very busy with ambulances, as we
- 15 understand it, another witness has said as many as
- $16\,$ $\,$ 40 ambulances there at the time. Was that your
- 17 impression?
- $18\,$ $\,$ A. I don't know the numbers, but there was quite a lot,
- 19 yes, sir.
- $20\,$ $\,$ Q. Was it obvious that they were shuttling back and forth
- $21 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{to the arena and presumably to hospitals as well?} \\$
- 22 A. Yes.

3

5

- $23\,$ $\,$ Q. Did you see the NILOs go and talk to the paramedics and
- 24 try and get some information?
- 25 A. I have no recollection of that, sir.

161

- 1 Q. After about 20 minutes you were mobilised forward with
- 2 others and you have told us that there was this break
 - in the journey of 11 minutes, and 11 minutes, if I say
- 4 so, is a pretty precise period of time. Can we take it
 - you got that from the log?
- 6 A. I got that from the log, yes.
- 7 Q. Where you were stationary and really a very short
- 8 distance from where you needed to go, whilst Mr Berry
- 9 did something in his car to ascertain where he was
- 10 supposed to go?
- 11 A. Yes, he was on the phone to -- I don't know who he was
- on the phone to or what the conversation was. We were
- 13 just waiting to move --
- 14~ Q. I'm not going to -- I'm not going to ask you to
- speculate. But at this point, 00.23, coming up to
- 2 hours after the explosion, there's a further 11-minute
- $17 \hspace{1cm} \hbox{delay whilst Mr Berry resolves whatever that is.} \hspace{0.2cm} \hbox{And} \\$
- finally this, I am just going to give a warning before
- 19 I ask this so that everybody who's listening is aware.
- 20 I am just going to talk very generally about what you
- 21 did at the arena. I am not going to mention
- 22 individuals . I am not going to mention injuries or
- 23 conditions or anything of that sort. But I do consider
- 24 it's important that you help the chairman with just one
- 25 or two facts.

162

- 1 At the time that you arrived with your fellow
 - firefighters , there were still important jobs that
- 3 needed doing?
- $4\,$ A. Yes, there were still casualties to be triaged and moved
 - out
- 6 Q. Just to deal with it in very general terms, you were
 - involved in utilising some of the equipment that was
- 8 already present at the arena, by which I mean stretchers
- 9 and blankets from ambulances. You nodded, but there's
- 10 an oral transcript. So is that yes?
- 11 A. Sorry, yes.
- 12 Q. So yes, you were involved in -- and one of the things
- 13 that you did was assist in the evacuation of what are
- 14 called P1 casualties?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Which means people who are very injured. So lest it be
- 17 thought that there was nothing for the firefighters to
- do by the time they turned up, there were still very
- 19 injured casualties that needed evacuation at the time
- 20 that you arrived?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And I think you were also able to note that at the time
- 23 that you arrived, there were still improvised stretchers
- 24 that were in use?
- 25 A. That's correct.

163

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. So there was still a shortage in effective equipment
- 2 being used? Whether or not it was available, there was
 - still a shortage.
- 4 A. Yes. So when we arrived, the casualties had to be put
- on to a stretcher, so whatever was used to bring them
- 6 out to the Station Approach area, they were still on
- 7 that improvised stretcher as such.
- 8 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: What I understand is when you arrive,
- 9 you're told to go and get stretchers from ambulances
- 10 with blankets.
- 11 A. Yes

3

- 12 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You go back to the scene to where people
- are being tended in the casualty area.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you transfer them from whatever
- they've been transported on before onto proper
- 17 stretchers you and then you took them to the ambulance
- and then they went to hospital?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you did that on a number of
- 21 occasions, just you yourself?
- 22 A. We all did it, yes.
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I wasn't thinking you did it all on your
- 24 own
- 25 MR JAMIESON: Thank you, sir. You have encapsulated

1 everything I wanted to get there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

The final topic, please, is just this, and I'm going to deal with it very shortly because the chair in fact got the salient details.

The debrief process afterwards, there are the two elements you have told us about, the structured debrief and the meeting in July, which I think has been characterised as a frank exchange of views, certainly from the junior officers anyway.

In relation to the formal debrief, you said that you felt that the senior ranks were holding their cards close to their chest one way or another, and I'm not asking for more detail, you've explained what that means. But what I am interested in is what you said in response to that. Have I got this right, the reason you gave for why you did not contribute beyond the comment that we were taken to was because that was the attitude of the senior ranks?

A. A lot of things that were brought up had already been brought up by my peers, so Watch Manager Helmrich, Watch Manager Simister, I think Crew Manager Mottram said something that I was in agreement with. So a lot of things were already brought up. There was no point in me repeating if it's already been mentioned and obviously put on the record. I just felt so frustrated

165

- and angry. I mean, I had a lot of anger at the time,
 I just couldn't find it in myself to even put anything
 forward that made any sense to myself, never mind anyone
- Q. Well, may I say this: it is imperative to the families 5 that you be put in a position whereby those feelings 6 7 don't apply and you can give us, you can give the chair, 8 any points of improvement you can give. That's really 9 the final thing I want to do. You're out of that 10 atmosphere, we are here to seek to learn everything that 11 we can from this terrible tragedy, so if there are any 12 shortcomings or points for learning that you have 13 identified, please either tell us now if there's 14 anything you would like to say or indeed come back to us 15 in writing. Because it sounded --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Sorry, while you're thinking about that,
 can I just say I expect you've followed the evidence
 from your fellow firefighters to some extent when you've
 been able to.
- 20 A. I have, yes.
- 21 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Bearing that in mind, is there anything 22 you want to bring to my attention that you don't think 23 has been already brought to my attention?
- has been already brought to my attention?

 A. I'm not aware of all the evidence, so I'm not aware if
- 25 more... more involvement of all of the crews on to

1 scenario-based training where it comes to terrorism or

- a marauding terrorist act. We don't get involved in any
- $3\,$ of that and it tends to stay with the SRT/TRU, but
- 4 I think it's beneficial because any incident that
- $5\,$ involves the SRT or TRU, we'd always go and help out and
- 6 back them up
- 7 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: So you think you should get more
- 8 training for a potential terrorism event?
- 9 A. Absolutely, yes, sir.
- 10 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Do you get it now? Has it improved
- since 2017 or not really?
- 12 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: You would be aware of it, wouldn't you?
- 14 A. I have not had any training and I have not been informed 15 of any training.
- 16 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you would know, wouldn't you, if
- there was training, I hope it's not disrespectful, for
- 18 ordinary crews, ie not SRT?
- 19 A. Yes, we are not aware of all the training that goes on
- 20 for the specialist roles.
- 21 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: No, no, but you're aware of what goes on
- 22 for people in your position?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, so you would recommend that there
- should be more training for you? It's indicative to me

167

- 1 that you didn't even really know what Operation Plato
- 2 meant
- 3 A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
- 4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: At the debrief when you kept quiet and
- 5 lots of others spoke, were there any specific matters
- 6 that you would have wanted to raise at that debrief
- 7 which weren't raised by somebody else? You understand
- 8 I've got a copy of the whole debrief so I know what was
- 9 said.
- 10 A. I've also got a copy of the transcript of the debrief
- $11\,$ and it's quite hard to follow, I find, because it's not
- 12 wholly accurate.
- 13 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Right.
- $14\,$ $\,$ A. I think the whole incident command structure that we
- normally adhere to failed catastrophically in that
- incident and it's certainly an area that we all have to
- 17 learn from, specialist roles, including the NILOs, a bit
- 18 more clarity for all .
- 19 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think, as we've already heard, the
- $20\,$ normal procedure, first crew, incident commander on the
- scene, knows what's wanted, asks for what's wanted,
- 22 works well?
- 23 A. Yes
- $24\,$ SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: This sort of scenario, which hopefully
- 25 is rare, but no one goes to the scene immediately,

168

pretty briefly if that's okay by you.

2	that seems to be a procedure with which the Fire Service	2	I F	IE WITNESS: Yes.
3	is not very familiar and it certainly didn't work very	3	SIF	R JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you.
4	well on 22 May.	4		MR KYLE GRAY (sworn)
5	A. It did not, sir, no.	5		Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT
6	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: For you, would you feel any more	6	MS	CARTWRIGHT: Good afternoon. Could you please tell the
7	confident in dealing with that sort of incident now if	7		court your full name?
8	it happened? Have things moved on to say I think I now	8	A.	Kyle Thomas Gray.
9	know what would happen and it would be fine?	9		You provided a witness statement to the inquiry dated
10	A. I feel a lot more confident now not only on what should	10		22 February of this year?
11	happen and what you'd expect, but I definitely challenge	11	A.	Yes.
12	and query any decisions that's made that I'm not wholly	12	Q.	Are the contents of that statement true to the best of
13	happy with.	13		your knowledge and belief?
14	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay. Thank you.	14	A.	Yes, they are, yes.
15	MR JAMIESON: Thank you.	15		It's right, isn't it, that the contents of that
16	MS CARTWRIGHT: Finally there's questioning, please, on	16	·	statement were based on a much earlier in time
17	behalf of GMCA. Could I ask Ms Johnson, please, to ask	17		self —administered interview that you had prepared?
18	her questions now?	18	Α.	Yes.
19	MS JOHNSON: There's nothing from us, thank you very much.	19		You tell us in your witness statement that you've been
20	MS CARTWRIGHT: Sir, that does conclude the questioning of	20	•	a firefighter since September 2008?
21	this witness. Thank you.	21	Α.	Yes.
22	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I'm really grateful. Thank you very	22		And ordinarily, you'd be based —— your home station is
23	much. I know it's not very easy coming in front of lots	23	٧.	in Stockport?
24	of people with lots of other people watching and trying	24	Α	That's correct.
25	to give your account, so I'm grateful for the	25		But on the night of 22 May you were working a shift at
	169		٦.	171
	107			1/1
1	information you've given to me.	1		Gorton Fire Station?
2	A. Thank you, sir.	2	A.	Yes.
3	MS CARTWRIGHT: The next witness is Mr Gray. I have not met	3	Q.	And I want to take you now, please, just through the
4	with him yet. Could I ask for a 15-minute break,	4		events of that night, please, Mr Gray.
5	please?	5		You tell us that at approximately 10.30, whilst you
6	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: We'll start again at 3.30.	6		were at G19 Gorton, Mr Mottram informed you he'd been
7	(3.11 pm)	7		speaking to his wife who was working as a paramedic for
8	(A short break)	8		NWAS and that she was proceeding to the arena to reports
9	(3.30 pm)	9		of a bomb that had detonated.
10	(The inquiry sat in a restricted session)	10	A.	Yes, that's correct.
11	(3.35 pm)	11	Q.	And that at about 22.50, your appliance, G19P2 was
12	(A short break)	12		mobilised to G18 Philips Park Fire Station due to that
13	(3.45 pm)	13		explosion?
14	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Mr Gray, you will understand I've	14	A.	Yes.
15	received a great deal of evidence already from	15	SIF	R JOHN SAUNDERS: Were you expecting to be mobilised onc
16	firefighters who were in your position on the night.	16		you had heard?
17	I understand, and I well understand the reasons for it,	17	A.	I was, yes, because Gorton is one of the city centre
18	that you would like to help me by telling me things that	18		stations, really, and I did believe that on the
19	happened on the night. Having got here and prepared	19		predetermined attendance for something like that,
20	yourself, it's quite important perhaps to go through	20		we would be one of the closest stations to get
21	with it and I well understand that.	21		mobilised, yes.
22	You will forgive me, however, if Ms Cartwright, on	22	MS	CARTWRIGHT: You tell us in your witness statement that
23	my behalf, goes through things very quickly because	23		you got those times from the turnout sheet.
24	I have a very clear picture in my mind and I well	24	A.	Yes.
25	understand things, so we'll deal with your evidence	25	Q.	And that you could also see from the turnout sheet that

172

1

a NILO is involved to see where everyone should go next,

- 1 other appliances were proceeding with you, as in, from
- 2 Gorton, were G18P1, G16P1 and G16P2.
- 3
- 4 Q. You tell us in your witness statement your understanding
- 5 from the turnout sheet was your appliance was going to
- G18 Philips Park on a blue light response to stand by 6
- 7 there and await further instruction?
- 8 A. Yes
- 9 Q. Can you give us an idea, how long does it take to get
- from Gorton to Philips Park on --10
- 11 A. On the blue light response, 3 minutes, 3 to 4 minutes.
- 12 Q. You tell us in the witness statement that you were
- 13 confused why you were being sent to G18 to stand by --
- 14
- 15 Q. $\,--$ and not to G16 Manchester Central Thompson Street?
- A Yes that's correct 16
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that something you'd have all talked 17
- 18 about as you were going along?
- 19 A. Yes. Because we initially thought that
- 20 Manchester Central would have gone on a turnout to the
- 2.1 arena, but obviously on that night, that wasn't the
- 22 case. And to go on a blue light response to stand by at 2.3
- another fire station is not heard of, really. Because 2.4 when we go stand by, we normally go at normal road speed
- because it's not an emergency, we're just going to cover
 - 173
- 1 another fire station.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes 2
- 3 The decision to go on blue lights, that would be one taken locally rather than --
- 5 A. No, it would have come higher up. That would have
- 6 mainly come from control who make that decision.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}$ CARTWRIGHT: You tell us in the witness statement you did 7
- 8 deploy on blue lights to Gorton and that in fact you
- 9 arrived at Philips Park at the same time as G16P1 and
- 10 G16P2 and at that time you all gathered in the TV room
- 11 when news was just being leaked regarding the incident
- 12 on Sky News.
- A Yes 13
- 14 Q. You say:
- 15 "When we were watching this, we decided to go to the 16 appliance bay to wait for the arrival of senior
- 17 officers ."
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. And you tell us:
- 2.0
- "This meant we had our fire gear on with blue trauma 21 gloves.
- 2.2 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You had the trauma bags ready with the defibrillators so 24 you could use them immediately when deployed?
- 2.5 A. Yes, we did, yes.
- 174

- 1 Q. You then tell us whilst stood in the appliance bay you
- 2 were informed by Mr Mottram that he'd heard from his
- 3 wife and she was going to the scene.
- 4 A Yes
- 5 Q. And in fact they gave details about the nature of the
- attack that had occurred at the arena. 6
- 7
- 8 Q. Including the number of casualties?
- 9 A. Mm-hm, that's correct.
- 10 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ You then tell us that the senior officers and the SRT
- 11 team then arrived at G18 Philips Park.
- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. And you recall that those senior officers were General
- 14 Managers Mr Meakin and Mr Levy?
- 15 A. Yes. that's correct.
- $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}.$ And you describe them as NILO 1 and 2. Was that your 16
- 17 understanding?
- 18 A. It was, ves.
- 19 Q. You estimate in your witness statement that it was about
- 20 11.30 that those individual officers arrived.
- 2.1
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Mr Gray, you look to me to be a bit 2.2
- 23 younger than most of the other firefighters we have
- 2.4 actually heard from. Had you had to attend any other
- 2.5 major incidents in your time as a firefighter prior to
 - 175
- 1 this?
- 2 A. Not that I am aware of, not major incidents -- I have
- 3 been in the Fire Service since 2008 but nothing like
- this. I don't think any firefighter has been called out
- 5 to any incident such as this before
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: No. Okay. Thank you. 6
- 7 MS CARTWRIGHT: Mr Gray, can I ask you this though: I have
- 8 not taken you through your training records but we can
- see that you've had medical training in particularly 9
- 10 cardiac arrest and the enquiry's heard some evidence
- 11 about the initiative with NWAS for the Fire and Rescue
- 12 Service to respond to cardiac arrest calls.
- 13 A Yes
- 14 Q. Having given the answer you've just given to the
- 15 chairman, to what extent had you had to respond to
- 16 cardiac arrests? I think they're called Red 1s.
- A. Yes, Red 1s. They were called Red 1s at the time. I've 17
- 18 been to over 60 cardiac arrests and we were doing it as
- 19 a Fire Service on the whole, so it was quite common to
- 2.0 get one or two every day and we were very good at CPR at
- 21 that time. We were more advanced then than what 2.2 I believe we are now because we were doing them --
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I think someone's described you were at
- 2.4 the peak of your powers of dealing with them, for
- 2.5 instance.

- 1 A. Yes, it was. They described it as a pit stop team, like 2 a Formula 1 team. That's how we worked in collaboration 3 with NWAS at the time because nine times out of ten 4 we would get there first before NWAS because they're 5 that busy anyway that the fire engine would get there quicker and we would just go into people's houses, they 6 wouldn't even ask why we were there, they'd just seen a group of firefighters come in with a defibrillator, 8 9 trauma bag, and we're a help, and that's what they 10 were ... You know, people -- not once did anyone ask 11 what we're here for. They just let us in and we did 12 what we were trained to do.
- MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you. Can I take you back now to
 Philips Park. We'd got to around 11.30 when you have
 told us about the arrival of Mr Meakin and Mr Levy. You
 then say this:

"After a long period of time, I can't recall how long, we were given the go—ahead by General Manager Meakin to proceed to a forward command and control point which had been decided to be G16 Manchester Central."

21 A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

22 Q. You say this:

"My understanding was this was because the
rendezvous point had been changed and my perception
is that the actual decision may have been made by a more

177

- 1 senior officer than General Manager Meakin."
- 2 A. Yes
- 3 Q. Can you assist us as to why you had that perception?
- A. Because with Group Manager Meakin being at Philips Park,
- 5 I thought that someone else higher up in the control
- 6 room maybe or higher ranking would be making that
- 7 decision because Group Manager Meakin was at the
- 8 fire station with us and it doesn't seem right that he9 would be making that call.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Well, he had been there for some time,
 so you would have thought he would have made the
 decision earlier if he was going to make it.
- 13 A. Yes

16

17

18

19

14 MS CARTWRIGHT: I want to move you forward now to when you 15 arrived with the G19 crew at G16. You say this:

"We were met with at least 40 different types of paramedic vehicles. We parked on the front apron of the station with other appliances and we were met by General Managers Levy and Meakin, NILO 1 and 2."

- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. You then say this:
- "I recall General Manager Levy said Operation Plato
 had been declared and the Prime Minister had called for
 a COBR meeting. I had heard of the term Operation Plato
 before that date but cannot recall in what context or

178

- when. I did not have any knowledge or understanding of what it referred to or meant."
- 3 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 4 Q. "General Manager Meakin and General Manager Levy [you 5 say] seemed to be making lots of phone calls but no
- 6 information was being passed to the crews."
- 7 A. Yes. that's correct.
- $8\,$ $\,$ Q. You say that you and other firefighters asked
- General Manager Levy and Station Manager Topping for an
 update on numerous occasions but this was ignored.
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. And when you say ignored, can you --
- 13 A. They had no answer. I mean, Group Manager Levy was on
- 14 his phone quite a lot. So to get his attention was
- quite hard, really. And Al Topping, he didn't know
- much, and anything he did know he relayed back to us
- 17 anyway.
- 18 Q. I think the way that you have expressed it in your 19 witness statement is that:
- withess statement is that.
- 20 "At one point, [you] can't recall the time,
- Mr Topping came over to speak to us but he knew as
- 22 little as we did regarding the incident."
- 23 A. Yes
- 24 Q. You then tell us that you talked to a number of
- 25 firefighters , one of which was Tom Wheeler from Salford

179

- 1 who was in charge of the air unit that night.
- 2 A. Yes, that's correct.
- $3\,$ Q. And I'm going to deal with this in vaguer terms than
- 4 you've expressed in your witness statement but the
- 5 chairman has that detail. But in particular, at the
- 6 request of some the families, we've been asked to deal
- 7 with this in general terms.
- 8 You tell us that at that time when you were with
- 9 Mr Wheeler you were approached by a female paramedic.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And if I summarise it in this way, she was very upset?
- 12 A. Yes
- 13 Q. She was crying?
- 14 A. Yes
- 15 Q. And she really wanted the assistance of the Fire and
- Rescue Service to attend at the arena?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And she referenced some of the treatment she'd been
- 19 providing that night to a patient?
- 20 A. Correct.
- $21\,$ $\,$ Q. And because of what she told you in that manner, would
 - it be fair to say you took her to Mr Topping so she
- 23 could tell him what she told you?
- 24 A. Yes.

2.2

 $25\,$ $\,$ Q. You then say that time passed again and you can't recall

- 1 the time before you were eventually told to mount the
- 2 appliance as you were going to be deployed to the
- 3 incident.
- 4 A Yes

- 5 Q. And you believe that the instruction would likely have come from Mr Mottram?
- 7 A. Yes, he would have got that from higher up, yes.
- Q. And that you did mount the appliance with the 8
- 9 equipment --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. — that you had available to you?
- A. Yes. 12
- 13 Q. However, the decision was cancelled approximately
- 14 2 minutes later.
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q. Were you told why it was cancelled?
- 17 A. No
- 18 Q. And again you indicate it was Mr Mottram who would have
- 19 communicated, essentially, the stand-down?
- 20
- 21 Q. And you say that that process of getting on and off your
- 2.2 appliance happened about four times?
- 2.3 A. Yes. it did.
- 2.4 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: This is the time when other appliances
- went to the scene and you didn't?

- 1 A. Yes. Well, we mounted once or twice at Philips Park and
- 2 then we did it a couple more times at Manchester Central
- 3 again MS CARTWRIGHT: You say that after approximately 1 hour of
- 5 first being sent to G16, a number of other fire
- 6 appliances arrived at G16 Manchester Central, and you
- 7 say that the decision was made, you can't recall by who,
- 8 to deploy a number of fire engines to the scene to at
- 9 last the paramedics and the engines at the station.
- 10 A. Yes
- 11 Q. And the chairman has heard evidence of those three
- 12 appliances that were called forward. Finally, you say
- that there was a debrief at approximately 3 o'clock in 13
- 14 the morning on 23 May which was held by Assistant Chief
- 15 Officer Geoff Harris in the station yard at G16
- 16 Manchester Central?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. You say this in your statement, having used the debrief
- 19 form as the basis for this account:
- 2.0 "In my debrief form I have written this was a waste
- 21 of time because nobody was given answers. Geoff Harris'
- 2.2 demeanour was appalling. He walked through the front of 2.3 the appliance bay in a mood, with his hands in his
- 2.4 pockets, and the first words out of his mouth were,
- 25 'What do you need to know?'"
 - 182

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. "The general feeling amongst the crews was that we 2
- 3 wanted answers as to why we weren't deployed. There was
- 4 a feeling of hurt, upset and confusion."
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- "At that point everyone became very angry with the 6
 - attitude and lack of understanding the ACFO had."
- 8 A. Yes

7

13

- 9 Q. "He stated he was there to answer our questions but
- 10 every answer he gave was 'I don't know'."
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 "We asked that the chief officer attend ... "
 - Pausing there, is that Mr O'Reilly?
- 14
- 15 Q. "... as he would know the answers because he seemed to
- 16 be the one giving orders over the phone."
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. "One of the firefighters" --
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Okay, I'm just slightly concerned. 19
- 20 You're now about to say what a firefighter said, or you
- 2.1 do in your statement, but you don't actually know who.
- 22 A. No, because there was that many.
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Yes. Sort of second—hand hearsay. 2.3
- 2.4 There is nothing wrong with hearsay in inquiries, but
- 2.5 it's a couple down the line made an allegation against

- 1 the chief fire officer, essentially.
- 2.
- 3 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Right. I don't think I need to hear it
- from you, Ms Cartwright. If any other CP thinks it
- 5 ought to be adduced, of course I'll listen to what they
- 6 have to say. It's not the fault of you, but it doesn't
- 7 necessarily look the most reliable. Is it confirmed by
- 8 anybody else?
- 9 MS CARTWRIGHT: I don't have the identity. In fact, I had
 - the question. "Who?"
- 11 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: I don't think we're going to find that
- 12

10

- MS CARTWRIGHT: Finally this, Mr Gray. In terms of that 13
- 14 debrief on 23 May in the early hours, you say this:
- 15 "Everyone was very honest with the assistant chief.
- 16 telling him how we felt, that we were very angry, upset,
- 17 let down and ashamed, that we had the equipment and
- 18 training to help the public but we weren't utilised."
- 19
- SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: And you're obviously still very upset by 2.0
- 21 the whole incident, even after this length of time.
- 2.2 A. Yes
- 23 SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: But I think I can tell you safely that
- 2.4 no one at this inquiry is blaming you or the other
- 2.5 firefighters for the fact that they weren't deployed.

1	MS CARTWRIGHT. Six there are a number of some neutral neutral	1	LNDEV
1 2	MS CARTWRIGHT: Sir, there are a number of core participants	1	INDEX
3	who indicated they may have questions. Could I briefly	3	MR JON NOLAN (affirmed)1
4	turn to see if any of them still have questions for Mr Gray?	3 4	Questions from MR DE LA POER1
5	Could I ask Mr Smith on behalf of North West Fire	5	Questions from MR SMITH52
6		6	Questions from MR COOPER
7	Control if he has any questions, please. MR SMITH: No, I don't, sir, thank you.	7	Questions from MS JOHNSON
			Questions from M3 JOHNSON100
8	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you very much, Mr Smith.	8	MC MACCYLA TUDNED (-ff:
9	MS CARTWRIGHT: Could I ask Mr Jamieson on behalf of the	9	MS MACCYLA TURNER (affirmed)
10	families if he has any questions for Mr Gray?	10	Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT107
11	MR JAMIESON: No, sir, it's not necessary to ask any	11	Questions from MR SMITH141
12	questions, thank you.	12	Questions from MR JAMIESON143
13	MS CARTWRIGHT: Finally, could I turn to Ms Johnson on	13	MD 10/15 CD 11/1
14	behalf of GMCA to see if she has any questions?	14	MR KYLE GRAY (sworn)171
15	MS JOHNSON: I don't, thank you very much.	15	Questions from MS CARTWRIGHT171
16	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.	16	
17	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Thank you very much for coming and	17	
18	thank you for giving your evidence.	18	
19	MS CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.	19	
20	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Is that it for today?	20	
21	MS CARTWRIGHT: That concludes the evidence for today. We	21	
22	start tomorrow at 10 o'clock with the evidence of	22	
23	Mr Dark.	23	
24	SIR JOHN SAUNDERS: Right. I hope everyone fully	24	
25	understands why we have dealt with that evidence fairly	25	
	185		187
1	briefly . I hope and see that people here do understand.		188
2	We will now proceed with the next witness tomorrow		100
3	morning.		
4	Thank you.		
5	(4.03 pm)		
6	(The inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am		
7	on Tuesday, 9 March 2021)		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
2.2			
20			
20 21			
21			